

Responsibility and Accountability Hypothesis

This chapter helps us to understand:

- 1. The RAA would be a characteristic signifying the 'outlook gesture' of the unit/entity towards 'all others'. The RAA shall be high if this 'outlook gesture' of the entity is positive, productive, creative, dutiful and humble.**
- 2. RAA would be the measurement of 'truthfulness' and would apply to any entity, an individual, an organization, a group, a religion, government, or any other entity.**
- 3. 'Responsibility' is the characteristics defining the positive and supportive role each one of us has got in this society, for others.**
- 4. Accountability is acceptance of moral obligation to fulfill /compensate loss caused to others with self-monitoring and penance.**
- 5. The RAA emphasize upon the 'self checks' accepted and conducted by the entity voluntarily**
- 6. Term 'selfless behavior' signifies a behavior just opposite to the 'selfish behavior'**
- 7. Each human is selfish in nature and predominantly driven by this, does behave selfishly open and visible where it is possible, or hidden otherwise.**
- 8. Government is the 'owner of all the powers'/ enormous powers, just common people possessing these powers, who are no superhuman. Fulfilling their urge of getting the adoration of 'being someone special', they may tend to use the powers selfishly**
- 9. The government powers may be used 'against a cost' and sold/applied to benefit others dissimilarly, if any discretion provided. Such powers may also be used to trouble others to make the people/environment troubled to get a cost for not doing so**
- 10. What can prevent such a mishappening? Rules, laws made by them? No.**
- 11. A domain of 'Rules and procedures', which have chords under the control of others, is inevitable to contain the selfish behaviour of those who are in possession of powers**
- 12. If we understand and perform our responsibilities towards others, an environment of mutual bonds is created inducting a feeling of responsibility in others for you.**
- 13. Owner (initiator) of a GOSIP scheme, plan, proposal is RAA Master. 'RAA exhibitors' would be the organs /people converting the GOSIP planning, scheme, proposal into reality in DAVM form. 'RAA reviewer' would monitor the behavior of 'RAA exhibitor' (and RAA Master).**

14. **Continuance of 'untruthful' behavior by RAA Exhibitor would clearly indicate the 'selfish' behavior of RAA Master also**
15. **RAA master should be nominated by name and complete identification along with every scheme, proposal, plan, project GOSIP and it would be considered as intentional 'dishonest and deceptive' act in absence of the same.**

The RAA would stand for 'Responsibility and Accountability'. It would be relevant to each entity an individual, a group or an organization. For example there are individuals in an organization. The RAA shall be applicable to the organization as a whole and individually to all members as well. **The RAA would be a characteristic signifying the 'outlook gesture' of the entity towards 'all OTHERS' in the environment. The RAA shall be high if this 'outlook gesture' of the entity is positive, productive, creative, dutiful and humble.**

It has been discussed that the lack of a checks on each individual, wherever and whatever position one may be possessing, provides an incentive for making decisions for supporting own interests. As 'external checks would interfere with the 'autonomy' of the person/entity, **the RAA would emphasize more upon the 'self checks' accepted and conducted by the entity voluntarily to enhance its suitability for the entire environment .**

It need to be clarified that elsewhere **a term of 'selfless behaviour' has been used to signify a behaviour just opposite to the 'selfish behaviour'**. In fact each individual would take many decisions which may look to have been taken in favour of other's interest, however, easily one can keep its own interest hidden. The human psychological fact also brings out that **each human is selfish in nature and predominantly driven by this, does behave selfishly open and visible where it is possible, or hidden otherwise.**

It is also brought out in other chapters **that people would have incentive to behave in selfish manner, open or hidden, if they (Owner of powers-OOP) are in possession of powers which can benefit themselves and/or trouble others (environment).** Such powers are provided with the objectives of benefitting others. If these are not used by the owner of powers, the benefits would not get transferred to others. **So the powers shall be used 'against a cost' and sold (used) to benefit others (and also to benefit their own selves in a bargain by charging cost).**

Or they (OOP) may use these powers to trouble others to make the people/environment troubled and get a cost for not doing so. In absence of checks and rather self-checks, it would be adopted by other OOP and it would soon spread like a culture where such practices would be so common that these are no more viewed as irregular, neither by the OOP and nor by the environment.

And **government is the 'owner of all the powers', enormous powers with just common people, in possession of these powers, who are no supermen.** There are all likelihood of getting driven by 'selfish' behaviour by these people, who were very 'ordinary' previously but became special all of a sudden. **For fulfilling their urge of getting the adoration of 'being someone special' from common people, they may tend to use their powers selfishly.** This has been very well explained where the 'Emergence of Master-Slave Governance' model of a government has been explained.

So what can prevent such a mishappening? Rules, laws made by them? No. They are the powerful, the creator of Rules and laws. They would structure rules and laws loose ended, ill-defined and knowing these deficiencies, they know the escape routes.

Thus, self consciousness, for doing only correct and proper, can prevent it. But the theory of the people being selfish in nature, itself suggest that having such approach by individuals would not be common. Also, survival is the most basic instinct in every creature and this itself becomes a strong reason for an individual to be selfish. So if 'selfless' behaviour can only be seldom and unlikely in common behaviour, what can be the way to contain the 'selfish' behaviour as if it continue, it would create a domain of only selfish and powerful people on one hand, and wretched and deprived on the other.

Therefore, **a domain of 'Rules and procedures', which have chords under the control of others,** is inevitable to contain the selfish behaviour of those who are in possession of powers. For government ICE is projected as the RAA Reviewer, therefore.

We define this by elaborating two important attributes, namely, Responsibility and Accountability. We shall call this RAA in short in further discussions. **'Responsibility' is the universal characteristics defining the positive and supportive role each one of us has got in this society, for others.** Thus close to the attribute of 'selflessness'. **If we understand our responsibilities towards others and perform to fulfill the same, an environment and sphere of mutual bonds is created inducting a feeling of responsibility in others for you.** So it would result love and cohesion.

'Accountability' is other dimension to exercise this control and is necessary. The boundary of 'responsibility and non-responsibility' is very shallow and most of the times, hidden in self as it is a thought which emerges inside of a person. There are all the probability that a person, simply because of the 'surge of responsibility' hidden and not visible to others, may present a face of responsibility to all, but act in selfish manner inside itself. **'Accountability' represents the adverse consequences of such a misdeed by a person. It would signify that by behaving selfish, a person would take away the 'goodness' which was due to someone else, thus becomes accountable to the one who has been put to loss by its 'selfishness'.** And thus lawfully and truthfully deserve to be put to a loss to compensate for other's loss caused by its selfish behaviour.

Therefore RAA would be the measurement of 'truthfulness' and would apply to any entity, an individual, an organization, a group, a religion, government, or any other entity.

Are the actors and participating parties identified and made public.

The owner (initiator) of a scheme, plan, proposal would have the responsibility of carrying the RAA attribute and would be called 'RAA master' in this role. The RAA master would symbolize the 'RAA' of the organization, group, unit, individuals and responsible for 'due presentation of 'selfless' behavior of all the people, in the process, who are possessing powers to benefit others or trouble others. Other actors would be 'RAA exhibitor' and 'RAA reviewer'.

The 'RAA exhibitors' would be the organs and people converting the planning, scheme, plan, proposal into reality in DAVM form.

The 'RAA reviewer' would monitor the behaviors of 'RAA exhibitor' (and also RAA Master) and advise 'RAA master' regarding any deficiency. The RAA master would be responsible to ensure the behavior of 'RAA exhibitors' in a truthful manner for carrying the responsibility and accountability attributes. **Continuance of 'untruthful' behavior by RAA Exhibitor would clearly indicate the 'selfish' behavior of RAA Master also.** Also if the plan, scheme, proposal is converted into real and DAVM achievements, RAA Master would be considered to be the owner of success.

A RAA master shall have to be nominated by name and complete identification along with every scheme, proposal, plan, project and it would be considered as intentional 'dishonest and deceptive' act in absence of the same.

The RAA master would be an individual who would not be having any legal case, corruption like or misappropriation or anti-social or anti-national charges against it at least during last five years. It may be government or non- government authority but invariably carrying the responsibilities of RAA and constitutional powers to overview and take corrective action by guiding and controlling the behavior of RAA exhibitors.

RAA master would also be one of RAA exhibitors with specified RAA attributes to perform. There would be a provisioning of regular monitoring and reviews of the actions of various RAA exhibitors. All actors positioned in role for participation in the execution of proposal scheme , plan etc. would invariably be under category of 'RAA exhibitors'. Any individual participating in the process hidden or indirectly, would also be a "RAA exhibitor".

Government Electrified + public servant