

Worth of speech of GPP, HOG, MOG, OIG1,2, PL

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system or person in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Fixed prerequisites	Is there a law/rule that any statement issued by HOG, MOG, OIG, PL shall be considered as owned by them(owner) irrespective of if they mention it or not	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is there a law /rules to set the speaker responsible or if owner is different then speaker jointly with owner are responsible	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is there a law/rule that the irresponsibility index of statement shall enhance with the government position and irresponsibility to be higher for higher position-Say the total minus score to be multiplied by a figure as below: x4 for HOG, MOG; x2 for OIG	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Any creditibility index maintained by DAU on its/government public domain/notified publicly for each HOG MOG IOG ICE, PL namewise & individual based upon their statements	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is the creditibility index published/ transmitted by DAU alongwith speech/ statements/ message of HOG MOG IOG ICE MMG, PL	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is there a law that HOG, MOG, OIG, MGG would speak officially from Government's accredited/designated platform	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are the DOUEE/MOG/MGG responsible for enforcement and obtaining results/goals stated by speaker	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does speaker earmark accountability to self for owning his/her words and mention what action it would take if goes back to what he/she says	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is there a law of keeping permanent record on public domain for any public or official statement of HOG, MOG, IOG, ICE, MMG, PL	Yes=100 ; No=(-)250
	does speaker certify having authorization for speaking on behalf of Government/ political party/organization as applicable	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is there a rule/norm to evaluate the statement value of HOG,MOG,OIG,PL Top two levels, MGG top two levels in terms of following and notify by government	Yes=100 ; No=(-)250
	→→ Ownership of statement certified	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	→→ Are DAVM objectives clearly identified for <2years Result/aims envisaged/obtained or to be obtained, in DAVM	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	→→ terms in 1 year, 2year or more	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	number of times the same agenda repeated within four	Yes=(-)100each
	→→ months without furnishing action taken and results obtained	repetition
	→→ Criticizing others or putting up point without criticizing others	Criticizing Yes=(-)100; No=100
	→→ Use of sarcastic language	Yes=(-)50
	→→ Use of abusive language	Yes=(-)200 ;
	→→ Any contents anti-national/ anti-social	Yes=(-)200 ;

speaker certifies	If centred at religion, caste or region or language	Yes=(-)200
	Does speaker confirm/certify that he/she stands owner of the statement and fully responsible for truthfulness.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	If speaker does not confirm/certify that he/she stands owner of the statement and fully responsible for truthfulness, does he/she declare name/position of owner	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does the speaker certify alongwith the statement that it would not go back from what he/she is speaking (if does not mention, it would be presumed to own it fully)	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does the speaker certify that he/she never unsaid (denied his/her words) the words he/she spoke	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are the DOUEE/MOG and MGG for enforcement are specifically stated by speaker,	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Are the CAPP- DOUEE/MOG and MGG for enforcement are specifically stated by speaker,	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does speaker, specially GPP, HOG, MOG,PL specify the law/rule which empowers him/her for taking such decision and/or implementing a decision	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Is the statement in slogan form, quoting quotes, phrases, poems, mythology, Nara, sentimental phrases,	Yes=(-)150 each time it appears ; No=100
	Is the statement in sentimental form quoting religion unwarranted, religious statements/ideas/quotes, quoting caste, caste related statements/ideas/quotes unwarranted	Yes=(-)200 ; No=100
Structure	Is the statement in sentimental form quoting caste and related statements/ideas/quotes unwarranted	Yes=(-)150 ; No=100
	Is the statement in sentimental form quoting region and regionalism unwarranted	Yes=(-)150 ; No=100
	% of Total time of speech (or words) used for detailing objectives in non-DAVM, slogan, literary, story /narration form	<5%=0; >5%=-)20 each % higher
	% of Total time of speech (or words) used for detailing objectives as above in DAVM terms	25%=100; <25%=-)20 each % less
	% of total time of speech (or words) used for detailing goals envisaged and/or achieved in DAVM terms	25%=100; <25%=-)20 each % less
Speaker's responsibility	Speaker categorically mentioned/defined the objectives	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Speaker mention /defined the objectives in DAVM terms	Yes=100; Non-DAVM=(-)150
	Did speaker announce the verifiable and measurable envisaged goals on time line (DAVM goals) for objective	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Did speaker announce the verifiable and measurable achieved intermediate goals and/or final goals on time line (DAVM goals) for objective	Yes=100; No=(-)150

	Did speaker announce the public domain or the public notification where these verifiable/measurable intermediate goals and final goals are already placed on records for public viewing	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Talks about other's deficiencies and failures in DAVM form in their speech, talks, discussions	Yes=100; No=(-)100
	Does GPP-HOG,MOG,OIG,MGG brings out methodology, procedures of implementation and GPP responsible for implementation	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Hoodwink	The speaker delivers slogan based, literary, poem/story based achievements	Yes=(-)150;
	Speaker/Leader talks about its achievements, self praise etc in their speech, talks, discussions without DAVM data/facts	Yes=(-)150;
	Speaker/ talks about achievements of its political party and/or associates slogan based without DAVM data/facts/	Yes=(-)150;
	Talks about other's deficiencies and failures in slogan/literary terms in their speech, talks, discussions	Yes=(-)50;
	Uses unsolicited language and expression in their speech, talks, discussions	Yes=(-)100 each time
	Uses threat to voters /listeners in their speech, talks, discussions	Yes=(-)100 each time
	Speaker/Leader talks about its achievements and public welfare in DAVM form in their speech, talks, discussions	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Uses threat to other parties/candidates in their speech, talks, discussions	Yes=(-)100 each time
	Did speaker mention that he/she has been doing very good but quoting non-verifiable/non-measurable achievements	Yes=(-)150
	Did speaker mention that other PL doing awful and damaging to the people without placing evidence in terms of verifiable and visible proofs/connotes	Yes=(-)150
	Did the speaker express its state as sad and full of misfortune for gaining sympathy	Yes=(-)150
	Did the speaker used sentimental terms like slogan, poetry, mythology to show its worth	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker use religion based terms to show its worth	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker used caste based terms to show its worth	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker used regionalism (region) based terms	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mention/imply about a particular category of people being superior because of specific region base	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mention/imply about a particular category of people being superior because of specific language base	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mention/imply about a particular category of people being superior because of specific religion base	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mention/imply about a particular category of people being superior because of specific caste base	Yes=(-)150; No=50

	did speaker mention/imply anything which supports the existence of social evils	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	did speaker suggest in the speech that people should continue to live the way people used to live in the past, 20 years or more backward	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	total time % of total, the speaker talked about religion, caste, regionism, in a speech which was not specifically on these subjects	<10%=100; >10%=- (-)20 each % higher
meaningless	Did speaker place some people higher correlating and based upon references from the past or history	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker place some people higher correlating references from the mythology	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker place himself/herself or in its group higher correlating references from the mythology	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	Did speaker place any other person lower or condemn correlating references from the mythology	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	total time speaker talked about good of mythology and ancient time	<10%=100; >10%=- (-)20 each % higher
	Did speaker relate himself/herself to the God in words or in gesture, outlook	Yes=(-)250; No=50
	speaker related himself/herself to any super character not existed in reality	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	speaker related him/herself to any action of God, God like images/characters and comparing others, specially opposition front, to devil	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present poetry etc to prove him/herself worthy	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present quote, phrase, etc to prove him/herself worthy	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present mythological happenings etc to prove him/herself worthy	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present poetry, quote, phrase etc to prove others especially the opposition front unworthy/useless	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	Did speaker presented mythological happening, story etc to prove others especially the opposition front unworthy/useless	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	total time speaker talked about good of mythology and ancient time relating and justifying its actions to be correct or the actions of its associates	<10%=100; >10%=- (-)20 each % higher
talking about progress	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of development or non-DAVM, if talked about development	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM=(-)150
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of public services improvements if talked about it	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM=(-)150
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of economic improvements/upgradations if talked about it	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM=(-)150
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of social evils elimination	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM=(-)150
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of whatever good he/she talked about him/herself	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM=(-)150

	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of crime prevention	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM=(-)150
	% of total time speaker talked about progress, development, crime prevention, achievements presenting DAVM facts	40%=100; <40%=(-)20 each % less
	Speaker specifically mentioned about the methodology and action plan for achieving verifiable/measurable goals	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Speaker present DAVM data and statistics to show the present status and benefits available at every six months/ stages and when objectives are achieved fully	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is there a rule that Experts panel review of the speech of HOG, MOG top two level MGG and top two level PL of government's political party, presented by madiak the same day	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Is there a rule that Experts panel review of the speech of HOG, MOG top two level MGG and top two level PL of government's political party, presented by madiak the same day along with DAVM facts/analysis	Yes DAVM=200; Non-DAVM=(-)250
	Does madiak presents experts panel review of the speech of HOG, MOG, PL top four levels of government's political party, MGG top four levels; % presentation of all speech/address	
	Does the experts panel evaluate the statement value in terms of following	
	Ownership of statement certified	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are DAVM objectives clearly identified for <2years	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	result envisaged/obtained in 1 year, 2year or more	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	number of times the same agenda repeated within four months	Yes=(-)100each repetition
	Criticizing others or putting up point without crticizing others	Criticizing Yes=(-)100; No=100
	Use of abusive language	Yes=(-)200 ;
	Any contents anti-national/ anti-social	Yes=(-)200 ;
	If centred at religion, caste or region or language	Yes=(-)200
	The report of experts panel is placed on public forum	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Does madiak maintain a score /number of incidents when the HOG, MOG, OIG, PL, MGG denied to what he/she said earlier	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Language	Address using sarcastic language (in terms of sentence)	>twice=0; >twice=(-)50 each time
	Use of abusive language at any moment(in terms of sentence)	(-)100 each occasion
	Use of language degrading -demeaning others (in terms of sentence)	(-)50 each occasion
	(in terms of sentence)	(-)50 each occasion
	Use of language instigating the followers to become anti of someone(in terms of sentence)	(-)50 each occasion
	Anti national expression(in terms of sentence)	(-)100 each occasion

Use of religion mythology to praise self(in terms of sentence) (-)50 each occasion
Use of any well placed text, book, phrase amplifying self
personality and/or degrading others(in terms of sentence) (-)50 each occasion