

Wrong is result of MUBI (Murkhta, Beimani, Impotence/Incapability)

Rapid Review

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Is owner of the activity defined from top two levels	Yes-100 ; No=(-)200
Is the incorrect adequately defined in terms of laws, crimes, punishments, rules, regulations, procedures, resulting losses	Yes-100each item ; No= (-)200each item
Is it defined as to whose responsibility is from top two levels for defining incorrect/wrong	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the period of review of right and wrong defined, not more than six months interval	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is it defined as to whose responsibility to correct the course if an incorrect noticed	Yes=100; No=(-)200
Is the procedure/procedures for checking incorrect defined	Yes-100 ; No=(-)200
Is the procedure or checking incorrect is detailed with DAVM parameters; verification and measurement of incorrect	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the procedures fully transparent available to people at a place of free access by them	Yes-100 ; No=(-)200
Is it defined as to who is responsible for availability of procedures freely accessible	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the action against responsible person defined if details not available freely accessible	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the entities and government people well defined to bear responsibility of checking incorrect	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the entities and government people well defined to bear responsibility of correcting incorrect	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the accountability fixed on government people for action against failures and notified	Yes=100; No=(-)250
Are criteria and parameters defined in DAVM terms to measure the extent of incorrect and notified	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the extent of incorrect measured every six months and notified in DAVM terms	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the fundamental rule in place that the owner of activity and the GPP responsible (or even nominated with assigned powers) to check incorrect will be declared a MUBI ('fool' or 'corrupt' or 'impotent' or all three,) if an incorrect will happen	Yes=100; No=(-)250

Beimani	Is it mandatory that owner of activity and the GPP responsible (or even nominated with assigned powers) to check and contain incorrect will be declared either 'worst fool' or 'worst corrupt' or 'worst impotent' or all three(MUBlex), if an incorrect will happen again within 1 year in zone of jurisdiction	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Are the statistics regarding incorrect happening is maintained and freely accessible on government public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are the people responsible for incorrect/wrong happening identified and placed on government public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is the pattern of wrong/incorrect happening analysed and detailed in terms of people doing it, group of people doing it, society and community doing it, intelligence level, economic status, education level,	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is the pattern of incorrect/wrong analyzed to state the benefits to the practicing person available by doing wrong/incorrect	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is the pattern of incorrect/wrong analyzed to state the benefits to the practicing person available by doing wrong/incorrect in terms of saving of time and energy	Yes=100; No=(-)150
		Yes 100%=100;
	Are the GPP responsible to check and contain 'wrong' possess 'high level' of analytical intelligence	No=(-)20 each % less
	Is there law that GPP responsible to check occurrence of 'wrong' must qualify for 'high analytical intelligence' level	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Is the period of reoccurrence of a wrong notified by government	Yes=100; No=(-)150
		<1years=0; >1year= (-)100 each year more
	the wrong has been occurring for last ... years	
	Action on the people responsible for 'wrong' is notified on public domain(A)	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Action on the GPP responsible and having failed to check and contain the occurrence of 'wrong'-notified on public domain (B)	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Any law which warrants that each case of (A) (B) must be placed on public domain	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	any case in which the responsible GPP did not act to contain the 'wrong' in which business person was involved	Yes=(-)100 each case

Impotence	Are occurrence of 'wrong' repeating in the same unit region within 1 year	Yes=(-)150
	Any case in which the entity/organization declare the staff 'not having done irregularity' without providing reasoning and evidence	Yes=(-)100 each case
	any case in which the 'wrong' occurred even though GPP was made aware of its possibility in advance	Yes=(-)100 each case
	any case in which the 'wrong' occurred even though it passed in a manner that GPP should have noticed in advance	Yes=(-)100 each case
	any case in which the responsible GPP did not act to contain the 'wrong' for any reason other than that he/she was a 'stupid'	Yes=(-)100 each case
	any case in which the responsible GPP did not act to contain the 'wrong' in which higher GPP was involved	Yes=(-)100 each case