Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

## **Emotions vs Logic in Governance:**

Compassion- only emotion; Other emotions Love, anger, hatred..... are-NOSIN;

'Emotions' is understood to be a 'benign word'. As transpired, it represents the motive force behind the human social behaviour. Emotions are 'auto generated' in the brain and often understood to be the reason 'why the mankind and human race' is united, attached, caring and progressive. There are books and literature written with matter representing the importance of emotions in the human life, ultimately concluding that only emotions would make this world happy and united and people with emotions are happy and successful. Some of the emotions we know are; love, affection, anger, fear, jealousy etc. Viewing it critically, it can be seen that love, anger, fear etc every emotion comes out of the 'self interest' within oneself. Easy-to-understand regarding love and anger that love exhibit once interest to 'get hold of' and anger is someone's reaction against something against one and an attempt to protect by way of horrifying others. Fear, a little Complex but there is a feeling implanted in our brain by Nature to protect ownself. It is also explained in other chapter.

The only exception is the 'compassion', which exhibits urge to do good to others without associating self-interest. It is the true feeling of humanity. Therefore, this is the 'only emotion'.

I would therefore, use separate term for emotions, separating 'compassion' and 'other emotions'. If we name compassion as an emotion, we need to name other emotions differently. As other emotions emerges out of self-interest, I would use the term 'notion of self-interest' NOSIN.

So compassion is the only emotion in real sense and other emotions are termed as NOSIN, henceforth.

If emotions(NOSIN) 'largely and commonly' signifies self-interest, it would be necessary to understand how it can affect the governance of a nation. More so, the government is a set of normal and common human being who have lived a common life and thus supposed to be under the influence of emotions(NOSIN), like any other common man may be. Thus their behaviour and decisions are likely to be a derivative of their emotions(NOSIN). And the decision taken by them, the government people, would change lives of millions and billions.

And with the derivation that emotions(NOSIN) would converge to self-interest, the correctness of government people's behaviour, blended ,or ruled by emotions, might represent their self-interest and not public citizen interest.

This is an alarming outcome.

The political leaders, political parties and the same people in the government, if exhibit emotions in their public behaviour by way of their policies, speech, statements gesture, the same must be analysed and examined critically

But what else there public behaviour would be based upon? Emotions are subjective and individual centred. Emotions vary from the same happening, for the same person, for the same object, from person to person. One may link the 'red colour 'to life', the other may link it to 'killing'. Emotions may come suddenly and produce unwanted results for long run. Emotions lead to unstable thinking. And a government people's behaviour, emotions driven, would either make his own self unstable or would

result instability to mentally and emotionally attached citizen. Both are not desirable.

The behaviour of government people should be absolute, unaltered, unattached and uniform. It should not show alignments, favour or disfavour, love or hatred, anger or exaction and public behaviour as all citizen or to be treated equally.

Thus, as emotions are the derivatives of 'self interests' and 'self perceptions' of something being 'good or bad', the behaviour of GPP must be based upon 'logic, reasoning and rational' rather than 'emotions' which eventually, in fact, would be NOSIN.

The public behaviour and decision making of government people, should be based upon real-time understanding of subject and the objective and analysis of ways and procedures to reach those objectives and focus on the positive favourable impact on citizens lives. Their decisions should be based upon rational and logic which would separate their own-self from he decision making and provide them strength and concentration to see at the citizens welfare without dividing them based upon their origin, religion, caste, creed, race, region and apply the reasoning of 'rational and honest' public behaviour. The behaviour based upon logic and reasoning, would be uniform unattached and absolute.

Whereas, the GPP decision based upon 'emotions(NOSIN)' would be indicating bias, self interest, aligned to some, not for universal betterment. Thus the GPP decisions, statements, speech based upon 'emotions'(NOSIN) may create wave of 'anger, hatred, disharmony, split in society, unrest, separation, mutual disbelief, mutual disregard and therefore, must be checked, evaluated and discouraged. The best course for avoiding 'emotions(NOSIN)', would be the inclusion of 'facts' in DAVM terms, as DAVM shall correspond to 'facts, truths, verifiable, certifiable' making the GPP 'answerable and responsible' to whatever they speak.

The necessity of intelligence at Government level has been discussed in other chapter 'what intelligence for Governance'.

It has also been classified that the intelligence co-relating the same with 'scrutiny of already happened events' is not 'what applies to the positions like 'government people'. For GPP, having enormous requirements of foreseeing things, plan in advance, vigil on upgradations worldwide, transforming ideas/plans to real happenings and goals, reviewing continuously actions for further/future corrections etc and a new version of intelligence named as SEBIN would be required for government people.

Emotions would represent inclination, attachment, aligned, pre-decided which would be undesirable characteristic of a government person. The behaviour of a government person, if based upon emotions or logic, must be analyzed. Does the GPP uses statistics, data, facts, profile (variation over period of time) to present and establish its point of view or uses literary arguments, slogans, ideas, perceptions, criticism of others, shouting at others etc reveals if 'SEBIN' or 'emotional' presentation. These have been discussed in the description of 2FL in other chapter.

But why a government person or political leader would talk using emotional expressions?

A leader would speak to convey something to the people always as its existence rest with them. An engineer knows very well that the two systems/ units connected with each other works at maximum efficiency only when the 'output impedance' of the 'deliverer' matches with the 'input impedance' of the 'recipient'. If the leader is using emotions to convey its message to the people and continuing, there is no doubt that the people of the citizen receives emotions and not the 'logic'. It has been described in other chapter that a person practicing something continuously transform the practices into a culture. Culture is what many people do over a substantial period of time and may not be necessarily knowing as to why they are doing it and not necessarily adding a value to their own being.

So if the leader/GPP is using emotions in its public presentation, it is obvious that although he/she may be intelligent or not, but the people /citizen are non-intelligent. It has also been elaborated in other chapter that if the leader is non-intelligent, it is obvious that the average people/ citizen would be non-intelligent. It would be necessary for a non-intelligent leader, for its survival, to make the people/citizen non-intelligent. Therefore, if the average people or citizen are non intelligent, there are fairly good chances that the leader is non-intelligent as the leader is 'one of them'. So a leader or government person, who is using emotions in its public presentations, are most likely non-intelligent. If a leader continues its existence in the same manner, it would signify it as a person being non-intelligent, self oriented and selfish self-benefiting and does not doing good to the people of the citizen anyway.

The emotional expression, speech, statement, slogan by a GPP, thus would be non-DAVM as DAVM terms signifies the adoption of statistics, data, trends/curves/charts, analysis, derivation affirming the real worth and value directly co-relating with the envisaged objectives and goals and independent verification/measurement. The non-DAVM statement, speech shall not be associating logic, rational thus shall be based upon 'emotions'.

The major sources of emotions are:

- Religion- based upon hypothesis and stories
- Origin caste- Permanent factor, not under individual's control, causing feel of security by forming emotion based groups
- Origin region-Permanent factor, not under individual's control, causing feel of security by forming emotion based groups
- Songs, poems, slogan related to hypothesis like 'mythology' and 'folklore'
- Presentation of dreams
- Making people dream
- Presence of NOSIN in expressions
- Hypothetical Non-DAVM comparison and conclusion/decision

- Hypothetical Non-DAVM criticism or praise
- Presenting anyone 'ideal' and 'super person'
- Sayings, phrases, slogans of the past
- Sayings, slogans, actions, deeds of 'mythological character'