

MOG Joint ownership vs Personal agenda

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be a coincidence only.

Concurrent decisions by government		Yes=100; No=(-)150
	The proposals related to sensitive fields are got accepted by minimum 50% MOG OIG of the same party	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Such concurrence of 50% MOG, OIG is made part of government processes or not	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are the different opinions regarding the perception of benefits to the citizen between the MOG Initiating the proposal and others MOG or OIG are recorded in government records	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	the government has instituted a rule/norm that if the MOG presenting the decision/GOSIP have mentioned such objectives which are not shared and accepted by the other concerned MOGs, then the proposal is self benefiting proposal	Yes=150; No=(-)250
Joint ownership	Are the MOG decisions owned by the government in verbal and written expression	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are objectives mentioned by MOG for achievement of growth, development, better services, improvement of efficiency are termed in time bound DAVM form	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Has MOG presenting a decision/GOSIP detailed about related actions/ support activities in application/ execution of the decision by the other MOGs for achieving the specified objectives	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Have the other related MOG in the line-up of application of decision have accepted the objectives of the MOG initiating the proposal	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Have the other related MOG in the line-up of application of decision have accepted the goals earmarked to them in the decision/GOSIP by the MOG initiating the proposal	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	The items of concern of the non-ruling party also covered and Important four points are addressed by MOG/ government	Yes=100; No=(-)150
All MOG concurrence	Are government/MOG decisions supported by the DAVM objectives which are collectively owned by all MOG	Yes=100; No=(-)250
	Is adequate justification provided for the decisions being in favour of the citizen at large and covers adequate region and population	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Written certification produced by concerned MOG for not having any self-benefit/self-gains out of the proposal/ scheme	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Written certification by all government members, all MOG, done for not having any self-benefit out of the proposal/ scheme	Yes=100; No=(-)200

Written certification by the head of ruling political party done for not having any self-benefit out of the proposal/scheme to any party member	Yes=100; No=(-)200
If the MOG decisions are owned by at least 50% OIG by following the secret voting procedure	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Any analysis presented including flow of resources vis-a-vis the goals to be achieved to ascertain that there are no self benefit/goals in the decisions	Yes=100; No=(-)250
If any proposal of an MOG comes under criticism, the other MOG supports the proposal without submitting any logic	Yes=(-)100
If any proposal of an MOG comes under criticism the other MOG supports it giving reasoning acceptable to other members	Yes=100