## ELECTIONS: DAU Responsibilities and Functions

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Objectives of election | Are objective of elections defined by DAU | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does the objectives of election consists of : | |
| | 1- Election/selection of a pro-citizen oriented person. | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 2- Selection of pro-society oriented person | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 3- Selection of pro-nation oriented person | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 4- Selection of honest and sincere person | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 5- Selection of knowledge and intelligent based attribute | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 6- Selection of gentle socially behaved person/attribute | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 7- Selection of social progressives attribute . | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 8- Selection of a transparent attribute | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 9- Selection of a anti- criminal character protecting SPF | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 10. Selection of a anti-criminal character helping to catch them and punishing | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | 11. selection of an educated, intelligent professional with analytical attributes who can plan and take the nation forward/ahead | yes=150; No=(-)200 |
| | Are the objectives of election, converted to DAVM measurements and published by DAU for self rekoning by candidate and candidate's assessment by people | yes=150; No=(-)200 each item |
| DAU Self monitoring | Is there a criteria for rejection of a candidate based upon low score against objectives of election | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does DAU has norms of self monitoring, self verifying and notify its actions regarding assessing suitability of candidates for elections | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Are the parameters laid down by DAU for self monitoring their performance against its duties and place assessment on public domain every four months | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does DAU has norms of self monitoring its actions regarding assessing suitability of actions and statements of PL, HOG. MOG,, OIG and put it on public domain every four months | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Are the parameters cover regular review of various assigned duties for scruitiny of HOG. MOG, OIG, PL political parties and reporting to ICE and notify on the public domain | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Are the objectives, principles, procedures converted to DAVM measurements for acertaining true inclination and dedication for installing true democracy | yes=100; No=(-)150 |

**Candidates & party rating**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Based upon objectives of election, the rating of each candidates (before election) is assessed and notified attributewise on public domain and n public media at least 2 months before elections | yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | DAU publishes the above individual's rating every four months after elections also | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | DAU publishes the above individual's rating every week during last two months before election. | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does DAU react to the public behavior of HOG. MOG, OIG, PL which is unsolicited, with adverse reporting | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Has DAU posted itself and confirmed/notified to monitor post election behavior & treatment of HOG. MOG, & OIG, PL | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Has DAU developed criteria for assessing such post-election behavior of HOG. MOG, & OIG, PL. | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Has DAU developed DAVM parameters for ASSESSING such post-election behavior of HOG. MOG, & OIG, PL | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| **Assigning norms GPP** | Has DAU specified norms and criteria for an ideal HOG HOG. MOG, OIG, PL issued by DAU in attributes as below, | yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| A1 | 1- pro-citizen person-(public services, protecting SPF) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A2 | 2- pro-society person (social integration and causing no disintegration/seperation; elemination of social evils, education) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A3 | 3- pro-nation person (national integration, regional/provinctial integration, development of adjoining regions, states, province) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A4 | 4- honest and sincere person ( every details of property, assets, money, bank accounts, business, earnings monetary transactions transparently putup on self public domain and DAU public domain) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A5 | 5- knowledge and intelligent based attribute (Post graduation Education in Engineering and Science and mathematics based fields, Finance) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A6 | 6- gentle socially behaved attribute (No anti-social behaviour, no public display of power and strength, No involvement in non-criminal crimes) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A7 | 7- social progressives attribute (Extent of social development, public services upgradations caused ) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A8 | 8- transparency in behaviour (Owning high+ public domain covering details pertaining to self and all family members) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A9 | Responsibility in behaviour: | yes=100; No=(-)150 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| A10 | 9- anti- criminality (No association in any major crime, no support to any person accused of a majpr crime) | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A11 | 10- past achievements- social welfare and public srvices upgradations done during last three years | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| A12 | 11- past achievements- social evil eradication done last three years | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does DAU rate the above attributes of each HOG. MOG, & OIG every 3 months and publish | yes=150; No=(-)200 |
| | Does DAU rate the party every 3 months based upon their HOG. MOG, & OIG rating. | yes=150; No=(-)200 |
| | DAU placing evidence on public domain that above procedure and norms are being complied | Yes=200; No=(-)250 |
| | Does DAU publish ratings on public domain | Yes=100; No=(-)250 |
| | Is it mandatory by law for any candidate to details its actions to support the growth and development of adjoining states and adjoining constituencies/regions | Yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| Assigning norms PP | Has DAU specified norms for assessing political parties orientation for attributes as mentioned at A1 to A12 | Yes=100; No=(-)150 each attribute |
| | Does DAU rate above A1 to A12 attributes of each political party every 3 months, either in the form of score or rating spread over minimum six grades | Yes=100; No=(-)150 each attribute |
| | Does DAU constitute and appoint media panel to view and review the media reporting and sum up for assessment of rating of HOG, MOG, OIG, PL | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does DAU constitute and appoint SOCIAL panel to view and review all the reporting on social behaviour and sum up for assessment of rating of HOG, MOG, OIG, PL | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does DAU send such rating to ICE regularly period not exceeding 6 months but invariably reporting to ICE whenever some important happening occurs | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | Does DAU send such rating to public domain regularly period not exceeding 6 months. | No=(-)500 |
| | Does DAU produces evidence every two months on public domain for proving compliance of above norms and procedures. | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| DAU monitoring- Unsolicited behaviour | DAU identified & published the bad behavior attributes for elected people | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | DAU identified & published the good behavior attributes for elected people | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| | DAU gets undertaking from each candidate in detailed structured proforma to establish rating against various attributes. | yes=100; No=(-)150 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Has such proformas with all details published on public domain for all candidates and all parties | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| Does DAU Media panel and social panel record the details of unwanted, unsolicited act and behaviour of HOG, MOG, OIG, PL | yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| Does DAU media panel record Number of allegations on HOG, MOG OIG PL with audio and/or video evidence – (i) corruption (ii)criminality (iii)social irregularity (iv)misuse of authority (v) being antisocial | (-)100 each case for the person |
| Does DAU record and notify Number of cases HOG. MOG OIG PL did not submit detailed explaination on government public domain with DAVM evidence and proof completely proving its innocence within 48 hrs after allegation made | (-)100 each case for person and party |
| Does DAU Record and notify Number of cases HOG. MOG OIG PL did not accept enquiry in cases of allegation on him/her within 48 hrs after allegation made | (-)100 each case for person and party |
| Does DAU record and notify Number of cases in which enquiry report not submitted by government and the party on their public domains within 30 days | (-)100 each case |
| Does DAU record and notify Number of cases registered by law | Yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| Each case registered by Law | (-)100 each case |
| Does DAU record and notify Number of cases enquired by law with decisions/verdict- | Yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| Does DAU notify figures of Number of cases enquired - Within period < 12 months or >12 months with score | <12 months=150 each case; >12 months=(-) 200 each month more |
| Any law causing removal of government status and powers of HOG, MOG, OIG PL if legal case is in progress for more than 6 months (for a crime for which punishment can be X/2 years where X is equal to the normal term of government) | Yes=100 ; No=(-)150 |
| Any law causing degradation/reduction of government powers of HOG, MOG, OIG PL if legal cases are in process for >6 months | Yes=100 ; No=(-)150 |
| Is the proceedings put on public domain by government for their own HOG. MOG, OIG, PL(same political party or group or associates or affiliate) | Yes=100 ; No=(-)150 |
| Does enquiry officer & law office of the government certify proper investigation and proceeding done in each case , casewise | Yes=100 ; No=(-)150 each case |
| Do other HOG. MOG, OIG, PL support the HOG. MOG, OIG ,PL under allegation without producing DAVM evidence supporting their claim, casewise | Yes=(-)150; No=100 each such case |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Is there is a law that if the HOG. MOG, OIG, PL proves to be at fault they (supporting MOG, OIG, PL) shall become partner to the HOG. MOG, OIG misdeeds | Yes=100 ; No=(-)150 |
| | Is % of HOG. MOG, PL got punishment compared to number of cases for similar crimes in the same proportion as the common citizen got punished | Yes=100 ; No=(-)150 |
| Grouping of political parties before elections | Political parties contesting election with their objectives and goals predefined and placed on its public domain and made public by DAU in a manner that it is known to everyone | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | The political party's objectives and goal are presented in DAVM form with projected achievements on timeline | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | The grouping of political parties if announced is also scrutinized by DAU and the mechanism for approval in a manner similar to the approval of a political party | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | If the objectives and goals of individual political party differ and/or contradicts with the other party's objectives and goals, does DAU insist that they issue a joint statement of objectives and goals removing those which do not tally | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | Does DAU insist and ensure that the parties themselves places on their public domain the modified and unified statement of objectives and goals when they announce grouping | Yes=100; No=(-)250 |
| | Is unified statement presented in DAVM form by the parties and DAU on public domain | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | Are there laws that presentation of joint statement of objectives and goals is essential, by DAU, certifying that they have no contradictions in the objectives and goals of individual party's objectives and goals before elections and define the processing schedule | Yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| Grouping of political parties after elections | The grouping of political parties if announced is also scrutinized by DAU and the mechanism for approval in a manner similar to the approval of a political party | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | If the objectives and goals of individual political party differ and/or contradicts with the other party's objectives and goals, does DAU insist that they issue a joint statement of objectives and goals removing those which do not tally | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | Does DAU insist and ensure that the parties themselves places on their public domain the modified and unified statement of objectives and goals when they announce grouping | Yes=100; No=(-)250 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Is unified statement presented in DAVM form by the parties and DAU on public domain | Yes=100; No=(-)200 |
| | Are there laws that presentation of joint statement of objectives and goals is essential, by DAU, certifying that they have no contradictions in the objectives and goals of individual party's objectives and goals and define the processing schedule | Yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| DAU Rate political party | Does DAU ensures that every political party maintains a computer based public domain and places details of all officials, members, their particulars, details of assets, members anti-social, criminal and anti-national record; times they changed political party and all financial transactions inflow/out flow with money/resources available | Yes=200; No=(-)500 |
| | Does DAU rate the political party and candidate during election and issue rating every alternate day | Yes=100; No=(-)150 |
| DAU follows criteria that → | Political party and candidate talks about its acheivements and public welfare in their speech, talks, discussions in slogan/non-DAVM form | Yes=(-)100 each case |
| → | Political party and candidate talks about its achevements and public welfare in DAVM form in their speech, talks, discussions | Yes=150; |
| → | Political party and candidate talks about other's deficiencies and failures in their speech, talks, discussions without substantiating in DAVM terms | Yes=(-)150 each case |
| → | Political party and candidate talks about other's deficiencies and failures in DAVM form in their speech, talks, discussions | Yes=150; |
| → | Political party and candidate uses unsolicited language and expression in their speech, talks, discussions | Yes=(-)100 each case |
| → | Political party and candidate uses threat to voters in their speech, talks, discussions | Yes=(-)200; |
| → | Political party and candidate uses threat to other parties/candidates in their speech, talks, discussions | Yes=(-)200; |

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.