Speech statement worth; of HOG,MOG,OIG,MGG,PL

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system or person in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Fixed prerequisites	Is there a law/rule that any statement issued by HOG, MOG, OIG, PL shall be cosidered as owned by them(owner) irrespective of if they mention it or not	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Is there a law /rules to set the speaker responsible for what spoken or if owner is different then speaker jointly with owner are responsible	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Is there a law/rule that the irresponsibility index of statement shall enhance with the government position and irresponsibility to be higher for higher position-Say the total minus score to be multiplied by a figure as below: x4 for HOG & MOG; x2 for OIG	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Any creditibility index maintained by DAU on its/government public domain/notified publicly for each HOG MOG IOG ICE, PL namewise & individual based upon their statements	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is the creditibility index notified/published/ transmitted by DAU alongwith speech/ statements/ message of HOG MOG IOG ICE MMG, PL	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Is there a law that HOG, MOG, OIG, MGG would speak officially from Government's accredited/assigned platform	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Is there a law of keeping permanent record on public domain for any public or official statement of HOG, MOG, IOG, ICE, MMG, PL	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does the speaker certify alongwith the statement having authorized for speaking on behalf of the political party/government/any entity or speaking as an individual	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does speaker confirm/certify that he/she stands owner of the statement and fully responsible. If not, then does he/she declare name/position of owner	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does the speaker certify alongwith the statement that it would not go back from what he/she is speaking	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Does the speaker certify that he/she never unsaid the words he/she spoke	Yes=100 ; No=(-)150
	Are the DOUEE/MOG and MGG responsible for enforcement and obtaining results are specifically stated by speaker	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
speaker certifies	Does the speaker gives decisions for which he/she is not entitled/authorized officially	Yes=(-)150

	Does spedker, specially GPP, HOG, MOG,PL specify the law/rule which empowers him/her for taking such decision and/or implementing a decision	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
	Does speaker-HOG, MOG, OIG MGG presents the DAVM figures, statistics, projections of benefits/advantages to people the speaker claims	Yes=100 ; No=(-)400
	Does HOG, MOG, OIG, MGG brings out methodology, procedures of implementation and GPP responsible for implementation	Yes=100 ; No=(-)200
slogan or data/analysis	Is the speech /statement in slogan form, quoting quotes, phrases, poems, mythology,	Yes=(-)150 each time it appears ; No=100
	Is the statement in sentimental form quoting religion unwarranted, religeous statements/ideas/quotes, quoting caste/race, caste related statements/ideas/quotes unwarranted	Yes=(-)150 ; No=100
	Is the statement in sentimental form quoting caste and related statements/ideas/quotes considered unwarranted under laws	Yes=(-)150 ; No=100
	Is the statement in sentimental form quoting region and regionalism considered unwarranted under laws	Yes=(-)150 ; No=100
speaker sincerity	Did speaker categorically mentioned/defined the objectives	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Did speaker announce the verfiable and measurable intermediate goals and final goals on time line (DAVM goals) for each objective	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Did speaker announce the public domain or the public notification where these verifiable/measurable intermediate goals and final goals are already placed on records for public viewing	Yes=100; No=(-)200
	Did speaker specifically mentioned about the methodology and action plan for achieving verifiable/measurable goals	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Did speaker presented DAVM data and statistics to show the present status and benefits available at every six months/ stages and when objectives are achieved fully	Yes=100; No=(-)150
	Total time of total time of speech (or words) used for detailing objectives in DAVM terms	30%=100; <30%=(-)20 each % less
speaker inanity	Did speaker mention that he/she has been doing very good quoting non-verifiable/non-measurable achievements	Yes=(-)150

	Did speaker mention that other PL, political party, doing awful and damaging interests of the people; without placing evidence in terms of verifiable and visible proofs/connotes	Yes=(-)150
	Did the speaker express its state as sad and full of misfortune for gaining sympathy	Yes=(-)150
	Did the speaker use sentimental terms/expression/expression to show its worth	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker use religon based terms/expression/expression to show its worth	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker use caste based terms/expression to show its worth	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker use regionalism (region) based terms/expression	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mention about a particular category of people being superior because of specific region base	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mention about a particular category of people being superior because of specific language base	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mention about a particular category of people being superior because of specific religion base	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did the speaker mentioned about a particular category of people being superior because of specific caste base	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	did speaker mention anything which supports the existence of social evils	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	did speaker suggest in the speech that people should continue to live the way people used to live in the past, 20 years or more backward	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	total time; % of total, the speaker talked about religion, caste, regionism, in a speech which was not specifically on these subjects	<10%=100; >10%=(-)20 each % higher
instigating division	Did speaker place some people higher corelating and based upon references from the past or history	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker place some people higher corelating references from the mythology	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker place himself/herself or in its group higher corelating references from the mythology	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	Did speaker place any other person lower or condemn corelating references from the mythology	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	total time speaker talked about good of mythology and ancient time	<10%=100; >10%=(-)20 each % higher
making stories	Did speaker relate himself/herself to the God in words or in gesture, outlook	Yes=(-)250; No=50

	speaker related himself/herself to any super character not existed in reality anytime	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	speaker related him/herself to any action of God, God like images/characters and/or comparing others, specially opposition front, to devil	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present poetry etc to prove him/herself worthy	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present quote, phrase, etc to prove him/herself worthy	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present mythological happenings etc to prove him/herself worthy	Yes=(-)150; No=50
	Did speaker present poetry, quote, phrase etc to prove others especially the opposition front unworthy/useless	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	Did speaker presnted mythological happening, story etc to prove others especially the opposition front unworthy/useless	Yes=(-)200; No=50
	total time speaker talked about good of mythology and ancient time relating and justifying actions to be correct its actions or the actions of	<10%=100; >10%=(-)20 each % higher
meaningful deliberation	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of development or non-DAVM, if talked about development	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM= (-)200
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of public services improvements if talked about it	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM= (-)200
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of economic improvements/upgradations if talked about it	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM= (-)200
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of social evils elimination	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM= (-)200
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of whatever good he/she talked about him/herself	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM= (-)200
	speaker presented DAVM figures and facts of crime prevention if talked about it	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM= (-)250
	Total time speaker talked about progress, development, crime prevention, achievements presenting DAVM facts	40%=100; <40%=(-)20 each % less
	Experts panel review and score presented by madiak	DAVM=100; Non-DAVM= (-)250

Does the experts panel evaluate the statement value in terms of truthfulness based upon DAVM facts, past projections of speaker whatsoever achieved, spoken words if false, fact DAVM based crticism of others,	Yes=100 each head; No= (-)150 each head