Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Coloured Map of Governance Exposition - CMOGE

The government's governance covering a vast area of land and a big population of inhabitants is always a matter of great inquisition as the development of such vast areas in respect of public amenities and government public services would need a 'very high level of competency' which the government may have or also may not have. If the government has the competency, it would come out of its own in respect of 'PP average citizen index', PP Government public services/responsibilities index', PP government education and health system index, etc. The government competency shall be revealed by 'transparently assessing and notifying' all 'government performance and citizen happiness' indices regularly, not exceeding three months period successively. Under all probabilities, this will not be done by the government, as the government/GPP may turn into 'authority mode' from 'service mode' as explained in other chapters.

Also even if the government showing its sincerity and honesty, assess and publish all these parameters/indices with details, it would be difficult for a common person to go through the details and understand. Although such details shall be very useful for any analysis by madiak, academics, intellectuals etc., but common man would need a depiction, which can be understood 'at a glance', without spending a lot of time to understand, and 'still holds' for 'high reliability and sanctity'.

If this earth is not presented to the people in the form of 'a globe' sold at a shop, it would have been impossible for an individual to visualize the structure and shape of the earth, sitting in the house. And if the maps are not made up, then it would have been impossible to understand the demarcations, boundaries, identities, size and 'details' of different piece of lands, continents, subcontinents, nations and 'nation's parts and constituents' This is what provides immediate understanding of the places, its size, boundaries, nature, qualities etc just by looking at the maps and only by spending a much less time to understand and analyse. Such understanding cannot be accomplished by presenting the data and the figures in tables. This is why the geometrical representations and presentations of 'facts and figures' is most important methodology and techniques.

In a country, vast area and many many figures' related to governance in enormously varying fields of national security, economics, civic services, citizen happiness, CEAK, citizen rights, governance attributes masterism vs service, etc can only be presented in the form of such geometrical and pictorial, pictographic form to be assessable and understandable 'just by looking at glance'. This technique based upon this analogy is adopted here and termed as 'Colour map of governance exposition' CMOGE.

CMOGE methodology

CMOGE shall use the 'maps' already available and people being largely conversant with it, so easy to understand and evaluate. Let we take reference of the map of a district/unit region. The district map shall be divided into about 50 'sub unit region', approximately 5sq km each, equal in size to best possible.

The CMOGE maps shall be made and published by the government by assessing the score of each parameter from NN-N-Z-P-PP and then subdivisions NN3,NN2,NN1,N3,N2,N1,Z2,Z1,P1,P2,P3,PP1,PP2,PP3

The CMOGE maps would depict the status of 'governance parameters' in different colours and shades as below:

| Index/Score head | Total | Total | Score obtained |
|--|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| | (-)minus score | (+)plus score | |
| Average citizen index | 300.0 | | |
| Citizen CEAK index | | | |
| Government Health | | | |
| services index | | | |
| Government Education | | | 6/7 |
| services index | | | |
| Government public | | | |
| services index | | | |
| Government foremost | | | |
| responsibility index-total | | | |
| all fields | | | (/) / |
| Government sincerity | | 1 | |
| index-total all fields | | | |
| Citizen Authority vs Citizen | | | |
| Powerless index | | | |
| | | | |
| Irrigation index if | | | |
| applicable | | | |
| Total of (-)minus and +plus | =Tm | ∍ Тр | |
| and final index calculated | | | |
| CRAG implemented | | | Yes=0.1*Tp No=0.2*Tm |
| Major crime occurrence 5% | , | | Yes(with CRAG)=0.1*Tp |
| less compared to | | | No=0.2*Tm |
| corresponding period of | | | |
| last year with CRAG | | | |
| implemented | | | V 0.45 |
| Standard development | | | Yes=0.1*Tp; |
| index map | | | No=0.2*Tm |
| published/notified for all | | | |
| government public services SDI map published for | | | Voc-0.1*Tp: |
| electrical supply | | | Yes=0.1*Tp; No=0.2*Tm |
| Water logging (>1inch | | | Yes=(-) 100 each |
| water and 100sq mt area | | | location |
| at any public place, road, | | | location |
| park, open unoccupied | | | |
| land, garden 30 minutes | | | |
| after heavy rain stops) in | | | |
| cities | | | |
| Grand total | Tm1 | Tp1 | Ts |
| Assess rating from NN3- | | • | |
| NN2-NN1; N3-N2-N1,Z2- | | | |

| Z1,P1-P2-P3,PP1-PP2-PP3 | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---|--------------------------|--|
| , | | | | |
| Decide the colour of the | | | | |
| district/unit region as | | | | |
| below | | | | |
| | NN3 | | If Ts is (-)0.5*Tm1 or | |
| | | | more (-)ve- including Ts | |
| | | | is higher (-)ve than Tm1 | |
| | | | | |
| | NN2 | 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 | If Ts is more (-)ve than | |
| | | | 0.05*Tm1 and less than | |
| | NN1 | | (-)0.5*Tm1 | |
| | ININI | | | |
| | N3 | | | |
| | N2 | | | |
| | N1 | | | |
| | Z2 | | | |
| | Z1 | ***** | | |
| | P1 | | | |
| | P2 | | | |
| | P3 | | | |
| | PP1 | | | |
| | PP2 | | | |
| | PP3 | | | |
| | | | | |

Rules for application:

- 1. Each district to be coloured as per the eligibility for different categories based upon score achieved
- 2. The assessment of score shall be done
- 3. The colour of the district/unit region shall correspond to maximum (-)ve, i.e. NN3, by default for immediate display otherwise government would always delay implementation on some excuse or the other. The colour shall be changed upgraded to other category only after evidencing eligibility by proving that requisite score is obtained and presenting score obtained against individual 'Head of score' on public domain and notifying publicly

- 4. For any upgradation to higher category, say, NN3 To NN2, The 70% of district area must fall in that category while showing in the national level map, as different colours for different 'sub unit regions' will be difficult. So if 70% of the district area falls in NN2 region, only then the colour can be upgraded to NN2, otherwise the colour would correspond to NN3.
- 5. However for district map, different 'sub unit region' shall be shown in the colour corresponding to its 'score'. The same district map shall be included in the 'regional/state map'

6.