

Government HOF-Government DOUEE service orientation

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

The low score in this field shall exhibit intrinsic nature of government people/DOUEE for exercising authority and thus declining orientation towards 'service'

Has the government DOUEE (department, office, unit, establishment, entity) uses the term 'authority' in its name	Yes=(-)150 each case ; No=100
Has the government DOUEE, department uses the term 'services' in its name	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Has the DOUEE clarified as to why the name has been expressed with the term 'authority' instead of 'services'	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Do the DOUEE..... Uses the term 'authorized' (for an action) to assign a duty/ responsibility/ activity to its employees/ staff/ people	Yes=(-)100 ; No=100
Do the DOUEE..... Uses the term 'authorized' (for an action) to assign a duty/ responsibility/ activity to its employees/ staff/ people providing public interface	Yes=(-)100 ; No=100
Do the DOUEE..... Certifies that the employee/ staff/ people of the DOUEE so 'authorized' are 'qualified' in advance for exercising 'authority'	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Do the DOUEE..... Has laid down criteria to assess suitability of the employee who has been given 'authority'	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Do the DOUEE..... Has laid down parameters to assess suitability of the employee who has been given 'authority'	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Do the DOUEE..... Has educational qualification as laid down parameters for suitability of the employee who has been given 'authority'	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Do the DOUEE..... Has 'verified honesty' as laid down parameters for suitability of the employee who has been given 'authority'	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Has the DOUEE incorporated procedure of 'secret unidentifiable opinion presentation' to establish 'verified honesty'	Yes=150; No=(-)100
Has the DOUEE..... Incorporates procedure that the staff having given authority declares its assets and fortunes every year and the same got counter checked by separate government DOUEE established for such purpose	Yes=150; No=(-)100
Has the DOUEE incorporates procedure	
Do the DOUEE..... Has laid down procedures to assess suitability of the employee who has been given 'authority'	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Do the DOUEE has laid down procedures for training the staff for using humble and mannerful language	Yes=100; No=(-)100
has the DOUEE included good manners and humble language as the fields for training to staff	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Has the DOUEE certified that the	

Has the DOUEE..... Authorized staff/ To levy penalty to cause a monetary loss	Yes=(-)100
Has the DOUEE authorized staff..... to collect money in cash from public as penalty	Yes=(-)400; No=100
Has the DOUEE created its public domain with details of all the employees and staff accessible by anyone	Yes=200; NO=(-)200
Has the DOUEE provided its departmental heirarchy diagram/ depiction on public domain to understand departmental links and relations	Yes=100; No=(-)100
Has the DOUEE made provisions on its public domain for registering a complaint against any staff by any person	Yes=200; No=(-)250
Has the DOUEE made provisions on its public domain for automatic rating/ ranking/ score generation of each member/ staff taking cognizance of criteria/ parameters/ public complaints	Yes=200; No=(-)250

