

Political Party Analysis:

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

This chapter helps us to understand:

- 1. Although political party system is globally accepted mechanism for formation of 'public supported' government, it is still a group of persons driven by 'self interests'**
- 2. In a democratic governance system, the political party leaders become the 'government people' after winning elections. They are same persons thus political party behavior and culture would flow into the 'governance'.**
- 3. For a diligent and responsible/accountable behavior by the government people, it is essential that the behavior of political party leaders PL is diligent, responsible and accountable.**
- 4. As there are no strict and defined regulations, norms and rules for political party as these are available for the 'government units', thus the abrupt, distorted behavior of PL would spoil the working of 'government' which is supposed to be 'regulated and restrained'. This MUST BE Prevented.**
- 5. It is utmost necessary that the behavior of PL is regulated against predefined rules, norms. These should be structured and issued by DAU and assessed performance of PL to be notified every week or in between.**
- 6. The citizen welfare/development, national integration, communal harmony, social evils elimination, Condemning anti-social and anti-national behavior/acts should be covered under rules and norms by DAU to the minimum.**
- 7. The Objectives/agenda of political parties normally vague, general, never changing, in non-DAVM slogan form usually. No norms defined in the agenda for the party to justify as how the party would achieve it.**
- 8. Neither a scrutiny is done by the party nor by any other agency to testify the agenda being in the interest of the nation and if the party itself has been working on it and notifying the achievements.**
- 9. The same persons would form the government. Any stringent norms and standards made for political party would create troubles for them for 'compliance' and 'exhibiting/reporting compliance', so GPP have incentives for not making stringent norms and standards for political party.**
- 10. Therefore political parties performance parameters, assessment procedures, notifying it to people must be covered in COG**

11. Even though a government formed on the 'political party' system understood to be 'ideal' methodology, political party system is the origin of 'corruption' in government
12. If the political parties exists for 'public welfare' at large, the same objective, there should not be many parties. The constitution of a political party for forming a government later, itself would be a motivated act.
13. Achieving the objectives using their resources would not only shrink the resources but also shrink the agenda i.e. reason for parties existence. The natural choice for any party would be to keep the 'agenda' live and use only nation's resources
14. Every party, even if sincerely perusing its objectives, would have the most important hidden agenda of coming to power and own government powers
15. The political party therefore is outcome of aspirations of getting to power and therefore, needed by the 'owner of political party', a politician, rather than 'the people'.
16. The goals need to be shareable, well identified and defined and measurable for the political parties to demonstrate perseverance and passion for achieving goals.
- 17.

Political party objectives and transformation to power orientation

Any question as to if a political party is required or not, would seem to be a controversial and provoking issue as the party based arrangement and formation of government with background of a political party, is an universally accepted system. However, there are numerous examples that the countries have not progressed and developed as compared to other countries in the same time period and the difference is vast and significant. Therefore, let an analysis be done further for substantiation and verification, here in respect of the 'existence and usefulness' of political party.

In a democratic governance system, the political party leaders become the 'government people' after winning elections. They are same persons thus political party behavior and culture would flow into the 'governance'.

For a diligent and responsible/accountable behavior by the government people, it is essential that the behavior of political party leaders PL is diligent, responsible and accountable.

As there are no strict and defined regulations, norms and rules for political party as these are available for the 'government units', thus the abrupt, distorted behavior of PL would spoil the working of 'government' which is supposed to be 'regulated and restrained'. This MUST BE Prevented.

It is utmost necessary that the behavior of PL is regulated against predefined rules, norms. These should be structured and issued by DAU and assessed performance of PL to be notified every week or inbetween.

The citizen welfare/development, national integration, communal harmony, social evils elimination, Condemning anti-social and anti-national behavior/acts should be covered under rules and norms by DAU to the minimum.

A political party is formed with some objectives/agenda and fundamentally this would be their agenda towards the nation and society and under no condition this would be an agenda for their own self. However, **objectives are vague, general, everlasting, never changing, in non-DAVM slogan form usually, therefore, no norms defined in the agenda for the party to justify as how the party would achieve it.** Also neither a scrutiny is done by the party nor by any other agency to testify the agenda being in the interest of the nation and if the party itself has been working on it and notifying the achievements. **The COG must cover such guidelines that the political party does not get into the 'selfish gain' mode.** The leniency from the COG/ICE/Government shall therefore, form the most important reason for the 'change over' of political party.

As the same persons would form the government, any stringent norms and standards made for political party would create troubles for them for 'compliance' and 'exhibiting/reporting compliance'. So, GPP have incentives for not making stringent norms and standards for political party.

The performance parameters, objectives in DAVM form, achievements measuring procedures, verification and notifying to the citizen and government must be detailed in COG.

The party objectives, in general would be vague and non-DAVM slogan form. **There would normally be no action plan assigned with the agenda regarding application and implementation** of the agenda specified efforts.

Presuming that the members of the party have genuine and unbiased interest to serve the objectives, and the objectives are in the interest of the citizen and the nation in overall perspective, the people/ citizen and party are presumed to be in harmony.

If it is seen in context with public welfare at large, it is presumed that the objectives of all parties would almost be same. This itself contradicts the existence of many parties in the same political system. Therefore, the prime

motive of party's formation, need to be established. Subsequently it is necessary to define the objectives of the party representatives.

Any party with, whatever objectives in agenda, cannot fulfill or achieve the objectives without coming to power as the resources otherwise with the party will not be adequate to achieve the objectives. Even if party has resources to achieve such objectives, it would not spend on it because it would cut down an objective from their agenda and would depreciate the reasons for their being in existence. Achieving the objectives using their resources would not only shrink the resources but also shrink the agenda which would reduce base of the people. Moreover it would curtail one strong reason for diverting nation's resources for. **The natural choice for any party would therefore, be to keep the 'agenda' live for the public and would use only nation's resources for achieving the objectives in their agenda.**

Therefore, **every party, even if sincerely perusing its objectives as made public, would have the most important hidden agenda of coming to power and own government powers.** And this is an attribute related to 'individual' and not an 'ideology' therefore, **the individuals in a party would try to use party as a 'tool' to fulfill their aspirations to get to the power. This is an approach of an 'owner' of a 'resource' to use the same for its benefit. The political party therefore would be an outcome of aspirations of getting to power and therefore, needed by the 'owner of political party', a politician, rather than 'the people'.**

The political party formed with the aim of dealing and solving the problems of society/citizen would end up with the ambitions of capturing government powers. With this attitude the master- slave formation would occur within political party and powerful leaders would aspire to gain 'masterism' which would eventually make others 'slave'.

Political party working as Team

Let we take example of a football team. It's a group constituted to achieve specified goal.

In the football team, the goal is very well defined and it is known to all teammates that success or the failure would be joint. Neither success is individual's own and nor the failure. Thus the spirits are together and actions unified. Being leader, the captain may get the opportunity of speaking on behalf of the team but the player who has scored the winning goal gets its dues both in terms of recognition of its achievements and efforts and captain also has to give due respect to it. However the basic requirements remain that

- (i) the goals are identifiable and joint and everyone shares it sentimentally and physically
- (ii) the players who would be working hard to achieve it gets their dues
- (iii) presentation of the one who performs outstanding undisputed and unarguably
- (iv) And everything is to be so explicit and well known that the media cannot make other stories.

As the political leaders turn into the 'GPP', their behavior in respect of the 'team working' becomes more important and significant.

A political party (or the government) would therefore, work well and unified for the goals to which the members are sentimentally attached to and are rewarding for their dues. As political party and its persons are in direct contact with the citizen, it would be purposeful for concerns pertaining to social issues, may be pertaining to eradication of social evils, unification of society, equality of citizen, welfare etc. **But the goals need to be shareable, well identified and defined and measurable for the political parties to demonstrate perseverance and passion for achieving goals.**

The tendency of few for becoming owner of the political party (would also be that they tend to become owner of the government later) **would have objective of realizing personal aspirations of getting to power through the 'party' and this would make a 'political party' a group just opposite to a unified 'team'.**

The basic requirement for team working is that **the goals are identifiable and joint, the actions are open and everyone outside knows the players who would be working hard to achieve it** and presentation of the one who remain outstanding undisputed and unarguably. As **everything is explicit and known, the media also cannot make other stories and the happenings and no actor in the process would be able to manipulate the happenings the other way. Such model shall be the 'stable team working'.**

Thus, in case of government, if the goals are identified and known to everyone, the players are identified, the achievements are measurable and verifiable and the contribution of each player is easily and separately recognizable and the recognition is joint instead of individualistic, a government would also function like a team.

Now this approach may differ from the approach of a political party government would possess as described above. The **tendency of few for becoming owner of the political party or the government and realizing personal aspirations of getting to power** through the 'party' makes a 'political party' a group just opposite to a 'football team'.

A political party would therefore, work well and unified for the goals to which the members are sentimentally attached to. And most of such issues, would be social issues. May be pertaining to eradication of social evils, unification of society, equality of citizen, welfare, higher level of public services, better education and health services etc. but with identified distinct and measurable goals, the political parties may demonstrate perseverance and passion for achieving goals.

Political party transformation into government

GPP are the persons who were in political party previously, same person, same mental stature, thus would behave the same way. Even after getting into a new role of GPP,

they may tend to carry the legacy and impressions of the political party working. Also in some cases when the party's top leadership may decide to keep away from the 'powers' of the government, and prefer to make some 'dummy' person as HOG, a situation may arise that the works done by a government are projected as achievements of the political party, something unethical.

There are endless examples, that the works done by the government are presented to the people as works done by an individual etc. **The works done by the government is presented to people as achievements of 'an individual' by presenting only 'one face' as the 'action person' for anything and everything done by the government.** Going back to the model of a football team, the goal keeper, defender and attacker, everyone is important and their roles and contributions are defined. The only difference is that the performance of each individual is visible and readily assessed every moment. Whereas, in case of government, if the direct communication with the citizen is missing, the government actions can be presented as actions of an individual, HOG or PL etc and this leads to 'masterism' and 'undemocracy'. In this case the performance of all team members of government is not visible to the people, thus can be manipulated and fabricated. It is therefore, brought out in other chapters that 'every government portfolio' and DOUEE must have a public domain. It has been brought out in other chapter that the authorized person/persons and the official platform for making announcements pertaining to government's functions and actions must be notified by Government and to be monitored by ICE. **This has also been explained in 'Fame Game' chapter. So much so, sometimes the works done by the government are presented as achievements of individuals who are not in the government. All these examples strongly represents not only the party being grossly 'insignificant' before an individual but even the government being 'meaningless' against an individual.** Which ultimately exhibit an approach where the **government money is used for projection of image of an individual, which again is unethical.**

Say for example, if an act is passed by the parliament, it is because of the support of all parties and all parties therefore deserve their share of appreciation. **Presentation of an individual as the 'achiever' for GOSIP funded by Government, directly or indirectly and by utilizing 'nation's resources' and 'government money' may be termed as 'Government ruled by Individual' GRBI and would be considered as a erroneous function of the party and the government.** A government working on this philosophy would be GRBI, a concept away from true democratic spirit.

The sun shines everyday and nobody ever notify it everyday that the sun shone that day. However, the day when sun does not shine, the day of eclipse, becomes a headline. Why so? The sun is the centre of all energy (Power), producing energy and transferring it to all around, is something expected in normal course with the 'potential of powers' it has. **If a person has been made HOG, MOG, with all powers resting with the person, the works done by him/ her are not so important as the things which are deficient and could not be performed. This therefore, the failures should be the most important analogy to assess the performance and not the success.** The worth discussing and talking here are the failures and not the success. The model for such an analysis is the model and measurement of 'De-shining' and not shining. **Thus 'failure index, MUBI index of government sincerity etc' would be the measurement of performance of the government and its units, organs, persons since provided with all the powers and energy to perform.**

If all resources and powers are provided and still a person or entity fails to perform, it would be only due to his/her incapability and inaction.

We come back to the Government and the party. Government is a body having powers from the constitution thus gain their performance value (PV) from the nation's resources which are at their disposal. **And a political party is an unconstitutional body, supposed to be indifferent and detached with any link with the powers which are available to the Government, at par with other parties which are not in the government.**

How the works done by the Government with all the resources of the nation in hand and with the fact of these resources belonging to all citizens and thus all other parties too, can be owned by a single party?

To understand it better, let us look at it from the other side. If the works done by the Government become the works done by the specific political party, the party will tend to attach itself with the resources which have enabled the government to work in a manner and perform so that it gets recognized over and above the 'government's identity'. The identity of the government would become subdued against the identity of the political party and the **individuals in political party would become more prominent than the government or the Members of the government shall openly accept subordination of political party.** Under this situation, the resources available to the government, like whole lot of bureaucracy, infrastructure, establishments, equipment, and government treasure etc would slip under the control of the party.

This brings in the worst and most undesirable turning point where party, an unconstitutional body, having no right on government decision and national resources becomes the first owner of it.

The attention of the media, which ideally should view the performance of the government, would also divert to highlight the party's activities. The statutory norm in some countries, for canceling membership of a Legislator/OIG, if it is not supported by the party, adds further to transfer power balance in favour of the party. This overshadows the works done by the OIG and renders him/her fully under the control of political party, which again is unethical and contrary to the constitution of the nation unless specifically permitted.

In other chapter it has been brought out that the election of OIG must be contested based upon individual's performance without using the name and depiction of political party.

The claim that government success is the achievement of the party is fundamentally wrong as with all those resources, under all possibilities, the other parties, presumably, would also have done equally good or may be even better.

So as above, having a party at the back of a government would tend to become front runner in government decisions and would create a serious unethical situation leading to the party becoming owner of the national resources and government becoming passive.

The **government, if was a group of individuals, without any backward unification, it would have been a real democracy**, the members would be acting without involving their own interest in the decisions as by taking a wrong decision, not only it would face criticism from its colleagues, but would face the entire nation and one man would never dare to face millions and would never like to get into wrong decisions. Whereas **in case of a party backed government, the party immediately comes out to protect the misdeeds of the MOG being equal partner in the misdeed**. Let we call this happening as 'Government political party Unethical partnership' GPUP.

Political Party in 'negative mode'

However, contesting an election demands getting ahead of others, thus political party would come up with an imaginary agenda of doing best of best for everyone. And this would be the practice of all parties. It would be difficult for a party to get ahead of others simply by projecting its agenda, which may also happen to be the agenda of others partly or comprehensively. The party therefore, **would look reverse, which would be to do damage to other parties and to cut down their size. The route to success shall be paved not by presenting good points about ownself but it would be firmed up by criticizing and demeaning others**. This would convert the political parties into negative entities and election process into a negative and unproductive process.

As discussed in the 'Democratic assurance system' in other chapter, this should be **announced by the ICE/DAU after verification as to what a party has achieved on all fronts**.

It has been elaborated in Election system, DAU and other chapters that **'political parties' should not present its entity as the proprietor of the candidates in elections, and such act shall be contrary to the 'selection' of candidates on 'merit' basis, so would be contrary to the existence of 'true democracy'**. (Not discussed here as it would be repetition).

The **other aspect related to 'parties' is the presumption that people of the same thinking unites**. Does it mean that the agenda of every party is ideal and good for public and people? **The possibility of such a situation in heterogeneous societies like on earth is rare**. If the agenda of a party is the ideal one, every person would be member of such party only. And **if every person belongs to the same party, the situation comes just parallel to not having any party**. Whatever decision would be taken, will be complied by all, no election no choice and no voters, and thus equal to having no party.

Looking at it from other side, the other interpretation is that no party would have an ideal agenda, some items good to some section of the society only and these may not be good to the other section. This will lead to existence of many parties. **When there are many parties, none would have an agenda favoring to every citizen. Now each party has some good agenda for some section but not good for other section. So there would be deficiencies in every party's agenda**. As this party moves more and more towards 'party-ism and polarization', the deficiencies would get more and more strengthened and polarization of deficiencies and relative deficiencies (item good for some selected) gets stronger. Obviously when such parties will present their agenda to the same set of people, because of subjectivity and relativity, these parties will collide. **Now instead of talking about its own merits, a party would try to justify its relative**

deficiency to whereas be good to people and other party's relative deficiency to be bad the party on other side would claim the opposite. Thus the parties would not attract the people at large as a universal choice because now these are not talking about 'absolute goodness' but 'relative deficiencies'. So to take the people in, the parties will use power and resources. **The horrible truth of criminalization of politics and corruption would start from the political parties. After this stage the party, may be presenting a face of true democratic party to the people but slips over to the Master slave mode.**

One can accept the force being exercised upon oneself only if it accepts that owner of the force, is its 'Master'. Once this comes in, **the party tends more to be the 'master' resulting the 'master slave formation' and has approach of creating more slaves.** From here the welfare of the people is not party's interest anymore. Rather it will prefer to be more 'Master' banking more upon authority and criminality by creating more and more slaves. **The political party intention shall now be to keep citizen at low CEAK level, PITI and helpless and shall apply the measures to make them so.**

It has been explained in other chapters that a government with Masterism, would opt to make the citizen slave and PITI rather than uplifting them, denying them attributes which would make them intelligent. The GPP are PL before becoming GPP and may anytime become PL, so virtually they are one. **It would therefore be the aim of a political party too.**

The political party (and government) in 'authority orientation' shall adopt strategies and conspire to make citizen 'low intelligence' and PITI as covered in chapter 'Games of Ruler'. The tools like religion, caste, regionalism, culture, heritage, fundamentalism shall be applied in a manner to keep citizen low CEAK level.

The objective of keeping people at Low CEAK/NAAK is obviously that the 'Political leader' aspire to become a 'master'

Political Leader as 'Master'

There are examples that **the elected politicians or political party top leaders, wish that the people surround them, touches their feet, lower their head or lower on knees, make them to wait for their glimpse, create environment of their being 'supreme' and formidable and so on .** The people, even though don't have enough to eat, not enough clothing and shelter, but they feel pride in saying that some one is their 'master'. The posters displaying extreme subordination expression for the 'political leaders' like use of adjective' such as mahanayak, Pujniya, param poojniya, godfather etc which nowhere corresponds to the 'PL'. **It would be important to know if the PL anytime claimed to be 'super person' or in possession of any 'characteristics' which uplift them so much from a common person that they would acquire the status corresponding to the 'words and adjectives' used for them. And if they ever claimed so, do the PL furnish evidence and events/occasion of having achieved that distinction elevating its status. If 'NO' the PL must advise the people not to use such 'exaggerated' expressions and ensure that the political party and its members/mediums do not use such expressions without obtaining proper 'substantiation' from him. Such substantiation for PL being 'super' must be placed on public domain of the political party and the government alongwith evidences.**

The political parties and subsequently the government GPP, if sustaining on the platform of such exaggerated hypothetical denominations, would not only depend upon the party members to 'hype' them but use 'public media' as it would leave impact on thousands of people in one go. The media would present PL/GPP in such manner in the 'news' and 'reports'. **The media provides fictitious and superfluous expressions for GPL rather than using simple names of the person And media would have the control of the government in terms of 'license, permits, approvals, sanctions etc and would have preference to abide by the directions, official or unofficial, of the GPP. The media has the commercial operations for sustaining its existence and enlarging its size, and 'publicity material from government and the political party' would form most reliable 'revenue' sources.** Thus media shall carry on. This form of media is termed as 'MADISM', 'Master's dude', as it would present the gesture of a low-intelligent and selfish entity. **The government must have law/rule that such exaggerated expressions without the written substantiation by the PL, if used, shall be liable to be tested against the charge of 'Cheating'.**

And once GPL is a master, it would never want to lose it, no matter whatever means one has to adopt to retain it. So, may be starting as democratic party, ultimately someone would tend to become owner of the party, turns authoritative and ruler directly or indirectly. Such identities in a democratic system, are even more dangerous. these 'masters in democracy' (MID) politicians would change rules to support them for reducing responsibility and accountability making the people more and more helpless and wretched.

And this starts from the formation of political parties. Based upon above elaborations it is obvious that its not the citizen who would believe in formation of political parties, although it may be presented so.

ELECTIONS

As described above, the citizen has no incentive to form a political party. Most of them, busy in the struggles of life, have no incentive and time to actively participate in 'political party's' activities as after the elections, the PL becomes 'Government' and may not fulfill everything it might have committed because now he/she is controlled by the laws and rules.

However, **still the citizen may prefer to be represented by some political party. This represents that the political environment is dominated by the 'political parties' in negative mode and political leaders in 'selfish Master' mode and citizen has to join some political party to protect it from the 'negativity' of other parties and 'Masterism' of Leaders of other political parties.**

The other reason would be that the political party he/she belongs to, after coming to power, would permit him/her to extract and derive benefits, which are not 'due' in normal course. This is hypothetical with rare chances that it would happen with everyone.

If the Constitution of the nation/ICE/Government have not implemented that elections would be held 'ONLY on the basis of individual's performance' without using and presenting its affiliation with political party, the candidate would have no option but to use the affiliation of the political party, as those who are member of the political party would vote in its favor.

And this would make 'political party' as 'autocratic authority'. **In this mode the 'Political party' relates its existence with 'capturing the Government's authority' only.** The whole scenario changes now. The **political party, which came to existence for 'public service' and 'social cause' turns into 'autocratic' entity.**

And for getting to the 'Government Powers' the political party must win 'elections'.

Now with Elections being contested by candidates using background and affiliation of the 'political party' the political party becomes dominant. It would happen because a single person (candidate) shall always feel to be 'less powerful' compared to the organization. **With aspirations of the political party of capturing 'Government authority', the elections are 'fought' by political party and not by individual candidate.** The term 'fought' represents the political parties 'undue ambitions' to capture the 'Government authority'.

If the nation has an 'Election system' which would be contested Only based upon the 'candidates performance', and presenting itself as a person, the whole Governance scenario changes as the political party would not aspire to be 'Controller of Government', the political party shall not shift to 'negative mode' and 'Political leaders' would not turn into a 'Master'.

Anyway, we further move ahead that the elections are contested by 'political parties' and not by 'candidates'. The political party shall contest at maximum number of seats so that they have a 'majority' in 'parliament'. And now because political party is 'contesting' elections, it would be in forefront to help all the candidates by sparing various resources.

As explained in other chapter, **the use of public media, posters, loudspeaker announcements, rally, participation speech by other prominent leaders and other shows are just unwarranted in an election, if the election is contested by 'individual candidate' just based upon his/her 'merits' and 'social service/public service' rendered by him/her maintaining 'touch' with the people. The people must have in all appreciation and support to the person, aware of his actions, if he/she was in their service in reality. So ideally nothing else EXCEPT the candidate meeting all the people personally.**

However, we come back to the scenario that elections are 'fought' by the political parties to capture the 'government authority'. **So the elections will need 'artificial projection' of candidates and political parties by using means' to 'hype' and 'blow up' the party's profile and 'candidates' profile as 'party's' functionary. The party's approach will be to 'influence' the voter which would need loud and aggressive presentations, uninterrupted and continuous activities like speech, recorded announcement on loudspeakers, display of posters that the voter would see their poster wherever the voter could see, rally, public meetings, exaggerated protest against other candidates and parties to 'demean' them to psychologically influence and confuse the people.**

And applying such means and measures over the entire nation and for hundreds of candidates, enormous finance/money is needed by the political party. And the ways are to be developed to have this 'quantum of money' available. The normal source would be willing contribution by the party members. However, this would not be adequate anyway. The government, any government for that matter, would develop rules and laws to

permit donations and contributions by business domain as they have much better money flow in their hands. And further develop rules that the political party can conceal its 'money bank' and also that the 'business people' gets some benefits out of such sparing of money by them.

The political party shall devise loopholes such as sponsoring of these means of propaganda by the 'business people', investing directly for above activities during elections as mentioned above.

And this is just the beginning. The candidate PL, after winning and acquiring the 'government powers' and access to 'nation's resources', in its form of a person developed by political parties, shall have preference and inclination for fulfilling 'selfish gains' acquiring a 'money reserve' with him/her for next elections and to preserve and upgrade its position in political party. And open gateways of unending 'corruption' in government and in political party.

The Constitution and laws of the nation must insist for an 'election' contested 'purely based upon the presentation of good work done by the candidate by himself only without anybody influencing the voters by its association, which can make the elections fair and genuine.

Let us call it 'one to one Election' with two actors and players only, Candidate and Voter'.

Political party's purposefulness

I have explained above that the political party, **because of GPP being in dual role, government GPP and political leader PL, would exercise influence over the government decisions, which is unethical and unconstitutional. But political party is a powerful entity because of:**

- (i) **It has very large number of members/occupants**, which is most important in a democratic governance system, as these would get converted to 'votes' when needed
- (ii) These **very large number of members/occupants are sentimentally attached to party as political party, in general**, not delivering any benefit to them directly. The people attached sentimentally are driven by 'low intelligent' attributes like slogans, speech, dialogues, poems, depiction, symbolism etc thus easy to maneuver.

The **GPP would have to carry the influence of PL therefore**. However, if we consider ONLY this as the meaningfulness of a political party, which is being thought through for ages, we commit a great mistake. **A feature, unwanted and undesirable, of a political party is being accepted which is leading to unconstitutional situations and 'government's governance anomalies'.**

So what would be the purpose and function of a 'political party'?

It has been explained in other chapter that emergence of 'political leader' PL is that it is in direct touch with the common people, dealing with their problems and finding solutions. When PL turns into GPP, it works for the people for 'development, management, law and order, harmony' etc and the functions related to 'raising and enriching of social mindset' of the society, leaves behind, GPP now being occupied by national and international matters.

Before it is forgotten, just recollect that the PL and political party, are the entities close to the 'people' and 'people accept their influence'. So **if the government and the political party have any importance for the 'social upgradations, reforms and transformations', a political party must be entrusted with such responsibilities.** The elimination of social evils and social anomalies must be assigned to political parties.

The **government with, genuine inclination of 'social transformations',** with visualization of the betterment of society, would **launch a comprehensive programme for 'social reforms and upgradations'** to enhance the overall advancement of the society. The government must include the following:

- A. Elimination of social evils, disparity, anomaly
- B. Modifying unscientific, unproven, perception based social practices
- C. Elimination of inhumane, sadistic and brutal social practices
- D. Monitoring of education and training system by political parties to ensure that the society becoming intelligent and aligned to the direction the whole world is moving to
- E. Monitoring of 'Government health services system' by political parties to ensure that the society/citizen becoming less susceptible to the health problems enabling them to perform delivering their best.
- F. Nullifying the impact of 'ignorance', illiteracy, with the aim that citizen/people are not carried by 'emotions, illogic, unsubstantiated sayings' but understand and analyze

The government must work out DAVM goals in respect of above objectives and get all political parties associated for their willing association and confirmation and questioning GPP wherever the deficiency occurs. If government does not do it, political party must develop DAVM goals and advise government to follow.