

Decision Makers profile

education	Education level of decision maker- PG and above	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Education level of decision taker- PG and above	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Education in Engineering, Finance	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Decision legal scrutiny done by law experts to check any implications	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Education in the field of application of the decision- PG or above	Yes=100; No=(-)150
knowledge	Is the knowledge level of owner of the decision specified in terms of education and handling of at least four similar decisions till 100% objectives achieved	Yes=100; No=(-)250
	Are ancillary fields identified, at least first two, other than the primary field of application, knowledge of which is required for correctness of the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are experts from ancillary fields consulted and assisted in creating the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Do these experts have knowledge of ancillary fields by virtue of education (PG and above in relevant field) and also working experience of five years or more directly exposed to the appropriate level in ancillary field	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does Decision maker possess experience in the field of application of the decision for at least four decisions with association right upto the end and Experience experiencing success/failure	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does decision maker certify that these four (or more) decisions pertains to similar activities, similar fields and application to similar environment	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does decision taker certify that these four (or more) decisions pertains to similar activities, similar fields and application to similar environment	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does Decision maker present and certify the success/failure status in explicit DAVM terms for the four decisions (or more) of its association	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does decision maker present and certify the success status in explicit DAVM terms with delivery in time for the four decisions (or more) of its association	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does Decision taker present and certify the success/failure status in explicit DAVM terms for the four decisions (or more) of its association	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does 'decision maker' identifies the methodology for field application and execution of the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150

	Does 'decision maker' identifies and include in the decision itself, the different agencies/units/organs associated and responsible for field application and execution of the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Responsibility	Are Laws/Rules in place and notified making 'decision maker' responsible for the decision maker' for each decision and notified on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150 Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does 'decision maker' accept being responsible for the decision and its outcome on the public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does 'decision taker' accept being responsible for the decision and its outcome on the public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the 'enforcement medium/units/organs accept being responsible for any deficiencies in 'field execution' of the decision	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Does the decision, at the onset, specify and include the achievables in DAVM form on the time line and mentioning the resources/money spent	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Does 'decision taker' accept responsibility for presenting the actual achieved goals, every four months, on public domain till '100% achievement'	Yes=200; No=(-)400
Selfless Decision maker	Change/uplift in the economic condition of decision maker during last five years in terms of money, assets and property (Money value of money+assets+property)÷(salary/notified income per month*number of months) for uplift during last five years	<15% per year=100; >15%=(-)150 <1.2=100; >1.2=(-)150
	Number of charges for misappropriation, corruption, cheating, taking over undue assets, financial anomalies	(-)50 each case
	Number of charges for crimes, anti-social behaviour, anti-national behaviour	(-)50 each case
	Number of past decisions which did not fetch 100% value/achievables in the the 'period initially provided for 100% achievements'	(-)50 each case
	Are DAVM details of past decisions placed on public domain with no disclaimer and legally challengeable	Yes=150; No=(-)200
Selfless Decision taker	Change/uplift in the economic condition of decision taker during last five years in terms of money, assets and property	<15% per year=100; >15%=(-)150

(Money value of
money+assets+property)÷(salary/notified income
per month*number of months) for uplift during last
five years <1.2=100; >1.2=(-)150

Number of charges for misappropriation,
corruption, cheating, taking over undue assets,
financial anomalies (-)50 each case

Number of charges for crimes, anti-social
behaviour, anti-national behaviour (-)50 each case

Number of past decisions which did not fetch
100% value/achievable in the 'period initially
provided for 100% achievements' (-)50 each case

Are DAVM details of past decisions placed on
public domain with no disclaimer and legally
challengeable Yes=150; No=(-)200

If DM and DT are different, is decision maker
higher in hierarchy or decision taker is higher DM=100 ; DT=(-)100

Does decision maker have any attribute of being anti-
nation or anti-social Yes=(-)150 ; No=100