

Government, Internal Process for decisions making

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Internal
processing

NonDAVM=(-)150 ;
DAVM=100

The noting are based upon DAVM-analysis elaborating success/failure of previous similar decision/ situation (NOT just reference of previous situation)

DAVM Analysis=100 ;
No=(-)150

Does the notings become bigger as the authority becomes higher.

Yes=100 ; No=(-)150

Does the noting becomes smaller as the authority becomes higher

Yes=(-)100 ;

Is the top authority responsible & accountable for decisions or lower one. Who is responsible for wrong decision?

top two levels=150 ;
Lower=(-)100

Are the results aimed at are defined in DAVM terms

Yes=150; No=(-)150

Has a decision which has not produced notified DAVM results is classified as 'Wrong decision' and the decision which has produced the notified DAVM results classified as 'right decision'

Yes=150; No=(-)200

Is there a rule that every year the decision is reviewed against notified DAVM goals, if achieved or not, and decision is notified as 'wrong decision' or 'right decision'

Yes=150; No=(-)200

Number of times the decision paper moved UP & Down at any level in decision making chain before decision taken

upto twice=0 ; (-)50
each move UP or DN

Number of meetings happened before any decision –total man hour- <2% the total manhours of the same people in one month

< 2% =100 ; >2%=(-)
150

Are all actors/ participants, participative and given their opinion and recorded in written form with self contained summary by the authority recommending approval

Yes=100 ; No=(-)200

Is the decision notified with opinion and view point of participants

Yes=100 ; No=(-)100

Does approving authority approves with furnishing reasons (does'nt matter even if repetition of earlier noting) or only 'approves'

Reasons
explained=150 ; just
approvaed(-)100

Are rules made that accountability be extracted /fixed amongst the participation of people in decision making ?

Yes=100 ; No=(-)100

How many people of same trade as relevant to decision, participate in decision

<2=(-)100 ; 2 to
4=100

How many people of same

calibre/intelligence/education participate in decision.

<2=(-)100 ; >2=100

	Variation of calibre of people associated in decision making in organization hierarchy- difference of levels between recommending person and approving one	<2=100 ; >2=(-)100
Media presentation	News presented by Media related to Government decisions with DAVM analysis at the start	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	News presented by Media related to Government with DAVM analysis at the intermediate stage	Yes=50 ; No=(-)200
	News presented by Media related to Government with DAVM analysis at the end	Yes=50 ; No=(-)200
	News presented by Government in their public domain/publications related to Government decision without any DAVM analysis at the start	Yes=(-)100 ;
	News presented by Government in their public domain/publications related to Government decision without any DAVM analysis at the intermediate stage	Yes=(-)150 ;
	News presented by Government in their public domain/publications related to Government decision without any DAVM analysis at the final stage	Yes=(-)150 ;
public feedback	If free complaint lodging without visiting office with confirmation is available	yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is there a rule that the contents of a complaint and its verification is essential irrespective of the identity of the complainant	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Government action	Number of complaints lodged against government individuals or government department	<2 to 5% of users/consumers=(-)20 each% ; >5% of user /consumer=(-)20 for each % rise
	Number of cases decided/concluded in a time frame a citizen can remain hopeful for a decision- maximum 4 months.	20 for each decision
	Number of cases not decided/concluded in a time frame a citizen can remain hopeful for a decision- maximum 4 months.	(-)100 each case <25%=(-)50each % less; >25%=25 each % more <20%=(-)100each % less; >20%=50 each % more
	Number of cases (of complaints) in which government people declared guilty	
	Number of cases in which governments people got punished with equated treatment as to a citizen.	

Number of cases in which the government department issued statement that the staff against whom the citizen/user/consumer has lodged complaint, is not guilty but without furnishing details of investigations (-)150 each case

Number of cases in which government department fought to protect the guilty employee (-)150 each case

Number of cases in which government department fought to protect the guilty employee and lost finally (-)250 each case

Number of cases in which the decision giver that the staff is guilty or not, is a Government person/employee of same department (-)150 each case





