Flow of corruption model:-

The basic corruption approach has two prominent dimensions (i) Intention and (ii) Practice

The practice shall emerge from continuous and unchecked intention for corruption. Thus analyzing the field 'intention' shall be adequate.

(1)   Intention: Urge to takeover more than due to one self.

Contributory and supporting Factors- 1.1 Authority to dictate

1.2 Authority for Rules manipulation

1.3 Less or Fluid accountability

1.4 More avenues- possibility of money cycling

1.1 Authority- higher level of authority for possible becoming of a master would enhance tendency for self benefits. The presence of MS governance would provide a strong evidence of 'corruption' in practice.

1.2 Rules manipulation- Completeness of rules would be a major consideration for assessing Rules manipulation potential. Rules manipulation would be a distortion of rules applying minor or major variation to the stipulated rules for benefitting self or anyone. Even if it is termed as a mistake, it would, for all practical meanings, be an act done for self benefit or otherwise the person would be insane. Incomplete rules would invariably result manipulations of rules for self benefit as under the shadow of 'darkness of incompleteness, the one applying rules would tend to cover up 'mal-intention' under the high probability of 'not getting caught' and finally 'not getting proven to be culprit'. 'More proximity to the rules, ownership of rules, powers for interpretation of rules would enhance the tendency for self orientation.

1.3 Less accountability-an unchecked free path after committing irregularity would enhance....

1.4 More avenues- having an environment where the authority is surrounded by options for doing something or for not doing some other thing would enhance.........

(2)   Examining various constituents of the governance system against above factors

MGG: based on above parameters, the person falling most close to the possible approach towards corruption would be government representative ie senior government authority. Therefore, first to conceive about corruption would be, most probable, a GPP. It may not come up because of fear for being very closely within the sphere of the rules.

Let us also examine other categories of the society and nation's systems as under:

Businessman: ▪ Do not have government authority.

- No control over manipulations of rules.
- Accountability is specified and there is no escape.

Politician: ▪ Have authority but may not be so intelligent to know rules and manipulate.

- Accountability specified but normally not implementable- depends upon Rules & Laws.
- Being public representative have fear till possess adequate government powers and   authority to be away from direct interface with public. Thus the higher one would go in government system, less fear it likely to have for direct answerability to the people and likely go for more self orientation

Common men: No incentive and no collective formation possible for corruption. There will also be a   great fear of being watched by one another for doing something irregular.

(3) In a scenario where 'corruption' exists, analysis based upon above derivations the various stages of corruption are as under:

i. Stage-1: The business man's natural objective shall be to grow in terms of resources with them. Not only aspirations to grow, being handling the biggest risk of uncertainty, the business man would also try to accumulate excess resources to cover up the above risk.
If the resources earned are more than resources shared, the bargain results net benefit to them. Thus the dimension of 'intention' would emerge in the 'business people'

ii. MGG being owner of rules and laws and also resources, would affiliate with businessman for transfer of resources. Businessman would have resources to be shared with them under the condition that they get more than due to them, which would have to be ensured by the bureaucrats using their government authority.

iii. Stage-2 – Businessman would join MGG as they are the owners of rules and authority and can manipulate these.

iv. The urge of more will rise in MGG. If accountability check level is high it would subside- if it is low, is would aggravate and spread.

v. However, once started with manipulations of rules and tied up as above, MGG would bring down accountability level to facilitate bargain. The symptoms would be, no action or too much delayed action against chargers, long procedures, committees, dilution of judgment by giving authority of judgment to many people, incompetent people and machinery.

vi. Stage-3: The rules and law abiding culture would depreciate. Once it happens, the politicians (with government position) would step in.

vii. Being owner of constitutional powers, politician would first join MGG and then use their dependence upon politician for constitutional accreditation and supersedes bureaucrat in dispensation of bargain for constitutional powers for self benefits. In this stage the politician would have direct bargain with

the business base and use bureaucrat as the via media by associating them in formal processes.

viii.   Stage-4 : Next stage would be the nexus of businessman-bureaucrat-politician. Gradually the businessman would directly join politician as they are the constitutional owner of the nation's resources, which businessmen want to grab, politician having transformed into 'the government'.

ix.   Politician being answerable to the people, would suppress people not to raise voice against it. Politician would use government authorized people and agencies to suppress people which may be followed by criminalizing the society.

x.   Stage-5 (conclusive stage universalizing corruption) : People would accept corruption as a system and would not react and start participating in such dealing.

So if it is seen that citizen is paying MUD to GPP against a bargain of getting public services delivered, some approval, permit, license being granted etc, it is the final stage and indicate that everyone in above cycle is carrying 'corruption'.

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

* * * *