Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

## **Corruption: Scarcity of resources vs Power Oriented Governance**

- 1. Scarcity of resources would result 'self-benefitting' tendency in society
- 2. Unable to serve resources to all, GPP/MGG first stage shall be their apathy towards the citizen followed by the emergence of 'selfishness' to gain their own interests
- 3. The Government, unable to provide resources to all, shall get closer to those who owns larger resources because GPP/MGG would tend to share the 'benefits' from the people and environment having 'higher control over resources and opportunities'
- 4. To keep control over the OAR this group would further make the OAR costlier to keep common men at distance.
- 5. The government people, thus tend to keep common men away from resources and would turn into 'power' mode
- 6. Only deterrent would be an independent unbiased authority, ICE, superior in position to a monitor with authority

Ideally there is no police required if every one happy, doing what is 'the rule'. A rule may be defined as what is good for the society and people at large and desirable action for stability of the system in the direction of all round progress, so everyone doing what is good to society instead of what is good for it's-ownself. It would however happen only when the opportunities are in plenty and resources are affordable by each individual.

However, when opportunities are less, the resources are less than required to keep each one contented, each individual wish to grab the first opportunity and if the resources are less, each person try to take over the resources of others. In further discussions resources shall include opportunities also.

Not only the tendency to grab the share of others and making others short of resources, anyone getting hold on resources would try to grab in excess than what is needed because of the 'insecurity of survival'.

This would lead to anti-rule, and then government, civil administration in this case, is required. The job of civil administration is to see that this anti-rule does not prevail and

continue. Thus they need to be close to the people who are short of resources and have potential reasons to react to the situation of scarcity.

However, the fact that resources are inadequate to fulfill the needs of all the people, the GPP/MGG would be helpless to make the resources available to each and everyone and it would not take long for GPP/MGG to realize that it is 'not possible'. Unable to perform the 'objective' of their existence, serving resources to all, GPP/MGG first stage shall be their apathy towards the citizen followed by the emergence of 'selfishness' to gain their own interests.

The other important angle is that the administration consists of human, living in an environment in which opportunities and resources are scarce, thus they would also try to grab any possible opportunity benefiting itself which may become accessible to it. Therefore under such environment the administration **GPP/MGG** would get polarized and get close to the people where the opportunities and resources are in plenty, a place where they are not required for discharging the function of 'rule-maintainer'. This would happen as **GPP/MGG** would tend to share the 'benefits' from the people and environment having 'higher control over resources and opportunities'. Although the OAR (Opportunities and resources) are already scarce for common men, however, to keep control over the OAR this group would further make the OAR costlier to keep common men at distance.

There is one more important factor. When the opportunities and resources are scarce against the demand for articles, the cost of opportunities and resources (OAR) rises. A normal public servant, at par with the common men, may not be able to pay the price being high cost. The opportunities and resources would however, be seized, with 'power' if not by 'paying price'.

And the administration persons, MGG, would design the system that they have 'powers'. Thus to own the OAR, the administration GPP/MGG would have the preference to have 'power orientation' and use powers for self interest.

To check and restrain this, only deterrent would be an independent unbiased authority, superior in position, a monitor with authority to punish. It should not have any hold on nation's resources so as to be working in the interest of citizen and nation. This presents the importance of an 'Independent Constitutional Entity' position like ICE.

If this function, implied for ICE, is absent, the MGG may tend to use its powers for its self-benefits. More they are able to take over the OAR using the power, more they become possessive about using power to take over further OAR, simply because, they are not paying or losing any thing for the retention of powers, nor by using powers, these getting spent or depreciated. Therefore, the person or the unit which empowers them to

retain powers becomes most important to them. The MGG therefore, may form a natural group with the higher ups in the society and further above it, the MOG OIG and PL-politicians. To maintain a strong link with MOG OIG PL, they might share OAR they have taken over from the public/ citizen and in turn they would tend to grab more OAR from people/ citizen to make up.

As per this analogy and derivation, the tendency of 'using the resources' for fulfilling self-benefits, may start from MGG, they being exercising hold on the resources, and engulfing the 'powerful' in the society, the rich, social leaders, religious leaders etc and the higher-up in the 'government setup'.

This is an important outcome that if a 'power orientated governance' is visible, the exploitation and extraction of self-benefits would have spread to the 'powerful in the society' and other organs of the government. And to realize this a governance stream shall be designed by the government which shall have 'power orientation' instead of 'service orientation.

A 'power oriented governance system' therefore, provides a definite base for 'corruption'