

Government Authority, discretionary use, selling it

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Authority holder- Government employee delegated some authority by the government

GPP= Government employee, government position and person, government authority

rules	If norms for using an authority by government and its constituents are decided and on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)250
transparency	Do norms cover financial limits (individual and cumulative), Administrative limits (for exercising authority which would cause harm to others), maximum time limit	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Do norms cover obligations of the authority holder for being just, honest, pro-nation, pro-society and applying equality without discrimination	Yes=100; No=(-)150 each field
	Do norms cover that the authority holder is responsible to meet all obligations and shall be considered unlawful if any obligation is not complied with and due for action upon him/her by the government	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are procedures made which can cause self detection of any case of overstepping (misuse) of authority	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Do the authority holder certify with each decision that all obligations have been fulfilled for being just, honest, pro-nation, pro-society and complying to equality	Yes=100; No=(-)150 each field
	Does Head of Government office/unit and/or highest person in hierarchy dealing with case/decision certify that the 'action is completed in stipulated time, obligations complied and owns the decision to be correct.	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is stipulated time for completing an action calculated as 2days x number of persons in line-up dealing with the case	Yes=150; No=(-)250
	Are procedures made and placed on public domain to elaborate 'government/GPP' actions on time line by different participants in line up	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
	Do the procedures define the penalty/action against GPP in case of failure to comply to the obligations	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are there different penalties in terms of strength and consequences, for different faults by GPP	Yes=(-)150;
	Is there only one penalty of removal from services with variation of period only, minimum 4 months to permanent	Yes=250; No=(-)400

Is there a procedure of self registration of action by various and individual government employee-person/GPP on public domain viewable by the citizen/person/applicant etc	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are all procedures put on public domain	Yes=200 ; No=(-)250
Do the procedures on public domain describe handling of citizen complaint, citizen seeking permissions/approvals, penalty imposed by GPP on citizen	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Do the GPP certify compliance of all obligations as above with every case on public complaint, permissions/approvals and penalty imposed	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Are discretionary powers given to government people above the stipulated rules (therefore the rules and procedures are incomplete)	Yes=(-)100 ; Yes=(-)100 each year
Are discretionary powers being used for > 2 yrs.	
How many decisions given by using discretionary powers (not specifically defined)- officially published data to public domain	0-4%=0; 4-10%=(-)50 each %; >10%=(-)250+(-)100 each %
Does GPP certify while replying to each complaint that rules are uniformly and equally applied to everyone	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does GPP certify that whatever decision is taken in the present case, same decision has been taken in previous similar cases	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Do Head of the office, unit DOUEE check decisions and certify having met the obligations by GPP in respect of parity with all past decisions and disposal in time	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Does GPP certify that whatever decision is taken in discharging a complaint presently, same decision has been given in previous similar cases and proving of it wrong would result severe action against GPP	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Against an application for granting a permission, if not agreed, does GPP certify that no waiver permitted earlier and proving of it wrong would result considering GPP as unfit for job/GPP	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Details of all cases of 'granting permission or certification or approval, disposal of complaints by GPP are placed on public domain within time limits	Yes=100; No=(-)250
do rules prevent government (MGG, MOG, OIG) taking more time in a decision then stipulated-	Yes=200; No=(-)200

Are (each) cases of public dealing and its decision making put on public domain	Yes=400; upto 90%=0; <90%=()each % ;<70%=()10 each%
Is a time frame/ schedule assigned with different decisions both total and with different authorities	Yes=200 ; No=()250
Analysis of decisions- if taken more time than stipulated; % of total dcisions	<10%=0; >10%=()50 each% higher
Is the practice of decision beyond time limits is not completely curbed and continuing > 2 yrs	Yes=(-)100 each year;
Are norms regarding (i) procedure, (ii)time frame for decision making by government (iii)cost of services stipulated by government (iv)Rule to be followed both by citizen and government are on public domain	Yes=150 each item; No=(-)250 each item
Is any delegation of powers permitted to other GPP not entitled for that level of decision	Yes=(-)150; No=100
Can individual (government) department can frame rules for citizen independently of its own	Yes=(-)100; No=100
Is there centrallized government unit to frame rules for similar applications	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Can individual non-government public dealing department can frame rules for citizen of its own	Yes=(-)100; No=100
Is there centrallized government unit to frame rules for similar citizen dealings	Yes=100; No=(-)150
Can individual non-government public dealing department can frame rules for self of its own	Yes=(-)100; No=100
Is there centrallized government unit to frame rules for government DOUEE working, citizen interface and obligations	Yes=150; No=(-)250
Rules formation done by independent expert panel making the rules complete	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Are rules made in a manner that an analysis can be done on computer or in mathematical analogy to check its completeness	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Are the citizen interfece forms are made in a manner (check list) that compliance of conditions as required can be checked	Yes=200; No=(-)200
Is independent outside (non-government) investigations against government people MMG, MOG, OIG possible as per rules for any irregularly or grievance of citizen.	Yes=100; No=(-)100
If investigating agency is from government	Yes=(-)100; No=100
Is investigating agency is from same department	Yes=(-)200; No=200
Is investigating agency is from a unit located atleast 500km away and from other political alliance	Yes=200; No=(-)200

selling
authority

Does the decision brings out at least three decisions, one decision minimum on both sides, which could have been taken elaborating that the decision taken now is optimal

Yes=100; No=(-)100

Does the decision also elaborates the loss to government if the other decisions were taken

Yes=100; No=(-)100

Does the decision supported by rational that the decision taken now does not provide any undue financial gain to the other side

Yes=100; No=(-)100

Do the decision maker publish on public domain the basis, assumptions, interpretations on which the decision has been taken

Yes=100; No=(-)150

does the decision maker certify that the possible angles of self benefits and gains have been examined and there is no self benefit to persons involved from planning stage to physical completion/execution/creation

Yes=200; No=(-)400

Is the owner of authority certify on public domain that the authority has been exercised in a self less manner

Yes=100; No=(-)150

High value of authority encashment and high probability of selling of authority by Government people

If the - The environment of business and opportunities

(i) rising

yes=(-)50

The development and growth rate - high means high probability

(ii)

yes=(-)50

The growth of real estate sector - of selling of

(iii)

authority

yes=(-)50

(iv)

The growth of infrastructure sector -

yes=(-)50

Are number of meetings and discussions put on record held between authority holder and beneficiary of the decision.

□

Yes=200; No=(-)200

Is the procedure for spending government resources defined elaborating that opinion of minimum four persons is obtained in independent and free manner

Yes=100; No=(-)150

	Is the proposal GOSIP, of spending government resources and money initiated by planning people	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are benefits likely to accrue by such spending are presented in DAVM form	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Are there well defined and established procedures for selection of agency for realizing creation/execution of the GOSIP	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are such procedures of selecting such agency are notified on public domain of the government	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are such procedures of selecting such agency are notified on public domain of the government for each case	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Are norms and procedure are such which converges participation of pre-implied agencies for material and/or carrying out execution/creation	Yes=(-)200; No=150
	Are benefits presented at the planning stage cross-checked at the time of committing spending of government resources and it is clearly confirmed by associating at least 4 people that the benefits envisaged earlier are going to be achieved	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Is unit cost in numbers (each), mt (length), sq mt (area) or cu mt (volume) whichever feasible is worked out and presented on public domain	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Is the total scope of GOSIP broken down into minimum five domains/fields of activities of physical creation of works, defined by government's planning unit, same for similar GOSIP, and unit cost worked out for all fields cummulatively coming to total cost of GOSIP	Yes=200; No=(-)400
	Are final achieved results presented in DAVM form and compared with the results envisaged at the planning stage	Yes=200; No=(-)250
urgency	Number of decisions taken by organization/government official expressing urgency and suggesting curtailment of procedures	(-)100 each case
	Government official Certifying in DAVM terms regarding 'loss' and 'gain' assessment for curtailment of procedure	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Number of decisions taken by organization/government official expressing urgency and suggesting curtailment of procedures and/or otherwise obtaining decisions at the lower level than envisaged	(-)100 each case
consultant	Appointment of an outside organization/agency like consultants (outsider) only advisory or for making basis for the decisions by government official	Advisory=50; Basis for decision=(-)250

Does this outsider is authorized to suggest /recommend decisions to government official	Yes=(-)200 each case
Number of such suggestions/recommendations by outsider turned down by government official	100 each case
Are decisions of the outsider are equally questionable treating him/her responsible and accountable for any wrong decision, by investigating government agencies if required	Yes=100; No=(-)250
Are decisions of government official based on outsiders advise are viewed treating government person and outsider equally responsible and punishable	Yes=100; No=(-)250
Procedures for evaluating proposals for spending government money/resources are laid down for the planning stage, selection of any outsider agency stage, creation and construction stage	Yes=100; No=(-)200
Are DAVM goals/achievable defined and detailed at the planning stage and confirmed compliance at subsequent stages, at least seven, in DAVM terms	Yes=100; No=(-)200
Are procedures complete by mentioning competency of any outsider associate, time of different stages, created assets vis-à-vis money spent at at least ten intermediate stages	Yes=100; No=(-)200
Are procedures and responsibility defined for purchase of equipment, materials etc. also	Yes=100; No=(-)200
Is owner of the GOSIP defined and declared who would be responsible and accountable for any decision or would not fail to mention GPP who would stand responsible as (co)owner for all decisions by others	Yes=100; No=(-)400

	Rise>1%
	=100
	each
	0.5%;
The environment of business and opportunities	Fall>1%=
rising: GDP growth rising or falling compared	(-)100
to last year	each
	0.5%

Look at transaction from both sides – is its complete

Is TSTS analysis done and the results made public

Certified that the decision neither conceived in a haste nor in delayed manner

contracts

projects

material purchase