

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system or person in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

### **Eligibility Checks in Government:**

Traders in government-TIG; government of traders-GOTE; Team working Index TWI; HPP-Head of Political party;

- 1. In all spheres of life the competency and ability of a person to carry through the job requirements is based upon the profile in respect of its education, knowledge spheres, (experience) and Intelligence (analytical and vector)**
- 2. For government, everyone of them, is taken for granted to be suitable for every position at equal competency and caliber level. This is practically impossible, illogical and irrational**
- 3. For Government first parameter to be checked if the government is 'Real' or 'illusory'. Imaginary Government formation shall be the result of 'unfair and dishonest' inclination of the HPP and HOG. incumbents of Government positions' HOG, MOG or OIG are 'dummy' or 'dishonest'**
- 4. It becomes necessary that the MOG, OIG should get elected as individuals and not as political party representatives which would keep them free of the undue pressures from HPP.**
- 5. Against the conceived theory, the same person as HPP and HOG is not likely to promote corruption**
- 6. Ideally Elections of HOG, MOG, OIG must be 'as individuals' and without 'party's name'**
- 7. the contribution of each player is easily and separately recognizable, the government will work like a team**
- 8. Team Working index: can be worked out from the fact that if a goal has been projected and owned only by one member of the government or simultaneously sub goals have been defined and projected by the other related departments and organs of the government.**
- 9.**
- 10. Team Working index: Assessed from facts, if 1. the owner and goals are identified and known to everyone, 2. the players and co-players are identified, 3. goals have been**

projected and owned by all 4. the achievements are measurable and verifiable and 5. simultaneous sub goals have been defined and projected by the other related departments and organs of the government.

11. Every GOSIP to have team working index mentioned. The Government's 'Team working Index' TWI, for a GOSIP, shall be rated (Zero-No to 1No, 2No, ...6No) as 'Zero-No' if all are complied and '6NO' if nothing complied.

12. Rules for Self-Checks by Government: (i) first 'thumb rule' would be that 'government' would not deny a probe against them for any charges For charges of corruption on HOG,MOG,OIG not only the call for investigations shall be accepted, but the process of investigation shall be commenced in four days and concluded in two months positively

(ii)second 'thumb rule' would be to 'present' the GOSIP, related Goals, achievable and achievements in ONLY 'DAVM' forms.

(iii)After validation only, the achievements on the public domain in DAVM form would be converted to 'achieved goals'.

13. The political parties may demonstrate perseverance and passion for achieving goals for Social upgradations, Eradication of social evils, unification of society, social equality of citizen, social welfare etc. with identified distinct and measurable goals,

14. If HOG and/or MOG would avail option of remaining ignorant, indifferent, inactive, unresponsive to an incident related to above, then he/she would be the owner of the irregularity and responsible for the consequences and loss to citizen and nation.

15. Government must notify GOSIP only in DAVM form with goals achievable vs achieved

16. Suitability of a person for HOG or MOG must be defined in COG/constitution as guidelines for the political parties and aspirants to regulate their actions and behavior in compliance to the same.

17. HOG is 'Apex leader' and must exercise its visible control. It is repeated that the control should be visible for controlling irregularities committed by any MOG, OIG and to control any antisocial behavior

18. HOG, MOG, OIG GPP, PL may be under their assessment, doing well to its region and people but have no powers to cause trouble and loss to others,

In a government and governance model prevalent today across the world is that some people are selected through political elections and become entitled to be in the government and taking all decisions pertaining to many diversified and different issues. It is even more interesting to see that anyone of them is considered suitable for any position or portfolio which leads to an analogy that **everyone of them is taken for granted to be suitable for every ministry, position and portfolio at equal competency and caliber level. This is practically impossible, illogical and irrational as it would not apply to any other sphere of life, or profession or activity.** Irrespective of their background, education, exposure, experience, personal aptitude, professional attitude & aptitude, caliber etc. they all would be considered capable for all the positions and taking decisions pertaining to all diversified issues and fields of the government and governance of a nation. If we take example of sports, say football, a striker is different from defender; goal keeper is different from mid fielder and so on. Likewise in cricket bowlers are different from batsman, bowlers with different skills, fast or spin, there are fielders good for fielding at particular position etc. In education the specialist have qualification in particular field medicine, engineering, economics, literature, language etc. Likewise **virtually in all spheres of life the competency and ability of a person to carry through the job requirements is based upon the profile in respect of its education, knowledge spheres, experience, however, except for the government,** which incidentally happens to be the most important unit taking most important decisions. **This can be looked at as the most serious disparity and contrast.**

There are people to assist the government in taking decisions, the administrative group, termed as 'Managers of Government's Governance' MGG, and the excuse for assigning any portfolio to any elected person, would be that the MGG would possess the required qualification criteria and provide the back up to politicians in government to make up their deficiency of knowledge and experience.

I have already described the appropriateness of a decision making profile in **other chapter** which can be applied to check the decision profile. Therefore, eligibility of an incumbent of a government position need to be assessed with respect to the decision which is to be taken. **This chapter elaborates the 'eligibility features in its appearance and assessment from outside' for the government as a whole.** The principle adopted for this is that the government is represented by the persons of different origin, background; therefore, analysis from this consideration is important.

**The first parameter to be checked is if the government is 'Real' or 'illusory'.** In many countries, especially where the political party system is prevalent and dominating, the party leaders would claim to have control over the government people with reasoning that 'political party' is the platform for them to stand on. **If political party leader is prominent and powerful, but donot want to be in the government, may prefer to have some dummy**

**representatives as Head of Government HOG, and exercise control in the decision making of government** through remote control. Some probable situations would be as below:

- i) If those who would intend to exercise the remote control have the intention of doing actions which would not have been possible while being in the government. **Thus possible intention of performing un-government like action would be a potential reason, which would directly indicate intentions of misappropriations.** It is more interesting to understand further that if by sitting outside, the remote control can be exercised, then it would use government as a tool to cause such misappropriations for them. **Let we call such authorities of the political party primarily, but may be from other origin, as 'Traders in government' TIG and the government as government of traders GOTE**
- ii) One reason may be that they do not consider them to be fit to be a HOG or MOG. The leader having direct and natural claim on the HOG or MOG and do not acquire the position reflects a second thought prevailing on them other than the service to nation. **Contesting an election ideally would have sincere objective of serving the nation and the citizen and defying it when the opportunity comes, would represent an intention other than the 'service to the nation and citizen'.** They would therefore, have their personal selfish objectives to be fulfilled.
- iii) The other possibility would be that the people outside would not consider them to be fit to be in the government. This would happen if the filters for checking suitability of a person for prospective positioning as HOG or MOG, are weak and unsuitable and this goes just against the basics of their being contesting the elections. If there are no ambiguities, **anyone having preoccupied perception for not being fit to be in government would not participate in the elections.**

**The above incidents would correspond to an 'illusory government', where the 'incumbents of Government positions' HOG, MOG or OIG are 'dummy' and works under somebody's control'.**

Thus as above, there would be possibility of having 'imaginary government' and as brought out above the intention of existence of such a government, most likely is 'misappropriation'. **Thus an 'illusory government' can be called illusory government for misappropriation (IGM).** The most important aspect which need to be addressed here is if in a party dominating political system, the party top leader should also be the head of the government or not.

#### **HOG HPP Same person**

If head of political party (HPP) is also head of the government (HOG) it may be apprehended that it would control other people in the government who are from the same party and do misappropriation to benefit itself. (In earlier model of corruption, it has been seen that 'corruption in visible form' is not an act of an individual but a culture). It may be apprehended that the HPP would force the others in the

government to do what it would like, mainly the deeds of misappropriation and if they do not concede to the wishes of HPP +HOG (say HPHG), they would be punished.

Let us evaluate this by introducing a 'trust level index' within the government mainly between the HOG and others (MOG) in government. This trust level would indicate the synchronization of the government. If the trust level index is low, there would be frequent changes in the government. Especially, if the people are also being sent out besides shifting the portfolios. Considering a period of about 2 years to be a reasonable period for one to perform in the government, the trust level index would be less than 1 if there is a change in government before that. (However, if the change is more than this parameter, it would not be conclusive that the trust level is high). If there is a change taking place in a period of one year or less, then the trust level index can be considered as zero. There is one very important analogy that comes out of this scenario that **a member of the government would always be under a real or virtual threat if it has contested the election as representative of a party instead of an individual**. And it supports the hypothesis presented in the **other chapter ...** that the elections must be contested as an individual and not as a party member. Coming back to the issue of HPP and HOG being one, the HPHG might exercise undue pressure on others to act in a particular manner, especially unfair and unlawful, however, it would lead to deterioration of the image of the government and thus HOG. And **being HPP & HOG same, the loss to image of the government would also result loss to image of the party which would be suicidal for HPHG** as it would ruin it everywhere, as government, political party and as individual. Thus it, HPHG, would not have incentive to do wrong in the government.

Looking at the scenario from the members of the government MOG side, they would do the correct actions or would do incorrect, either under undue pressure of HPHG or with their own will, to please HPP and HOG to get some 'out of turn' favour. The first aspect has been discussed above and the later one would be a question of 'internal' discipline or indiscipline of the party or the government. **Such actions on part of any member would be rejected by the other members to cause enough discouragement to the one**, to take such initiative back. As this would be a loss to both government and the party at the same time, both HPHG and the member of the governments MOG, and also to 'others in government OIG' there would be major disincentive to all for not adopting practices against the morale and sincerity of the government.

The only exception would be that they all inherit 'corruption as culture' and everyone practicing it. If so, such government people would not return in 'democratic system'.

There is one more possibility. The HPHG becomes so powerful that it thumbs down others in the government for its own benefits, like a dictator. If the other members are strong to react to such an effort, probably the HPHG would be discouraged to do so, especially with ICE in place and with fair and open system of government evaluation. Once again **it becomes necessary that the MOG, OIG should get elected as individuals based upon their own merits and not as political person which would keep them free of the undue pressures from HPP.**

Therefore the **government becoming unfair would not be because of strong HOG or HPHG, but because of weak members MOG.** And for which the changes in election systems have also been suggested which essentially to be individual based instead of party based.

In view of the above analogy, it is recommendable that in a party system it is preferable that for fair and citizen oriented working, the HPP should become HOG also, conversely if HPP decides not to become HOG, the prominent reason would be doing unfair actions under the shelter of the government and HOG, MOG, unless there is any other 'beyond control' reasons like, 'ill-health' etc. But in such cases also HPP should prefer to quit and authorize HOG to be HPP also. It is also evident from above that **if HPP and HOG are different and if there is any unfair action in the government, the HPP would certainly be a part of it.** A situation contrary to this in which the HPP does not become HOG even though being eligible, would again represents HPP being weak and dummy against the one who would become HOG even though not being HPP. This would again show the inappropriate intention of the one becoming HOG/ MOG and in partnership with the HPP.

### TEAM WORKING

The '**team working index**' of the government would be an index to see the cohesive working which is necessary for the use of 'government system energy' for the productive works only. Let us develop this by hypothesis based upon a 'sports model'. What makes a team in a sport, football for example, acting in unification without any differences. The answer which otherwise would be difficult to be arrived at in the government working model, can easily be understood using this sports model and it is because everyone has common goal to be achieved. Therefore, the GOAL is to be defined first. And goal is not a direction, not distance but is a 'number', countable and measurable. If any member of the government has made a goal, it would remain immeasurable and incomplete unless associated with parallel goals or



supported goals made by others. **Government would therefore, define the goals in DAVM form defining co-owners of the goal, their role and their commitment.** Therefore, it would not be a 'single soul' objective of an individual but a unified accepted objectives of all concerned and cohesive approach enabling the team viz the government to achieve it. **This index can be worked out from the fact that if a goal has been projected and owned only by one member of the government or simultaneously sub goals have been defined and projected by the other related departments and organs of the government.**

**Goals Pre-established and actions open and transparent:** The examination of this aspect hopefully would reveal the difference between these two approaches. We go ahead with the football team as enough discussions made on the behaviour of political party above. In the football team, the goal is very well defined and it is known to all team mates that success or the failure would be joint. Neither success is individual's own, nor failure. Thus the spirits are together and actions unified. Being leader, the captain may get the opportunity of speaking on behalf of the team but the player who has scored winning goal gets its dues both in terms of recognition, achievements and efforts and captain also has to give due recognition to it. However, **the basic requirement is that the goals are identifiable and joint, the actions are open and everyone outside knows the players who would be working hard to achieve it** and presentation of the one who remain outstanding undisputedly and unarguably. As everything is explicit and known, the media also cannot make other stories and the happenings and no actor in the process would be able to manipulate the happenings the other way.

Conclusively, **in case of government, if the goals are identified and known to everyone, the players are identified, the achievements are measurable and verifiable and the contribution of each player is easily and separately recognizable and the recognition is joint instead of individualistic, a government would also function like a team.**

	Team Working Index	Yes	No
1	Owner is identified and notified	1	0
2	the goals are notified (identified, and known to everyone) and certified by owner	1	0
3	The players and co-players are identified and made aware	1	0

4	goals have been projected and owned by all	1	0
5	Simultaneously sub goals have been defined and projected by the other related departments and organs of the government	1	0
6	The achievements are measurable and verifiable	1	0

The GOSIP shall be rated as 'Zero-No' if all are complied and '6NO' if nothing complied.

Now this approach may differ from the approach of a political party government as described above. The tendency of few for becoming owner of the political party or the government and realizing personal aspirations of getting to power through the 'party' makes a 'political party' a group just apposite to a 'football team'.

A political party would therefore, work well and unified for the goals to which the members are sentimentally, attached to. And most of such issues, would be social issues. May be pertaining to **Social upgradations, Eradication of social evils, unification of society, equality of citizen, welfare etc. but with identified distinct and measurable goals, the political parties may demonstrate perseverance and passion for achieving goals.**

#### Rules for Self-Checks by Government

The government of a country is the most responsible organization for the nation. The association of higher responsibilities entails government to define some 'thumb rules' for everyone without deviation and exemption. If this is so, **it would represent that everyone in the government is at equal footing thus exhibit 'equality'. And equality is most essential requirement for unified working.** These 'thumb rules' would necessarily have the orientation towards the citizen, as government is morally responsible to citizen, and not towards members of the government. The history tells that in a regime, there were many high level corruption scams surfaced, signifying adoption of corruption as culture by the government, which may indicate that the 'thumb rule' the government provided equality to 'adopting corrupt practices' to all the members with an orientation towards self-benefits. Therefore, it is essential that these **'thumb rules' provide power to the citizen and not to the government and its organs.**



There have been cases that the opposition party leadership demanded probe against MOG on charges of misappropriation, scam which the government kept on denying and denying the occurrence of any misappropriation/corruption too. Later when the investigations done, the occurrence of scam was established and 'making some individual MOG' responsible, everybody else escaped.

The question remained however, that, if the scam was there, why the government did not know about it when it was happening. And also if the scam was there made by the members of the government, why HOG was not aware and why kept on denying a probe for quite long. Thus the **first 'thumb rule' would be that 'government' would not deny a probe against them for any charges except related to invasion on the country. For charges of corruption on MOG, not only the call for investigations shall be accepted, but the process of investigation shall be commenced in four days and concluded in two months positively.** (For cases in which military action was initiated across border, the government would conduct self-investigation and reveal the facts to the extent possible.) The government behavior for such denial shall be considered unlawful. Before any argument comes up that such provisions may interfere with the free working of the government, it should be realized that this would make government working transparent which is government's responsibility and liability.

The **second 'thumb rule' would be to 'present' the GOSIP, related Goals, achievable and achievements in ONLY 'DAVM' forms** every six months for public notification as government claimed goals. All such claims of the government would remain 'under doubt' till substantiated by the people media, social organizations and public media. The **third thumb rule is that After validation only, the achievements on the public domain in DAVM form would be converted to 'achieved goals'.**

These examples have been brought out to high-light that the 'thumbs rules' would not be only what the government would do but must have specific mention about 'what is forbidden'.

There would be no option or excuse with government for not reacting/not controlling/ignoring even temporarily, to a state of

- i) threat to social integrity and unity,
- ii) threat to laws and rules,
- iii) corruption,
- iv) cases of explicit self-benefits to HOG, MOG, OIG, PL,
- v) causing deliberate loss to the nation or the citizen.

**If HOG and/or MOG would avail option of remaining ignorant, indifferent, inactive, unresponsive to an incident related to above, the he/she would be the**

**owner of the irregularity and responsible for the consequences and loss to citizen and nation.**

As it is earlier highlighted that a person in government (member of government) MOG, Others in government OIG, Legislators for citizen and nation OIG in state/regional government like MP, MLA would be driven basically by its attributes of an individual and may tend to misalign the rules keeping in view their priorities and self-benefits. Especially where the rules are ill-defined and incomplete (which normally happens as the completeness of rules normally is no criteria and norm while structuring it), the GPP especially would have all incentives to manipulate the laws to fulfill their personal selfish goals. And if this works in one case, others do have reason to emulate and forming ultimately an environment, thus further creating a scenario of no check. It is therefore, **necessary to have well defined parameters, at least, to assess the suitability and capability of the persons in the government.**

The cases against HOG or MOG when in power, for sex scandals, corruption etc are news world over. So much so, many HOG even turn into a dictator for their self-benefits. The **parameters, therefore, for assessment of suitability of a person for HOG or MOG must be defined in COG/constitution as guidelines for the political parties and aspirants to regulate their actions and behavior in compliance to the same.**

These are the primary parameters for assessing and evaluating the working of HOG, the MOG would also governed by such criteria for their evaluation. The basic attributes of HOG/MOG, who is taking decisions for the entire nation, should be like:

- a) Equality and Integrity: The actions must represent uniformity of actions & behaviour for the people of the entire nation. If equality was a parameter for the working, HOG would not behave in the manner it was as explained in above paras. The measurement of equality would be by way of following:
  - i) If government money distribution for welfare, progress and development schemes is uniform covering all regions, and the results envisaged are obtained. Is distribution of funds is based upon DAVM goals declared by the government and achievement of goals is validated and notified.
  - ii) The HOG/ Government has a social commitment. It is easy to permit everyone to do whatever one wants in the name of 'freedom as basic right' as a social norms, but would cause deformation and deterioration of social values if permitted unchecked. The Government must have a commitment to remove the social evils and providing social development.

- iii) The **HOG is 'Apex leader' and must exercise its visible control. It is repeated that the control should be visible for controlling irregularities committed by any MOG, OIG and to control any antisocial behavior** shown by a MOG. Another important parameter would be 'welfare' actually happened or caused thus not the words but on-ground reality, The welfare would be in terms of the following minimum:

- a) Government Education system
- b) Government Health services
- c) Social anomalies prevention
- d) Living conditions improvement
- e) Affordability by average citizen to food, clothing's, shelter, health services, education together.
- f) Elevation done in quality of public services, education, health, accessibility to police forces public amenities

- iv) **INTEGRITY:**

The equality and integrity would apply to them to treat every dweller of their zone as equally acceptable. And the social commitment would be another very important parameter applicable to them.

There have been numerous examples that the OIG, just to secure support and vote of a particular section of society, supported the (social) practices causing decay and deformation of society like child marriage, religious fundamentalism, getting against inter-caste marriages and integration, dowry, protecting people committing heinous crimes like rape and many other malpractices.

The standards would be

- 1. Should not be LCN
- 2. Should not be MOG
- 3. Should not be HOG

**Every GPP (includes HOG, MOG OIG in general terms) must have a strong social commitment** and also assessment about the same. Welfare

would be again applicable to each one of them HOG, MOG or OIG and the same parameters would apply to all.

**Integrity would also be a parameter equally applicable to them.**

However, honoring the laws and sovereignty of the nation would be one added requirement which would be very important parameter for GPP=HOG, MOG, OIG. The GPP basically belonging to a particular small region may, at time, be driven by the sentiments and feelings of doing good to people of its region which may even cause harm to others, in the same region, or outside. **HOG, MOG, OIG GPP, PL may be under their assessment, doing well to its region and people but have no powers to cause trouble and loss to others,** who are in other regions or outsider (migrated) in the same region. This not only brings in social disparity but can become potential reason for an unrest and clash amongst social fragments.

**The assessment on above parameters is essential at the time of one contesting an election for OIG and also during its working as OIG, MOG or HOG.**