

Basic people's verification Code of Governance: Group's behaviour

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Group's Behaviour (Responsible)

Constitution	Is the group controlled by single person not elected but self acclaimed.	Yes=(-)150; No=100
	Is the group controlled by single person (or <four) who is elected by all members once	Yes=(-)150; No=100
	Is the group controlled by single person (or <four) who is elected by all members periodically not exceeding two years	Yes=150; No=(-)150
	Is the group controlled by subgroup(> four) periodically elected, not exceeding two years, by all members	Yes=150; No=(-)150
	Is the group controlled by non-elected subgroup	Yes=(-)100; No=100
Leader masterism	Do the members treat the leader as at par like them.	Yes=100; No=(-)100
	Do the members behave like mindless individual before the leader	Yes=(-)150; No=100
	Does the interaction between leader and members is at equal platform based on logic and reasoning	Yes=100; No=(-)100
	Does the interaction between leader and members is in Master slave form where whatever leader says is accepted by members without doubt and question	Yes=(-)250; No=100
		>70% decisions=100; 40 to 70%=(-)10 each % less;
unlawful	Number of times in final decision, the members suggested and decided	<40%=(-)300+ (-)25 each% less
	Does the group alongwith decision, place any four different point of views emerged during the decision taken process	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Do the members question leader about its actions and same evidenced by group in public statements	Yes=100; No= (-)100
	Does the group involve in organized agitations, mass protests	Yes=(-)50; No=100
	Has the group caused loss to human life or loss to property during last one year	Yes=(-)200; No=150
	Does the group involve in organized crimes based upon any report not logically replied by group	Yes=(-)500; <2 cases= (-)200;
	Any case of involvement of a member in major crimes during last five years	>2cases=(-)400 each case

Behaviour1	Does the group recognize/address members by some identity other than name or only one common prefix like Mr...	Yes=(-)150; No(only Mr..one prefix..)=100
	Does the group recognize members by their uniform/attire/dress and common actions excluding para-military, military forces etc	Yes=(-)100; No=100
	Does the group recognize members by their uniform/dress and expressed commitment to specified common objectives	Yes=(-)100; No=100
span of existence	Does the group formed has long life or formed for sometime	long=150; 5yr=0; 10yr=50;
	Group in existence for >5 years; 10 years; 20years; >20years	20yr=100
	Group in existence with physically varifiable members increasing	yes=100;
	Group in existence with not physically varifiable members	yes=(-)150
	Is the group formed temporarily for sometimes	
Parity	Do the members belong to different economic level	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Do the members belong to largely same economy level .	Yes=(-)50
	Do the economy level varies from leader to common member.	YES=(-)50
Leader's approach1	Is the leader more economically potent/resourceful than members at large	Yes=(-)100 Yes <2times=(-)50; 2to5 times=(-)100 each times higher; >5times=(-)200 each times higher
	Has leader increased wealth after forming group	
	Is the leader more socially potent/powerful than members at large	Yes=(-)100 Yes <2times=(-)50; 2to5 times=(-)100 each times higher; >5times=(-)200 each times higher
Rigidity	Has leader increased social status after forming group	
	Do the members largely belong to same religion	yes=(-)150;
	Do the members largely belong to same caste.	yes=(-)150;
	Do the members neither largely belongs to same religion nor caste.	yes=200

	Do the members largely belong to same region and culture.	yes=(-)100;
	Do the members largely do not belong to same region and culture.	150
	Do the members largely belong to same profession	yes=(-)100;
	Does the group pursue objectives to benefit itself .	yes=(-)250;
Objectives	Is the group associated and unified on practical, verifiable, measurable, achievable objectives notified every six months	yes=150; No=(-)200
	Do the group notify the procedures for verification and measurement of achieved objectives	yes=150; No=(-)200
	Is the group associated and unified on sentimental intangible objectives	Yes=(-)150
	Is the group formed unified on sentimental issue with out objectives	Yes=(-)250
	Has group defined and published its objectives	Yes=200; No=(-)250
	Are objectives so published are in DAVM form with time or non-DAVM/slogan form	DVAM=250; non-DAVM=(-)400
	Are objectives real and achivable in near future, not exceeding two years(A)	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Are objectives between real and imaginary and very hard work envisaged to achieve(Y)	Yes=(-)150
	Are objectives unreal, ambiguous non-DAVM not achiveable normally(Z)	Yes=(-)300
	Does group follow (A) objectives	Yes=200; No=(-)200
	Does group follow (Y) objectives	Yes=(-)300
	Does group follow (Z) objectives	Yes=(-)300
	Are the objectives fixed/unaltered in number for long	Yes=(-)100;
	Are new objectives added during last two years	No=100
	Any objective declared as achieved during last two years with DAVM parameters to prove	Yes=100;
Duties	Has group defined and published its duties	No=(-)250
	Are duties defined and published for nation, effected people and domains, users and customars, society and members	Yes all=400;
	Are duties so published are in DVAM form or slogan/non-DAVM form	No=(-)150 each less
	Group defined self said duties for everyone in group as regards to: ↓	DVAM=250; non-DAVM=(-)400
	→ Discipline.	Yes=100; No=(-)150

		Yes=100;
→	Laws/Rules compliance and obedience.	No=(-)150
		Yes=100;
→	keeping Social harmony.	No=(-)150
		Yes=100;
→	National being	No=(-)150
		Yes=100;
→	Honesty and No corrupt actions	No=(-)150
	Not to support any disparity social, economic, regional,	Yes=100;
→	religion based, caste based.	No=(-)150
Self certification	Group assigned self said parameters to evaluate duties compliance	Yes=200;
		No=(-)250
	DAVM Parameters defined for verification of compliance of all self said duties.	Yes=400;
		No=(-)500
	Parameters defined to evaluate compliance of duties with procedure of evaluation and period/interval.	Yes=200;
		No=(-)250
	DAVM facts placed on public domain for verification/measurement of above parameters	Yes=200;
		No=(-)250
	Validation obtained for each evaluation.	Yes=200;
		No=(-)250
Responsibilities		Yes=250;
	Group assigned self said self responsibilities	No=(-)400
	Individual in Top four leaders/leadership submitting details on public domain, not exceeding every 3 months, giving copy of speech, address and certifying that: ↓	
		Yes=150;
→	No antinational activity.	No(-)150
		Yes=150;
→	No anti social activity.	No(-)150
		Yes=150;
→	No misappropriation.	No(-)150
		Yes=150;
→	No illegal activity.	No(-)150
		Yes=150;
→	No self benefit derived from what is not done.	No(-)150
		Yes=150;
→	No misuse of nation's resources.	No(-)150
	Self declared punishment if any certification happens to be false	Yes=150;
→		No(-)150
→	If any of above items missing=(-)400 extra and more to be mentioned	
