

## VALUE OF A DECISION

Decision  
maker vs  
Decision  
taker

Are Decision maker and decision taker (whether same or different) and it is announced in the decision	same=150; different=(-)150
Are decision maker and decision taker are identified by name and official role and notified along with the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does the decision include the name and position of 'decision maker'	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does the decision include the name and position of 'decision taker'	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does decision bring out the identity of other associates/participants or otherwise Decision maker confirms that he/she alone took decision	Yes=100; No=(-)200
Does the decision include the details of all 'agencies/units/organs' which would work as medium for the application and field execution of the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does the decision include the name and position of 'responsible' persons of such agencies/units/organs	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does decision include 'objectives' and 'targeted achievables'	Yes=150; No=(-)200
Does decision include 'objectives' and 'targeted achievables' in DAVM or Non-DAVM terms	DAVM=150; Non-DAVM=(-)200
Is owner of the decision notified for overall responsibility of success/failure of decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are parameters and criteria of success/failure of a decision included in the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Normal course the decision maker would be owner of the decision. Is owner of the decision specified	Yes=100; No=(-)150 Yes=(-)150;
Is owner of the decision different from Decision maker	No=100
Does owner of the decision certify for owning responsibility for the correctness, efficacy and success of the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does owner of the decision certify for owning responsibility for the success of the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is owner of the decision, Person and position both, announced on public domain/ publicly and mentioned in processing	Yes=100; No=(-)150

	Did the announced person as owner accepted ownership in public, published alongwith the decision	Yes=150; No=(-)250	
	<b>Decision maker's profile score</b>		
Configuring Decision	Are techniques required for developing a decision identified and defined	Yes=100; No=(-)150	
	Are techniques required for developing a decision available and effective as per past use	Yes=100; )150	No=(-
	Do the techniques as envisaged above were applied in similar decision making previously	Yes=100; No=(-)150	
	Are the techniques as envisaged above have been applied in present decision making (No will apply even if above are No)	Yes=250; No=(-)250	
	Are procedures with steps and stages defined for developing a decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150	
	Are procedures with steps and stages defined are practically available for application	Yes=100; No=(-)150	
	Do the procedures as envisaged above were applied in decision making (No will apply even if above are No)	Yes=250; No=(-)250	
	Are the technology based attributes required for developing a decision defined	Yes=100; No=(-)150	
	Are the technology based attributes as envisaged above were applied	Yes=250; No=(-)250	
	Are professional and non professional actors, associates, partners defined for developing decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150	
	Did the professionals and non professional actors associates, partners defined for developing decision participated in their role for decision making and details covered in the decision	Yes=250; No=(-)250	
	Has the systematic events of success or failure of last similar decision made out and notified explicitly in DAVM form	Yes=200; No=(-)200	
	Did the systematic events of success or failure of last similar decision corelated with the present decision making	Yes=250; No=(-) )250	
	Is it certified that the failures (with details) of last such two decisions have been taken care of to avoid recurrence of failure now	Yes=100; No=(-) )150	

## Objectives and prerequisites Index

Are objectives of a decision notified alongwith the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are objectives contain progress ;upgration; improvement; betterment efficiency; refinement ;economy; savings of resources/money; citizen welfare; nation welfare; security; savings due to higher efficiency; benefits after implementation; more useful; more purposeful;	Yes=100; No=(-)150 each item
Are the objectives substantiated with DAVM terms, at present and projected for defined period	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the decision taken as advance planning	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the decision taken as advance action to prevent uneasy situation .	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the decision supported by DAVM facts and projections as to how it would meet the envisaged planning	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are all participating actors defined in decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are all participating actors defined in decision with RAA.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is RAA fixed for the decision with decision maker defining owner of decision, supporting actors, and recipient of the benefits	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are expected results of the decision brought out clearly in DAVM terms	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is it explained why the decision was not taken earlier if it is an after thought after happening.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Is the plan of review of results in timeline furnished in the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Has the methodology of such reviews with parameters to be evaluated covered in decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
If desired results not achieved, does an analysis presented for explaining the reasons including appropriateness attributes analysis of decision taker and maker	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Has the decision taker/maker certified for having no self biasing and no self benefit.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
If all the actors, naming them, mentioned who are participating in the decision making directly or indirectly	Yes=100; No=(-)150

Are the final results of past decision of similar account discussed	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Decision maker defined owner, supports and recipient of decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are all participating actors defined in decision with RAA.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Has it been clearly defined that what stimulated the need and action of particular decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are techniques required for earmarking and achieving objectives have been identified	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are factors which motivated for such decision to be taken are elaborated	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are likely achievements of the decision defined in DAVM form and on time line	Yes=250; No=(-)250
Are the objectives of developing the decision are accessible to the groups of people/citizen	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the out come of the decision are understandable and adoptable to the USER/common citizen	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the decision reviews at regular intervals defined in decision formats	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the techniques/ procedures elaborated for measuring the outcome/results of the decision	Yes=150; No=(-)150
Are the procedures/ methodology for measuring the results/outcome of the decision are conclusive indicating directly the success or failure of decision	Yes=150; No=(-)150

#### Gain loss Index

	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Would the decision improve environment	No=(-)150
Has improvement of environment quantified on time line	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the different gain loss parameters presented in DAVM	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the different gain parameter presented in DAVM on time line	Yes=150; No=(-)150
Are the different loss parameter presented in DAVM on time line	Yes=150; No=(-)150
Is profitability index worked out	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are the resources to be invested identified in terms of money and time and manpower	Yes=150; No=(-)150

Are the returns worked out in terms of money and time	Yes=150;
and manpower,	No=(-)150
Are the actors/ players/ co-owners of the decision	Yes=150;
identified and mentioned alongwith their role	No=(-)150
	Yes=100;
Does the status of people would get uplifted	No=(-)150
Is the upliftment of status worked out in DAVM terms	Yes=150;
for civic amenities	No=(-)150
Is the status after upliftment worked out in DAVM	Yes=100;
compared to international criteria or best in world	No=(-)150
Is the sphere of enhanced upliftment worked out in	
terms of area and number of people	
Does the decision likely to enhance national and social	Yes=100;
harmony and reasons detailed	No=(-)150
Is the sphere of enhanced harmony worked out in terms	Yes=150;
of area and number of people	No=(-)150
Are the parameters defined to assess enhancement in	Yes=150;
harmony	No=(-)150
Does the decision bring in more transparency in the	Yes=100;
system	No=(-)150
Has the points enhancing transparency brought out and	Yes=100;
projected on time line	No=(-)150
	Yes=100;
Has the 100 % transparency level defined & elaborated	No=(-)150
Has comparison of projected transparency level on	Yes=100;
timeline done with 100 %transparency	No=(-)150
Does the decision brings in more accessibility in the	Yes=100;
system by citizen	No=(-)150
Has the points enhancing citizen's accessibility brought	Yes=100; No=(-
out and projected on time line	)150
Has the 100 % accessibility level by the citizen defined	Yes=100; No=(-
and elaborated	)150
Has comparison of projected accessibility level on	Yes=100; No=(-
timeline done with 100 %accessibility by citizen	)150
	Yes=150; No=(-
Does the decision improved security of nation	)200
Does the decision elaborates the enhancement of	
security specifying what kind of security threats	Yes=150; No=(-
occurred in past would be avoided	)200
Has the 'this side that side' analysis done by decision	Yes=100; No=(-
maker	)150
Does decision maker certify that the possible troubles,	
losses to the people/subjects have been studied and	Yes=100; No=(-
evaluated	)150

Does decision maker brings out possible loss/troubles  
to the people/subjects likely to happen and remedies Yes=100; No=(-  
proposed )250

### Application Index

Has the enforcement methodology brought out and  
described with process, line up, action plan, associated Yes=250; No=(-  
main units and ancillary units, offices, organs, associates, )250  
Have the actors, associates, partners for effective and Yes=150; No=(-  
meaningful enforcement of the decision brought out )200  
Are roles and responsibilities of all enforcement units,  
ancillary units, offices, associates, organs, persons Yes=250; No=(-  
described in DAVM form on timeline )250  
Are the standard for controlling the work or decision Yes=100; No=(-  
activity clearly mentioned )150  
Are the specifications for controlling the work or Yes=100; No=(-  
decision activity clearly mentioned )100  
Are the codes and standards for controlling the work or Yes=100; No=(-  
decision activity clearly mentioned )150  
Has the medium for progression of decision identified Yes=100; No=(-  
& brought out )150  
Has the prerequisites for progression of decision Yes=100; No=(-  
identified and brought out )150  
Are factors with probability of enhancing chances of Yes=100; No=(-  
failures have been identified )150  
Are possible remedial measures to counter act on the Yes=100; No=(-  
factors causing failure, conceived and explained )150

### Decision Reversal

Has the probability of reversing of the decision Yes=100; No=(-  
elaborated )150  
Has the time , after on set , when the decision would  
be reversed placed against corresponding loss on time Yes=100; No=(-  
line )150  
Has the number of decisions reversed or pend after  
initiating implementation identified pertaining to same Yes=150; No=(-  
owner of decision during last 20 years )200  
Has the number of decisions reversed after  
implementation identified pertaining to same Yes=150; No=(-  
department of decision making during last 20 years )200  
Yes=100; No=(-  
Has the loss incurred brought out in both cases )150  
Does the decision confirms suitability in itself and no Yes=100; No=(-  
reversibility expected )150  
If decision is reversed before its completion period the Yes=150; No=(-  
anti-accountability RAA assigned to actors )200

Does decision maker and decision taker both certify that the possible reversal of the decision has been investigated and chances of such happening does not exist	Yes=150; No=(-)200
Does decision maker and decision taker both certify that the possible non-implementation of the decision after announcement has been investigated and chances of such happening does not exist	Yes=150; No=(-)200

#### More for Government

Government's Anti-FOTAS monitor score	NN=(-)250, N=(-)150, Z=(-)50, P=100, PP=200
Are all participating ministries, departments, DOUEE defined in decision alongwith their roles and responsibilities	Yes=150; No=(-)200
Are responsibilities defined explicitly in a manner that cause and responsibility for failures can be assigned within two days	Yes=150; No=(-)150
Decision taker/maker certifying that the natural obligations to nation and PON have been met.	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
Has decision taker/maker certified that it is not deriving any self interest/ self gain/benefit directly or indirectly in the process.	Yes=150; No=(-)200
Are any actors in decision making i.e. decision maker, decision taker, associates, consultants, partners etc are interrelated at personal level/personal life	Yes=100; No=(-)100

#### Selfless Decision score

Bh