

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system or person in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

JDS=Justice delivery system; JD=Justice Deliverer; JMP=Justice making process; PRIJM=AJD=PIJM+RIJM=Participants representatives in Justice making (lawer, Jury, Caalee, investigation=PIJM) and (RIJM= medical reporting, forensic reporting); OSJ=Outsiders supporting justice witness; CAALEE-Crime abolition and Law enforcement establishment etc; Judge/JD- Justice Deliverer

JDS JUSTICE INDEX

JDS System-

Principles	Are objectives of JDS defined and published.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Are roles and responsibilities of different actors and participants defined (to the minimum).	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are roles and responsibilities of different co-actors/co-players defined (to the minimum).	
	Is delivery of Justice paramount objective of JDS.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is justice defined in the JDS.	Yes=150 ; No=(-)250
	Does name of the system indicate that justice delivery is the objective and purpose of that unit, organization, system	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are the responsibilities of delivering justice defined. The owner of justice delivery responsibility defined.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are the main functions of JDS defined.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are the following included in functions of JDS	
	(i) Protect citizen against social evils.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	(ii) protect citizen against political evils.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	(iii) protect citizens from anticitizen like acts	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	(iv) protect citizen against misuse of Government authority	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are the laws structured by JDS or the Government	JDS=200; Govt=(-)200
	If laws are structured by JDS, these are approved by Government	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
	Does the principle 'Justice is absolute truth' and anything which is not justice is injustice' followed and applied	Yes=500 ; No=(-)500
	Is the basis of JMP is if gain fair or not	Yes = (-)250
	Is the basis of JDS if loss is due or not	Yes=250
	Is the JDS principled to establish WAL & WAG	Yes=250; No=(-)500
	Does the JDS primarily principled that if there is a loss, some one is at gain corresponding to it	Yes=250; No=(-)400
	Does the JDS primarily principled that start of JDS is if the Loss was due or not	Yes=250; No=(-)400
	Does the JDS primarily principled that start of JDS is if the Loss caused Gain to someone	Yes=250; No=(-)400
	Does the JDS primarily principled that start of JDS is if the Loss has occurred which was not due to WAL, the JDS has to offset the loss by recompense and reparation	Yes=400; No=(-)400

If it is examined: Is it possible to establish both WAL, WAG	Yes=250; No=(-)400
If it is examined: Establish WAL (if WAG not possible) and if loss was due to WAL	Yes=250; No=(-)400
If it is examined: Establish WAL (if WAG not possible) and if loss is caused by WAG	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Is it done to establish WAG only (if WAL not present) -To be examined if gain is due or not	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Are principles of JDS defined and published	Yes=400; No=(-)400
If the judge decides that the crime has been done but WAG accused is not guilty, is the case kept open or closed	Open=400; closed=(-)800
Under conditions at above, does the judge instruct the 'Caalee' to catch the real culprit and produce- keeping case open	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Are there public domain available with all the departments and agencies where freely complaint can be lodged.	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Is the auto generated crime report CRAG mechanism functional easily freely available to citizen	Yes=250; No=(-)400
How many such complaints/ cases lodged where related government/controlled or government run agencies/departments acted together to cause loss to citizen .	(-)400 each case
How many such cases are in which women and children are victim	
Has the aspect of zero value justice is recognized by the justice system in-vogue	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Is the criteria of time frame for justice is in principle accepted and applied in the norms of justice system	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Does the justice system recognizes that the verdict given after the JTP is injustice	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Has the justice system worked out methodology together with AJDs- how the justice delivery can be realized within JTP	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Is the value of justice assigned with the judgement /verdict and decision	Yes=200; No=(-)200
The objectives of justice system shall be well defined that it would deliver justice as a truth	Yes=250; No=(-)400
The actors and players in justice delivery shall assign commitment to the justice delivery as a truth	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Is it established in principle as to whom the justice is for in particular case WAL or WAG	
Is identification of WAL and WAG in principle is accepted norm	Yes=250; No=(-)400

Procedures orientation to justice

Does the Judge (justice deliverer) certifies for being owner of the decision (judgement) and that justice is delivered	Yes=100; No=(-)200
Does the JDS identify WAG and/or WAL	Yes=200; No=(-)250

JDS establishes for WAL having incurred a loss corresponding to its reporting.	Yes=250; No=(-)250
JDS establishes for WAG having gained.	Yes=250; No=(-)250
JDS index of WAG having gained out of the loss of WAL	Yes=250; No=(-)400
JDS assesses – Social position differential of WAL & WAG	Yes=100; No=(-)150
JDS assesses – Resourceful position differential of WAL & WAG	Yes=100; No=(-)150
JDS assesses – manipulatability position differential of WAL & WAG (background of criminal, corruption cheating, betraying, relations with any JDS actor)	Yes=100; No=(-)200
JDS assesses the relative Justice distance of WAL and WAG	Yes=250; No=(-)250
JDS assesses the anti justice differential between WAL & WAG	Yes=250; No=(-)250
JDS keep cognizance of relative justice distance and anti-justice differential while permitting freedom (bail etc) to WAG	Yes=250; No=(-)250
If the weightage of WAG is 100% more (or >100%)more compared to WAL, then justice system would ensure that no injustice is done to WAL as first responsibility	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Do the laws cover what minimum details 'Caalee' must submit to court with the charge sheet for different crimes	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Are the all support documents, evidence, witness, forensic reports, situational analysis, person's analysis (WAL & WAG) are defined by JDS/Law which should be covered in the 'Caalee' report.	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Are the formats of all support documents, evidence, witness, forensic reports, situational analysis, person's analysis (WAL & WAG) are defined by JDS/Law which should be covered in the 'Caalee' report.	Yes=250; No=(-)400
Does the court establishes completeness of DPH 'Caalee' report against predefined criteria and take up the concerned person/official if report is <95% complete	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Does the 'Caalee' has guide lines under laws that within stipulated period the 'Caalee' has to submit case to the justice system	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Is the compliance of the same or otherwise is correlated with the performance of 'Caalee'	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Is the 'Caalee' considered supporting culprit if charge sheet is delayed	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Does the court within a stipulated reasonable period decide the processing of case, the structure in which 'Caalee' presented vs. in which it is to be processed in court.	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Do the laws cover period within which court to decide charges after charge sheet submitted but not exceeding 6 months.	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Do the Judge and lawyers give undertaking and by oath that they would deliver the justice to their best.	Yes=400; No=(-)400
Do the lawyers discuss the directly related (A) happenings / mis-happening details with the witness or discuss round about (imperative- Z) things	A-100; Z=(-)100
How many times the judge has stopped or overruled the question of lawyer	

Do the lawyers submit undertaking that they have examined the case and decided to fight case to protect WAL or WAG considering their client as innocent (lawyers provided by government to support may be excluded)	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Number of cases in which the opposite happens- such undertaking is not called for	
Does each case is considered as a new and independent case in justice system	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Do the evidences are presented in visible form like documents, photos, videos , recording , various technical and medical tests etc.	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Does the court has established procedure (covered under rules) for certification of the non-human based evidences to be authentic	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Does the Judge seek clarification of its own from lawyers regarding various gaps in the consistency of the case	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Does the Judge ask the witness directly also to clarify its (judge's) doubts	Yes=200; No=(-)200
On the live evidence like CCTV footage, video recordings etc. are displayed by media or anyone, can the court of its owns start the case & ask 'Caalee' to investigate and submit charge sheet	Yes=400; No=(-)400
Does Judge ask for evidences which exists in his knowledge and understanding of the case and not produced by 'Caalee' or lawyers	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Does Judge seek clarification for his/her doubts and missing links from lawyers	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Does the final verdict narrates objectives, motives, participants, player, sequence of actions, consequences, available to everyone	Yes=400; No=(-)400
Do the laws also cover all define the well established scientific tests and procedure as reliable supporting evidence	Yes=250; No=(-)250
the court has procedures to take cognizance of the scientifically based evidences and define all the tests for all type of crimes	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Do tests for creating or validating evidence are in laws and standard procedures or not	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Do some tests for creating or validating evidence are optional to apply in some cases and not in some other similar cases- by 'Caalee'	Yes=(-)250; No=250
Do the procedures and rules permit any case in which judge could not establish if the crime was done or not done	Yes=(-)250; No=250
Is identity of witnesses is disclosed to the culprit and the general public	Yes=(-)250; No=300
Does the previous decisions on cases of same crime are considered guidelines for deciding any other cases	Yes=(-)250; No=250
Does the judge while giving verdict mention that why the decision is not injustice to WAG to avoid any apprehension of injustice to WAG	Yes=200; No=(-)200
can the witness be permitted to give statement without identity being disclosed to others except the judge JD	Yes=500; No=(-)500

Laws		JUST=250; Govt=(-)
orientation	Are the laws structured by JDS or the Government	250
	If laws are structured by JDS are these approved by parliament and government	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Is the MOG in government made responsible for approval of the laws in time	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Is the concerned MOG in government made responsible for review effectiveness of laws and periodical updation in time	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Are the laws certified to be complete with minimum completeness index by JDS	Yes=400; No=(-)400
	If government has made incomplete laws, is the authority to make it more complete given to JDS to apply to individual case	Yes=400; No=(-)400
	If such discretions applied in more than 10% cases to support justice does it become due to be added to the law by JDS/Government	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Is it in laws that the Judge and lawyers give undertaking and by oath that they would deliver the justice to their best.	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Do the laws restricts lawyers to discuss with the witness round about things not directly related to happening/mishappening or the case	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Do the lawyers action of reminding the witness about loss again and again is considered as humiliation	Yes=(-)200; No=200
	do the laws cover the well established and validated scientific tests and procedure as reliable supporting evidence	Yes=(-)250; No=300
	Do the laws and court ask for particular scientific tests to be carried out as a standard procedure, uniformity and parity(A) or as a matter of choice(Z)	A=250; Z=(-)250
JD	Did judge clearly establishes if the crime was done or not done and write in report- Is it covered in laws	Yes=200; No=(-)200
orientation	If the judge decides that the crime has been done but WAG accused is not guilty, is the case kept open or closed	Open=200; closed=(-)500
	Under conditions as above, does the judge instruct the 'Caalee'to catch the real culprit and produce	Yes=250; No=(-)300
	If the judge decides that the crime did not happen, do the judge mention why case came to the court and who is responsible	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	If judge decide that crime did not happen and WAG is not at fault, even though the loss is established,do the judge charge concerned officials with false production and carelessness as per laws	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	If complete circumstantial and situational evidences are not produced by 'Caalee' vital for case leading to turning the case away from justice, do the judge charge the related officers for being unlawful	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Can the judge change terms of reference if the same does not seem to be delivering justice against the quantum of crime?	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Can the judge decide to remove any player or participant if the same has not been supporting delivery of justice (by assigning proper reasoning)	Yes=200; No=(-)200

	If the case between government people HOG,MOG, OIG, PL, MMG does the judge clearly rules out a case of HII. As if HII, it would not be possible to deliver justice without the judge to come to active and self diagnosing mode.	Yes=250; No=(-)250
	If a case of HII is established, do judge ensure that the justice is delivered not only based upon witness and documents produced by government people but justice is based upon all the documents and evidences needed by judge beyond what was produced by government	Yes=400; No=(-)400
	If HII is established do judge state in the report that judge was also involved to find out facts	Yes=250; No=(-)250
	Any evidence that irregularity done by government people was NOT stopped in between (before getting committed) by others at equal/higher power level.	Not stopped=(-)400 ; stopped=200
	Number of cases in which irregularity done by government people was NOT stopped in between (before getting committed) by others at equal/higher power level preventing irregularity to occur	yes=(-)400 each case; No=200 each case
HII	Any case in which action taken against irregularity after it is committed	yes=(-)400 each case;
	Are the prerequisites of 'Caalee' enquiry defined in DAVM form?	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Has JDS accepted these prerequisites as covering everything required by it	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Are the prerequisites of forensic investigation in DAVM form	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Are the methodologies of prerequisites of 'Caalee' enquiry defined in DAVM form	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Are the methodologies of prerequisites of forensic investigations defined in DAVM form?	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Are the above prerequisites revised every six months (maximum one year) based upon scientific evolution and developments, if applicable.	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Are the services and departments which are designated to support, help and protect the citizen are designated with DAVM responsibilities and accountability	Yes=400; No=(-)400
JDS system- Structure	Is justice system machinery controlled direct by government	Yes=(-)250; No=200
	Is justice system configured or considered to be an organ of government	yes=(-)400 ; No=200
	Is JDS free of such government control which can control privileges, essentials, living support, career of 1.JDS actors- players (like lawyers)-2. jury members- 3.investigating agencies- 4.crime registration agency	Yes=100; No=(-)150 each case
	Is JDS free of such government control which can control privileges, essentials, life support, career of JD	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Are different applied fields like registration, investigation, medical, scientific testing/investigations, justice system etc defined for the justice delivery	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
	Are the interface defined amongst different agencies/fields	Yes=200 ; No=(-)400

Are the interface defined amongst different experts in different agencies/fields	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Are JDS co-players AJs are skill based set of people and experts in relevant fields required for justice delivery	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Are the requisite qualification, knowledge, expertise of JDS co-players AJs in relevant fields defined	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Are the interface defined amongst different fields and experts to confirm that there are no gaps	Yes=200 ; No=(-)400
Are the career prospects of the important player of JDS depends upon MOG, OIG, MGG.	Yes=(-)200 ; No=250
The JDS members do not depend upon others like MOG,OIG,MMG for their career.	Yes=200 ; No=(-)200
Do the important players of JDS (any one) is reporting to government or any member of government	Yes=(-)150 ; No=100
JDS is not under the control of political group.	Yes=200 ; No=(-)200
JDS actors do not depend upon political group for career directly or indirectly	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
JDS is responsible to the constitution and the nation	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
JUST is not under the control of MOG, OIG, PL	Yes=(-)200 ; No=250
JDS is under the control of constitutional entity ICE in a manner that there is no MOG OIG PL control	Yes=250 ; No=(-)400
The selection procedures and promotion procedures for JD are on public domain.	Yes=250 ; No=(-)400
The cases and decisions and promotions of JD are on public domain.	Yes=250 ; No=(-)400
All JDS members are possessing minimum professional qualification.	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Does JDS treat government as an individual (I) or as patron(P) for deciding a case in favor or against it.	I=200; P=(-)400
Does JDS treat and government at par with citizen if case is government vs. a citizen	Yes=200 ; No=(-)500
Does JDS assess the 'anti justice differential' and consider if case is government vs. a citizen	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Does JDS exhibit transparently above in the course of deciding the case	Yes=500 ; No=(-)500
JDS has an independent set of people and experts for advisory on law making, law amendments, procedure amendments, measure law effectiveness,	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
JDS independent set of experts give suggestions once or more in a year even if there is no change to existing	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
JDS System- Ethics Does JDS accepts the principles that anything which is not justice is 'injustice'.	Yes=250 ; No=(-)500
Does the definition of justice covers that it is truth and unchangeable with time	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Does JDS and JD accepts in each case that justice delivery is its responsibility	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Does JD state and confirm in each case that justice has been delivered while giving final verdict	Yes=250 ; No=(-)400

Does the principles include providing Trust, happiness, compensation to victim IN TIME. Does JDS treats it as the right to victim.	Yes=250 ; No=(-)500
Does the principle recognizes that justice is only with respect to time, beyond a time, it is no more justice.	Yes=250 ; No=(-)500
Does JDS accepts that identification of the 'one who is at loss' is the responsibility	Yes=150 ; No=(-)250
Does JDS recognizes that justice is for one 'who is at loss'.	Yes=150 ; No=(-)250
Does JDS system and JD accepts that showing to the world that justice is being delivered is their responsibility.	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Does JDS system actors and players AJDs accepts that showing to the world that justice is being delivered is their responsibility.	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Does JDS recognizes that justice delivery is the cause and reason of its existence and should deliver justice to one who even cannot cry to be heard, can't come to JUST, appeal, and speak.	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Are the Actors of JDS places an undertaking in the name of Justice that they would remain linked to the process to make sure that its goes towards absolute truth and Justice.	Yes=200 ; No=(-)400
Do the JDS actors give undertaking that they would not provide any benefit to WAG if comes to their senses that gain to WAG is not due	Yes=200 ; No=(-)400
Is there any constitutional body independent of government and equal powerful authorized to find deficiencies in government working and restrict it	Yes=250 ; No=(-)500

Justice**orientation
Factor1**

Total number of cases submitted to JDS for justice (in a court) during last four years say C

Number of cases in which finally crime established and culprit with concluded gains finally punished within 2 years-C1	>95%=1000; 85-95%=()50 each %less; <85%=()100 each % >95%=500; 85- 95%=500-()100 each %; 75-85%=()100
Number of cases in which finally crime established and culprit with concluded gains punished within 2 to 4 years-C2	each %; <75%=()200 each % >95%=500; 85-95%=()50 each %; 75-85%=()100
Number of cases in which finally crime established and culprit with concluded gains punished more than 4 years-C4	250 each %; <75%=()400 each % 5% or more=()500+()250 each %; 2-5%=()250 each %; 0.5-2%=()250; <0.5%=0
Number of cases in which culprit permitted to escape jail (bail, parole etc) before examining all the witnesses and evidences and support actors like medical examination etc	
Number of cases in which bail granted to culprit /WAG (Cb1) where damaging the witness would not cause significant change to the punishment against initial crime	

Number of cases in which witness or evidences or support actors changed after bail of culprit (-)500 each case

Number of cases in which witness or evidences or support actors/co-players changed after bail resulting WAG escaped on inadequate evidence (-)1000 each case

Number of cases in which evidence and proof with 'Caalee' changed to what claimed by 'Caalee' and what was produced to the JDS (-)500 each case

Number of cases in which evidence and proof presented by 'Caalee' were found to be inadequate to establish the crime and/or culprit (-)500 each case

Number of cases in which charges framed by 'Caalee' were low or less stringent than what corresponds the WAL loss and crime. (-)500 each case

Number of cases in which the accused (WAG) was freed in which WAL and loss was established (-)1000 each case

Does the Judge decide to conclude the case but keep the judgement reserved for more than two days- Yes=(-)200 ; No=200

Can the Judge keep proclamation reserved for more than 1 week. Yes=(-)400; No=400

Number of cases in which judge identify that the crime was done and judge did not decide accused (WAG) to be guilty. (-)500 each case

Did the judge identify the reasons for WAL to be accusing WAG (accused), if judge decided that WAG not at fault or crime did not happen. Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

If the judge decide that crime has been done, and WAG is not culprit, do the judge take up relevant officials (i) why WAG was charged (ii) why real culprit not produce in court Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

If the judge decide that crime has been done, and WAG is not culprit, do the judge keep the case open till real culprit is punished or closes the case open=200 ; closes=(-)400

**Justice
orientation
factor2**

Is justice system free of government and political influence. Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Are the players in JDS system justice system free of government and political influence Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Do the players in JDS system justice system are free of government and political influence/approvals for their career Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Is justice system working under ICE Yes=250 ; No=(-)250

Does ICE mechanism form a committee/ group of experts from relevant fields to work for justice system Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does ICE form a committee/ group of experts from relevant fields to work for DAU for monitoring of activities of MOG, OIG, MMG, PL etc Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does the committee of experts also participate in the laws proposals and the modifications to laws proposals. Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Is it mentioned in constitution procedures as to within how much time a law proposal to be made /reviewed Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Is it mentioned in constitution procedures as to within how much time a law proposal to be approved or disapproved by parliament. Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Is it mentioned in the constitution procedures that ensuring completeness of a law shall be the responsibility of justice system and shall take initiative to fill up any gaps within a law. Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Is the law making proposal transparent and every stage is open to public. Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Do the participant in justice making (PIJM) put forth words in the form of sentimental slogans, speech or points based upon DAVM facts and rational.

Do the participant in justice making (PIJM) put forth words in the form of sentimental slogans/ speech for >20% of presentation Yes=(-)200 ; No=200

Do the participant in justice making (PIJM) put forth words in the form of sentimental slogans/ speech for reducing punishment Yes=(-)200 ; No=200

Participants-

justice

orientation index All JDS players and participants PIJM are possessing minimum professional qualification Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Is the purpose of existence (as the player) for a player with respect to justice delivery defined Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Is the purpose of existence for a player defined and accepted by the accountability player (AJDs) Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Are the responsibility parameters defined for meeting the purpose of existence Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Are the accountability parameters defined for not meeting the purpose of existence Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Do the players accept justice delivery as their responsibility Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Do the players identify for whom justice delivery is Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Do the players confirm the principle that anything which is not justice is injustice Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

freedom The selection procedures and promotion procedures of JD and JDS players are on public domain Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Their career prospects are not controlled by MOG, OIG PL Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

There is no procedure of taking anybody MOG OIG, PL, consent for any decision Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does the Judge seek clarification from lawyers and 'Caalee' regarding various gaps in the consistency of the case Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

self

commitment/ approach Number of clarification asked by the judge directly from the lawyers or asked lawyers to examine and advise

Does the Judge ask the witness directly also to clarify its (judge's) doubts Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Number of times judge asked clarifications of its own from lawyer

Number of times judge asked clarifications of its own from witness

Does Judge ask for evidences which exists in his knowledge and understanding of the case and not produced by 'Caalee' or lawyers Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does the final verdict narrates objectives, motives, participants, player, sequence of actions, consequences Yes=400 ; No=(-)450

The judge would keep a vigil that the lawyer of WAG may try to make the case of WAG stronger which may be his professional approach but it would not make the case of WAL weaker Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does the judge responsible to keep a vigil for any factors influencing from inside or outside with an intention of making the case of WAL weak beyond the purview of the case and prevent it Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

The judge would keep a vigil for any factors influencing from inside or outside with an intention of making the case of WAG weak beyond the purview of the case and prevent it

The judge would also take such care and instruct concerned officers and players to prevent any action of making WAL case weak inside and outside the court Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

The judge shall bring out such possible action to advise the lawyers and 'Caalee' people to care and prevent such loss to WAL. Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Govermemnt orientation

Is the government's accountability for making laws complete to deliver defined objectives exists Yes=500 ; No=(-)500

Does government elaborate the completeness of laws for delivering desired results Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does government assign the completeness of laws in terms of ability to deliver justice Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does government assign the completeness of laws in terms of ability to deliver justice against a time frame Yes=400 ; No=(-)450

Does government assign the completeness of laws making provisions to prevent misuse Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Is the government's accountability by person for making complete laws exists Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Does the accountability by person defined in the government, MOG/ HOG, for making complete laws Yes=250 ; No=(-)350

The law making steps defined against time frame Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Is the total time period for making and putting a law to use is within six months Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Does the concerned minister in government as the least, made responsible for realizing approval of laws in time frame Yes=200 ; No=(-)200

Are the irresponsible acts like pending law structuring on unreasonable plea like it can be misused etc chargeable against government actors Yes=(-)400 ;

MJF Index	Does government, MOG,OIG,PL,MGG only find deficiency or decide revisions or amendments creating new law version	
	Do MOG, OIG, PL give statements to support colleague or party if they are under test stating the allegations to be wrong	Yes=(-)400 ; No=450
	Do MOG, OIG, PL give statements to support colleague or party if they are under test stating the allegations to be wrong without any reasoning	Yes=(-)400 ; No=450
	Does the member of government exhibit transparency for being impartial in case of government or a MOG, OIG, MMG is a party under test	Yes=(-)400 ; No=450
	Does government provide authority to justice system to monitor and review government's working to adjudge shift from nation's benefit to self benefit	Yes=200 ; No=(-)200
	Does government establish procedure for periodical reports from JDS on public domain, not exceeding six months, for nation's benefit and not inclined for self benefit	Yes=200 ; No=(-)200
	Does government is under obligation to submit periodical report on public domain and parliament on any review, comments made by the JDS for shift to self benefit	Yes=200 ; No=(-)200
	Is there any constitutional body independent of government and equal powerful authorized to find deficiencies in government working and restrict it	Yes=(-)500 ; No=550
	Number of cases that irregularity done by government people was stopped is between by this constitutional body at equal power level before gain to the government people against cases highlighted by any media/ source	95%=700 ; 80-95%=()50 each %; <80%=()100 each %
	If any complaint that FIR /FCR is not registered by the designated authority	Yes=(-)400 ; each case <5%=0; 5-10%=()100 each%; <10%=()200 each%
	Number of cases in which Women/children as WAL, Women and Children suffered (N) out of cases came to courts in which these are WAL=N*	
	If there is any complaint of not registration of FIR, the total cases to be treated as 4xN* and (4xN*) should be treated as cases in which Women/children suffered	<5%=0; 5-10%=()250 each%;>10%=()500 each%
	Number of cases in which punishment not given & WAG freed (NI)-(out of cases came to courts in which Women/children are WAL=N*)	<5%=0; 5-10%=()250 each%;>10%=()500 each%
	Number of cases in which punishment given less than what initially envisaged by reporting- not corresponding to WAL loss (N2)	<5%=0; 5-10%=()50 each%;>10%=()100 each%
	Number of cases in which punishment declared after 2 years (N3)	each%

Number of cases in which full punishment given /declared within 2 years corresponding to the WAL loss= $N4$
 $MJF=(N4 \times 100)/N$ ($N=4 \times N$ if there is any case of non registration of FIR reported)
 >90%=500 each%; 80-90%=($-$)20 each% less;
 (<80%)50 each% less

Systems Meaningfulness to Justice orientation- CEJ Index

Number of cases which were delayed for decision > 2yrs. in which punishment granted (For average citizen)
 Number of cases which were delayed for decision > 2yrs. in which punishment granted (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community, top 20% people)
 Number of cases which were delayed for decision > 5yrs. in which punishment granted (for average citizen)
 Number of cases which were delayed for decision > 5yrs. in which punishment granted (for MOG,OIG,PL,MMG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)
 Number of cases in which framing charges took for average citizen > 6 month
 Number of cases in which framing charges took for (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)> 6 month
 Number of cases in which framing charges took for average citizen > 1.5 month to 6 months
 Number of cases in which framing charges took (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community, top 20% people)> 1.5 month to six months
 Number of cases in which guilty not proven amongst (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people) due to lack of evidence
 Number of cases in which witness became hostile (changed statements providing benefits to culprit) during the cases under process- cases of average citizen
 Number of cases in which witness became hostile during the cases under process- (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)
 Number of cases in which witness became hostile during the cases under process- cases of women/children/handicap
 If the witness changed the statement in the first appearance after the accused was permitted bail, the above would be multiplied by 4

<5%=0; 5-10%=($-$)50 each%;>10%=($-$)100 each%
 (<2%)0; 2-5%=($-$)50 each%;>5%=($-$)100 each%
 (<5%)0; 5-10%=($-$)50 each%;>10%=($-$)100 each%
 (<5%)0; 5-10%=($-$)50 each%;>10%=($-$)100 each%
 (<5%)0; 5-10%=($-$)50 each%;>10%=($-$)100 each%
 (<5%)0; 5-10%=($-$)50 each%;>10%=($-$)100 each%
 (<5%)0; 5-10%=($-$)150 each%;>10%=($-$)200 each%
 (<5%)0; 5-10%=($-$)150 each%;>10%=($-$)250 each%

Number of cases in which witness got attacked, caused permanent physical loss, killed during the cases under process- cases of average citizen	(-)200 each case
Number of cases in which witness got attacked, caused permanent physical loss, killed during the cases under process (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)	(-)400 each case
Number of cases in which witness got attacked, caused permanent physical loss, killed during the cases under process- cases of women/children/handicaps	(-)400 each case
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished in <4years- for average citizen	90%=500; 80-90%=50 each% high; <80%=()50 each% less 90%=()25 each% per year; 80-90%=()50 each% per year;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished in >4years- for average citizen	<80%=()200 each% per year 90%=1000; 80- 90%=100 each% high;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished-(for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)- in <4years	<80%=()250 each% less 90%=()50 each% per year; 80-90%=()100 each% per year;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished-(for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)- in >4years	<80%=()300 each% per year 90%=1000; 80- 90%=100 each% high;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished- for WOCH (women/children/handicap)-in <4years	<80%=()250 each% less 90%=1000; 80- 90%=100 each% high;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished- for WOCH-in >4years	<80%=()250 each% less 90%=1000; 80- 90%=100 each% high;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished in two years-for average citizen	<80%=()20 each% less 90%=2000; 80- 90%=200 each% high;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished in two years-(for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)	<80%=()40 each% less 90%=2000; 80- 90%=200 each% high;
Number of cases in which finally crime proven and WAG accused punished in two years-for WOCH	<80%=()40 each% less

Number of cases in which bail granted to WAG accused (N3)-for average citizen	<20%=0; 20-40%=()25 each%; >40%=()50 each%
Number of cases in which bail granted to WAG accused (N3)-(for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)	<20%=0; 20-40%=()25 each%; >40%=()50 each%
Number of cases in which bail granted to WAG accused (N3)-for WOCH	<10%=0; 10-25%=()25 each%; >25%=()50 each%
If the course of case changed after the bail of the accused, the injustice would be delivered. The judge would specifically mention this and justify why the course of the case changed. Was it done	Yes=200; No=(-)400
Number of cases in which witness changed in the course of case processing and WAG accused escaped on inadequate evidence- for cases of average citizen	<20%=0; 20-40%=()25 each%; >40%=()50 each%
Number of cases in which witness changed in the course of case processing and WAG accused escaped on inadequate evidence- (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)	<20%=0; 20-40%=()25 each%; >40%=()50 each%
Number of cases in which witness changed in the course of case processing and WAG accused escaped on inadequate evidence- for cases of WOCH	<10%=0; 10-25%=()25 each%; >25%=()50 each%
Number of cases in which evidence and proofs with 'Caalee' got changed during proceeding of the case- for cases of average citizen	1-2%=(-)200; 2-5%=()250 each%; >5%=400 each%
Number of cases in which evidence and proofs with 'Caalee' got changed during proceeding of the case- (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)	(-) 500 each case
Number of cases in which evidence and proofs with 'Caalee' got changed during proceeding of the case- for cases of WOCH	(-)250 each case
Number of cases in which the charges framed by 'Caalee' were low or less stringent than what corresponds the WAL loss and crime- cases of average citizen	1-2%=(-)200; 2-5%=()250 each%; >5%=400 each%
Number of cases in which the charges framed by 'Caalee' were low or less stringent than what corresponds the WAL loss and crime- (for MOG,OIG,PL,MGG,PRIJM Law Community,top 20% people)	(-) 500 each case
Number of cases in which the charges framed by 'Caalee' were low or less stringent than what corresponds the WAL loss and crime- cases of WOCH	(-) 500 each case
Number of cases in which video recordings of the crime available but punishment to criminal not notified within 30 days	(-)200 each case

Demand for Justice

Total number of cases for justice in a court say N	A1=(-)200+(-)10 each case less; A2=(-)5
Percentage of cases with respect to population 1:10000 or less (A1); upto 1:100000(A2); 1:1million or less(A3)	each case; A3=(-)1 each case

percentage of criminal cases >0.2N=(-)400; 0.2N-0.1N=(-)200; <0.1N=

Individual case index

Were JD, lawyers qualified Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

What was justice ethics index during the case High=200; Low=(-)250

What was justice principles index during the case High=200; Low=(-)250

Laws completeness index High=200; Low=(-)250

Gap of days between crime and registration of FIR <2days=200; 2-6 days=(-)25 each day; >6days=(-)50 each day

Were all evidences collected by 'Caalee' within 7 Days Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Were all medical test whatever related were done within 10days Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Gap of days between crime and the presentation of case to the court <30days=200; 30-60 days=(-)25 each day; >60days=(-)50 each day

Did the case presented to court by the 'Caalee' with all evidences and reports as stipulated in law Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Did the MOJAJ accepted the case as complete for initiating proceedings or asked for more details Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Gap between the presentation of case to court and start of proceedings for justice <30days=200; 30-60 days=(-)50 each day; >60days=(-)100 each day

Was it a case of WOCH, women, children, handicap/weak person- If Yes=the case index should be mid way zero to Maximum positive as the minimum requirement. Tobe checked if yes or not Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Was it clearly identified if the loss has occurred or not Yes=400 ; No=(-)450

Was WAL identified Yes=400 ; No=(-)450

Was WAL identified in case of WOCH case Yes=400 ; No=(-)450

Was it recognized that out of the loss, someone would have gained as natural derivation Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Was the accused permitted escape like bail etc Yes=200 ; No=(-)250

Number of times the escape/ bail was permitted to accused <2=0; >2=(-)100 each time

Was the first bail permitted after taking statements of all witnesses Yes=200 ; No=(-)500

Did the course of case changed after the bail to accused Yes=(-)500 ; No=0

Did the case concluded in time frame of OEJ Yes=200 ; No=(-)500

Was the culprit identified and punished Yes=500 ; No=(-)500

Did the punishment was as needed to compensate the loss of WAL
If accused not found guilty and freed, did the judge keep the case open
for 'Caalee' to produce the culprit

Yes=500 ; No=(-)500

Yes=500 ; No=(-)500

Bhanoo P

If