

Government FOTAS checker-

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Essential	Are objectives of the organization, unit, system defined and notified	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Are objectives of the DOUEE organization, unit, system defined and notified in DAVM terms in incremental period of six months	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Are objectives-U of DOUEE defined from the 'users/consumers/customers' side also	Yes=100; No=(-)250
	Are criteria to assess/measure performance of the DOUEE are defined and notified	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Are criteria to assess/measure performance of the DOUEE are defined and notified in DAVM terms and notified	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Are parameters to assess and measure each criteria item are defined in DAVM terms and notified	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Are procedures to assess and scale each parameter defined and notified	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Are the procedures elaborated in a manner that those are understandable by the users/consumers/customers and can be applied within means available to them	Yes=100; No=(-)250
	Average of Government's Quality score of last two year	NN=(-)250; N=(-)100; Z=(-)50; P=50; PP=150
	Average of Government's Development score of last two year	NN=(-)250; N=(-)100; Z=(-)50; P=50; PP=150
	Government procedures score	NN=(-)250; N=(-)100; Z=(-)50; P=50; PP=150
	Are there Laws and rules that government and each government unit must assess and notify its FOTAS score on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Has Government at national level notified the government units which must assess and places FOTAS score on respective public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has Government at State/province level notified the government units which must assess and places FOTAS score on respective public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DH notified the units in the unit region/district which must assess and places FOTAS score DH's public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has the DH at unit region/district level notified its office for assessment of FOTAS and notified by DH	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	MGG MOG Opinion Do MGG & MOG differ in opinion in major issues – Nil, issues <10%, > 10%.	>10%=(-)20 each % more

	If difference of opinion, Is any analysis presented by anyone to substantiate the stand	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is Nation and citizen interest highlighted by anyone of them or both of them in the analysis	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Announcements	Is the policy decided and publicly announced if the Government decisions/schemes/plan/ projects GOSIP to be announced by MOG or Top MGG or others (define others)	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	If the policy permits that the decision can be announced by a person other than MOG or Top MGG	Yes=(-)250
	Is it officially announced and notified that if any announcement made by one not included in the policy, it would be unofficial and non-cognizant	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Public interface DOUEE	Has the DOUEE established public domain and it is functioning	Yes=150; No=(-)400
	Has the DOUEE nominated/appointed GPP responsible for public domain working (without any interruption) and other communication with citizen	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does DOUEE maintain data /facts presentation on public domain on real time basis	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is the GPP responsible for proper and citizen oriented working of DOUEE for different public interface issues notified and presented on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has the DOUEE identified the public interface issues and mentioned in the public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has the DOUEE placed all rules for the staff/GPP related to the public interface and RAA on the public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has the DOUEE placed all procedures related to the public interface like permissions, approvals, clearance, complaints disposal etc	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Has DOUEE covered details in the procedures regarding time to be taken by them staff/GPP, for furnishing details/clearance/approval etc for various services activities and placed on public domain	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Has DOUEE placed details of staff, name and position, dealing with different issues/matters with time allotted to each one for completing their actions , on public domain	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Has the DOUEE certified that all details regarding public interface, their services for citizen, are placed on public domain and there is nothing more	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE placed details of all papers/formats like forms, applications, complaint etc on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE made arrangements that all forms, applications, complaint forms etc are available to the people/citizen/customer in the office in automated manner without asking for anything from the staff	Yes=100; No=(-)150

	Has DOUEE placed all the rules and norms which are mandatory to be followed by the citizen, on public domain	Yes=200; No=(-)250
RAA1-notify self	Has DOUEE placed the heirarical organization chart on the public domain from top to bottom level	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE placed details of the responsibilities assigned/designated to different GPP in the organization from top to bottom level on public domain	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Has DOUEE publicly displayed the procedures for public interface issues, applications, permissions, complaint disposal etc outside its office for on the spot information to people	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE publicly displayed the duties and responsibilities assigned to the GPP working in the office; displayed outside the office	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE made arrangements that for already pending and applied issues, the information can be obtained by the person/citizen from one location/window/counter in ten minutes time	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE made arrangements that for already pending issues, the information regarding latest position can be obtained by the person/citizen from one location/window/counter in 30 minutes time in written form	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is entry to the citizen in the office is free, easy with direct access to the GPP assigned to give position in writing to citizen	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE placed certification of DH that the procedures and assigned responsibilities to GPP are approved by DH	Yes=150; No=(-)150
	Has DOUEE placed the code of good conduct for its staff/GPP and placed on public domain and in the office/working place	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does DOUEE furnish details of services provided to citizen after completing the task entirely and citizen getting envisaged result, every month on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
RAA2-self evaluation	Does DOUEE furnish details of progress made in cases which are not completed yet, every month on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does DOUEE place declaration/ undertaking from the GPP responsible for public interface in a zone/area/sub-area every three months that there are no cases of deviations/disobey/dishonoring of the stipulated rules and norms in its area/sub-area	Yes=250; No=(-)500
RAA3-Selling government assets	MOG, HOG, OIG made policy/scheme/plan to sell the assets of the Government to Non-government entities	Yes=(-)200 each case

	MOG, HOG, OIG made policy/scheme/plan to sell the assets of the Government to Non-government entities, implemented and sold government assets	Yes=(-)250 each case
	Does HOG, MOG, OIG exhibits the action of selling out government assets as 'exemplary'	Yes=(-)400 each case;
	Do those who would have 'authority' to stop such action of selling out of Government assets the GPP above OIG, MOG, HOG and others, consider this action as praiseworthy	Yes=(-)200 each case;
	Has government notified coordinating body amongst different DOUEE for statements/notifying data on behalf of all	Yes=100; No=(-)150
RAA4- Agenda in advance	Does government present agenda of proposed decisions in proposed fields every four month for decisions to be taken from official platform in official manner.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does government give accountal of decisions taken in the past and their DAVM impact, achievements and failures every four months	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does government/ Coordinating body confirm to have covered all the fields / department in above accountal.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Has government turned down /declined the analysis of its past decisions for analyzing facts by anyone anytime	Yes=(-)150 each case
	Has government turned down the analysis probe for its past action demanded by others anytime	Yes=(-)150 each case
	Is the decision taken by government after an happening as an after thought	Yes=(-)100 each case
	Is the decision taken for correction after observing occurrence of bad effects	Yes=(-)25 each case
	Is the decision taken for correction after receiving complaint of occurrence of bad effects	Yes=(-)100 each case
	Period between occurrence of bad effects and initial decision taken- months	(-)40 each month
	Period between occurrence of bad effects and initiation of corrective action- months	(-)50 each month
	Has the government certified that not taking a decision would also be equivalent to taking a decision with resultant consequences, plus or minus	Yes=100; No=(-)200
RAA5- Decision maker	Has decision taker/maker certified that it is not deriving any self interest/ self gain/benefit directly or indirectly in the process.	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Decision taker/maker certifying that the natural obligations to nation and citizen have been met.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are any actors in decision making i.e. decision maker, decision taker, associates, consultants, partners etc are interrelated at personal level/personal life	Yes=(-)100; No=50
	Do all participants, actors, associates, consultants, partners certify that they are not deriving any self interest, self benefits, self gain in decision making	Yes=100each unit; No=(-)150each unit

	Do all participants, actors, associates, consultants, partners certify that they are not interrelated and would not together derive benefit from a decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
RAA6-CAPP self-gain	Are possible situations analysed in which any two or more actors/ participants associates, consultants, partners in the decision making and execution on field, can join and extract undue self benefits	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is this examined that how such actors/ participants associates, consultants, partners, executers together two or many, can form cartel / selfish group to extract selfgains/self benefits	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	How many such actors/ participants associates, consultants, partners, executers are together having extra-decision oriented bonds- blood relation; family bonds;	(-)100 any two
	How many such actors/ participants associates, consultants, partners, executers are together having extra-decision oriented bonds- past togetherness having resulted inappropriate decisions	(-)100 each person
Decision maker certify	Does the decision taker/maker certifies that:	
	(i)The decision is not delayed and it was not possible to take the decision earlier	Yes=100; No=(-)100
	(ii)If a decision has got delayed, it is certified that there is no loss of any kind because of delay .	Yes=100; No=(-)100
	(iii)presents analysis regarding the actors/ participants associates, consultants, partners, executers who should have participated in the decision and how many actually participated	Yes=100; No=(-)100
	(iv)presents analysis regarding the actors/ participants associates, consultants, partners, executers who should have participated in the decision and how many actually participated for voting based decisions	Yes=100; No=(-)100
	(v) confirm that adequate representation was available in voting to take the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Alert vs sleeping	What is the gap between happening and final decision if	
	(i) decision is based upon a happening causing loss of security	(-)150 each week
	(ii) causing loss of people's social and communal harmony	(-)100 each week
	(iii)causing agitations leading to death	(-)100 each day
	(iv) terror attack incident	(-)400 each day
DOUEE to Monitor and streamline	If DOUEE has function of monitoring and checking behaviour of persons, organization, entity, unit etc ,has DOUEE made a public domain for fast effective communication	Yes=100; No=(-)200

Does DOUEE publicly notify about the public domain	Yes=100; No=(-) 150
Has DOUEE placed the rules and norms on the public domain which are acceptable and referenced to assess behaviour	Yes=100; No=(-) 150
Has DOUEE placed procedures on public domain with all basis and methodologies to judge and gage the deviations/violation	Yes=100; No=(-) 150
Has DOUEE elaborated different stages of decision making with time allotted for individual stage on public domain, against an observation of anamoly on which DOUEE would initiate scrutiny and decide to examine	Yes=100; No=(-) 150
Has DOUEE elaborated different stages of decision making with time allotted for individual stage on public domain, against a complaint on which DOUEE would initiate scrutiny and decide to examine	Yes=100; No=(-) 150
Does DOUEE furnishes every month on public domain about total number of cases dealt and decision given(CT), number of cases based on DOUEE observation/detection(CO), number of cases based on complaints received(CC)	Yes=100; No=(-) 150 1=150; <1 =(-) 50 each 0.1 less
Ratio of CO/CT	
Does DOUEE notify all the decisions given for deviations and violations of the rules and norms on its public domain every month	Yes=100; No=(-) 150
CGC - Citizen Government communication	
Any rules/laws making communication between Government and Citizen necessary	Yes=100; No=(-) 200
Any rules/laws making communication between MGG and Citizen necessary	Yes=100; No=(-) 200
Government upward communication index	high plus=100 ; minus=(-)150
Government downward communication index	high plus=100 ; minus=(-)150
MGG to citizen communication index	high plus=150 ; minus=(-)200
Citizen to MGG communication index	high plus=150 ; minus=(-)200
Mode of face to face CGC communication defined by government	yes=100 ; No=(-) 150
If yes- is the communication frequency less than once in a month	yes=100 ; No=(-) 150
Any rules/laws defined by government and notifed for DOUEE , DH regarding schedule of reply to citizen against grievances	yes=100 ; No=(-) 150

If yes -Do the government certify every month that compliance of rules is 100% yes=100 ; No=(-)150
 Are Laws/ rules notified for action on MGG if stipulated rules are not followed yes=100 ; No=(-)200
 Data of Numbers of MGG booked during past four years, yearwise, for non compliance of rules and improper communication notified publicly yes=100 ; No=(-)200
 Is the data regarding grievances open, semi open or hidden open=100 ; hidden=(-)250

irregularity
by VIP

Are there cases in which GPP/DH/DPH declare that a well known person/prominent person was doing unlawful for last two months Yes=(-)100;
 Are there cases in which GPP/DH/DPH declare that a well known person/prominent person was doing unlawful for last one year or more Yes=(-)200;
 Any evidence that the GPP, DH, DPH of the area met the prominent person during last one year at some place Yes=(-)50 each occassion
 Any evidence that the HOG, MOG, OIG,PL of the area met the prominent person during last one year at some place Yes=(-)50 each occassion
 Any evidence that the GPP, DH, DPH of the area met the prominent person during last one year at the place which is related to an act which is labelled as unlawful Yes=(-)200 each occassion
 Any evidence that the HOG, MOG, OIG,PL of the area met the prominent person during last one year at the place which is related to an act which is labelled as unlawful Yes=(-)150 each occassion
 Are there cases in which GPP/DH/DPH declare that a well known person/prominent person PPDU was doing unlawful engaged in criminal acts, major crimes for last one year or more Yes=(-)400
 Are there cases in which GPP/DH/DPH declare that a well known person/prominent person PPDU was doing unlawful accumulated fatal weapons, for last one year or more Yes=(-)400

Sleeping

Are there cases in which GPP/DH/DPH declare that a well known person/prominent person was doing unlawful for last two months Yes=(-)100;
 Are there cases in which GPP/DH/DPH declare that a well known person/prominent person was doing unlawful for last one year or more Yes=(-)200;
 Any evidence that the GPP, DH, DPH of the area met the prominent person during last one year at some place Yes=(-)50 each occassion
 Any evidence that the HOG, MOG, OIG,PL of the area met the prominent person during last one year at some place Yes=(-)50 each occassion
 Any evidence that the GPP, DH, DPH of the area met the prominent person during last one year at the place which is related to an act which is labelled as unlawful Yes=(-)200 each occassion

Any evidence that the HOG, MOG, OIG, PL of the area met the prominent person during last one year at the place which is related to an act which is labelled as unlawful Yes=(-)150 each occassion

Is analysis from other side- 'user/consumer/customer' side is done TSTS and presented Yes=100; No=(-)150

Are the probable losses to 'users/consumers/customers' which can occur and which need to be analyzed and monitored by them, have been presented Yes=100; No=(-)150





