Government Expenditure proposal evaluation

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Government norms	Has government issued directions for every DOUEE and GPP that proposals spending government money/treasure/resources must be prepared in DAVM form at initial planning stage itself	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does government nominate GPP from top three positions only, from the ministry allotting/allocating the resources/money/funds, responsible to check and confirm that proposal is in DAVM form	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the GPP of ministry allocating resources/money/funds confirm that proposal contains goals/achievables in DAVM terms on time line	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the GPP of ministry allocating resources/money/funds confirm that proposal contains envisaged advantages in DAVM terms on time line	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the GPP of ministry allocating resources/money/funds confirm that proposal contains the name and position of 'owner of the proposal' responsible to reallize the goals/achievables	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the GPP of the ministry responsible for allocation of funds/resources/money places name and position of the GPP confirming the financial return and benefits on time line	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the GPP of the ministry responsible for allocation of funds/resources/money places name and position of the approving GPP who would be responsible for allottment of funds consistently till completion of the GOSIP	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the GPP of the ministry responsible for allocation of funds/resources/money places all details of the proposal (as above) on its government public domain before making official approval by the government	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Does the GPP, from top two levels, of the ministry responsible for allocation of funds/resources/money has verified and validated the cost of the GOSIP and certified being '**in order**'	Yes=200; No=(-)250
preventing siphoning out-norms	Has the GPP, amongst top three level, associated with initiation of the GOSIP certified that possibility of siphoning out funds from the scheme have been examined and no such possibility exists as the cost of proposal is genuine cost of work minimum required for GOSIP execution	Yes=200; No=(-)250

	Is there a rule that the firms/companys submit certificate alongwith their bid/tender submission that they have not kept any margin for paying anybody any amount as commission or bribe	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Do the firms/companys submit certificate alongwith their bid/tender submission that they have not kept any margin for paying anybody MGG, Political party, NGO, any amount as donation, loan or support	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Do the firms/companys/bidder submit a certificate that they would not make any payment to anyone including person, political party, NGO for any matter related to the GOSIP directly or indirectly	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Do the firms /company/bidder submit an undertaking that they would be responsible for **not making** any payment to a person, or NGO, or company to whom the MOG, GPP associated in finallization of tender, are directly or indirectly related to	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	If the GOSIP is executed by DH or GPP in a state, and pertains to dispersal of benefits to the people directly or indirectly, do they certify that they have examined the expenditure proposal of the GOSIP and undertake **that the list of beneficiaries have been placed on public domain** and publicly notified	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Does government notify details of beneficieries on public domain against a social program/scheme GOSIP after having dispersed the benefits alongwith quantity/amount/extent of benefit	Yes=200; No=(-)400
government checks-MOG responsible	Has the MOG responsible to allocate funds/money/resources certified that possibility of siphoning out funds from the scheme have been examined and no such possibility exists as the cost of proposal is genuine cost of work/GOSIP execution	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Do the government certify: (1)- The government certification that adequate measures have been taken to prevent siphoning out of money meant for GOSIP and (ii) naming MOG who shall be responsible if it is untrue (iii) punishment to named MOG if it is found untrue	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Analysis submitted alongwith the proposal for sincere and true implementation of at least five previous proposals of the same MOG or the government or the party	Yes=100; No=(-)150
GPP resonsible to prevent siphoning out	Are the measures to prevent selfish flow of funds, other than the purpose mentioned, defined and discussed	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has the GPP been nominated/made responsible to present intermediate schedule, not exceeding six monthly, for presenting outcomes of proposal/ scheme, covered with the DAVM targets	Yes=100; No=(-)150

	Has the GPP been nominated/made responsible to present intermediate schedule, not exceeding six monthly, outcomes presented with the DAVM targets corelated with expenditure made	Yes=100; No=(-)150 Yes=100; No=(-)150
government responsibility	Any charge of misappropriation raised by 60% or more, members of other than ruling group	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	The HOG turns down the charge instantaneously or after investigations but within one week	Yes=(-)100 ;each case
	HOG Turns down the charge without reasoning after investigation within two months	Yes=(-)50 ;each case
	HOG accepts the charges and complete report made public on public domain after investigation within three weeks	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	the other MOGs/OIG or PL of the same political group supports HOG and MOG without reasoning	Yes=(-)100 each MOG/PL/OIG