

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system or person in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.

Social behavior model

1. **There are three very strong criteria for social behaviors of an individual**
 - (i) **The gains one can derive from its activities viz self or personal gains, but without causing any harm to others**
 - (ii) **The second criterion is its perceptions (visualization) about its future**
 - (iii) **third criteria would be its reaction with the environment/ surroundings.**
2. **Individual with high 'personal gain (rational)' and 'good vision about future' is likely to be positive, progressive, cohesive, ordered and contented, thus likely to be intelligent**
3. **Individual with high 'personal gain (rational)' but low 'vision about its future' shall cause a culture of selfishness, aiming at short term goals, disharmonious and it would not be a very productive environment.**
4. **People with 'Low expectation of gains' but high expectations about future are passing time indifferent of the world and self, so not intelligent and confident**
5. **People with low expectations of 'personal gains and bad prospects of future' shall aim at immediate gains behave in abrupt manner, not caring for consequences, culture of disregard, disobedience, selfishness, crimes,**

Let we assume a raw society. A set of people, each one of them moving, performing and behaving in a manner as per its intelligence, understanding and perceptions of the things. There are **three very strong criteria for social behaviors of an individual**. The **gains one can derive from its activities viz self or personal gains** however, in a productive manner, not doing any act which may be protested by others or causing loss to others, doing justified actions acceptable at large to society. The **second criterion is its perceptions (visualization) about its future** whether one visualize his future to be good, promising, rising or otherwise and the **third criteria would be its reaction with the environment/ surroundings**.

The prerequisite for (i) is very important that the person would not cause any harm to others because if this is not met, the person shall be selfish and damaging society and environment, thus would cause overall damage to the society itself.

Let us classify the social behavior into various categories as regards to these attributes by examining different scenarios

	Personal gain through rational acts	perception / visualization of future-good or bad	Environment interface	Social outlook	Symptoms in society
	High/Low	Good/bad	Productive/wasteful		
A	H	G	P	Happy, patriotic, causes overall development of society	Cohesion, integration, participation (in elections), economic growth, development
B	H	G	W	Rich, Selfish may damage environment for self gain	Well off but ostentation, road accidents (urge to defeat others), social evils related to money, import of common goods
C	H	B	P	Not likely to occur	
D	H	B	W	Damaging environment	Deforestation, visible human waste+garbage, tempering of petty public (government) property, high population
E	L*	G	P	Imaginative, theoretical, driven by others	Low IQ, low AAK/CEAK level, passive, low eminence recognition by outside world
F	L*	G	W	Link with environment	Low IQ, low AAK/CEAK

				missing	level, passive, low eminence recognition by outside world, aggression damaging
G	L*	B	P	Not likely to occur	
H	L*	B	W	Selfish may harm others for its gains	Human crimes like rape, torture, killings, dacoity+ symptoms of D & E

*This may be a case when an individual may adopt irrational act for self-gains

-
- For the first hand assessment and simplicity, the reaction to environment is not considered for the time being because the first two characteristics may indicate individual's approach towards environment also.

There are four scenarios now:

	PG(rational)	Future	
1	H	G	
2	H	B	
3	L	G	
4	L	B	

In scenario (1) if the likelihood of personal gains with rational approach, is high and the visualization for future by an individual is good, if would try to continue with the present state and advance in same direction. This signifies synchronization of an individual with the environment with high level of adoptability, thus a state of HGP. This also signifies adoption of the individual by the society/ environment as well. Thus society/environment would also be in the same state and this would result into a culture of harmony, productivity, friendship.

The assessment of this state of social set up can be done by devising parameters to measure above three factors.

- Harmony – Low heterogeneity index , low participation in (Number of) public agitations, (Number of) public rallies to condemn, demonstrate , agitate ,

showing distrust and disgust , total number of people participating in such rallies, crime prevention at people's level, getting united against crimes.

- Productivity- growing engineering production, Inventions of new technology, medical science /economic, turn over per person increasing consistently.

The individual is likely to be consistent in progressive approach and in harmony with the society

In scenario (2) the likelihood of personal gains is high, however, the future visualization is bad, so the **individual would be acting in a manner to achieve short term gains**. The **individuals would behave selfish, no care for the environment/ society** which falls under long term scenario only and **shall cause damage to others and surroundings for their short term gain**. This would be a state of HBW. As short term gains would not last long, soon these individual would look for further gains, more and more, again and again and **their tendency would thus continue**.

Obviously there would be reaction from the environment to this approach and the **relations between individual and society will not be harmonious**. However, since every individual cannot have its own independent direction, it would be that depending upon situation, the individual may get support of some others at one time and some different others at other times. So **it would be a culture of selfishness, aiming at short term goals, disharmonious and people changing their roles**. Thus it would **not be a very productive environment**.

In scenario (3) the likely hood of personal permanent gains is low but the visualization for future is bright. In this, an individual is not happy about the present, not having ample opportunities to work on but has hope for a better future. If the present is not hopeful, normally the people would not have visualization for a better future of its own. The **visualization for a better future in such case shall be most likely artificially created by the government or may be others accepted by the people as master, guru etc**. In this state the individual may be just **passing time indifferent of the world** and self also and **waiting for an expected hopeful future which may come through or may not happen at all**. It would **need a strong monitoring system to validate if the average citizen is becoming more happier in time line**. If the same is not installed

with regular feedback on public domain then the state would be equivalent of being cheated.

The hope for better tomorrow, under a scenario of not having hopeful present would be a remote possibility as with a 'present not yielding hope', a visualization of better future may be unfounded but would provide a reason to live. Therefore, just reversing this analogy, a person willing to live would always visualize a better future to support its ambition to live irrespective of its present or the past. An interesting outcome of this is that **the people would keep on waiting for some good thing to happen to them even if the government is not doing anything for them** and as an individual they **would link themselves with the future**, which is their own and **do not link their state with the government**. What else a government would need? Getting free from people's aspirations of rising high. This would be an ideal situation for a MS government to grow, therefore, **government would propagate false projections in the form of sentiment based slogans, presentations etc away from realistic facts and without substantiation**. Thus government would artificially create such scenario where the **people would not react to their present distress in hope off/fascinated by presented wonderful future**. As mentioned above, without a regular DAVM presentation of betterment and **improvement in basic needs, civic amenities, food, housing, housing, sewage, drainage etc, a period not exceeding 6 months**, projection and promise of better future would be considered only as act of cheating.

The prominent culture of this society will be just indifferent behavior, not reacting to environment unless instigated by outside forces, non contributing because of being in such a state of living and also gradually becoming the isolated masses.

The scenario (4) is with low expectations of personal gains and bad prospects of future. Thus the individual would be neither expecting any major improvement in the present state, nor have any hope for any good thing to happen in future. The individual would therefore, have a predominant behavior of living only the present, the immediate present and would not visualize the future. **For immediate gains the individuals would behave in abrupt manner, not bothering about the consequences and the result**. The environment would not adopt such situation and thus there would be conflicts amongst society members and the groups. There will be a **culture of disregard**,

disobedience, selfishness, crimes, non-virtualization both for self and the society
(the environment) motivated actions, self orientation, disappointed, reactive and harsh

The above behavioral patterns of the members of society can be derived from the four visible deeds namely

'if the society is disciplined and comprehensive development oriented and

If the society is integrated and care for one another and

If the society is intelligent possessing aptitude of providing guidance and support and

If the society present outlook of 'masses having fulfilled' their existence or look agitated

Note: This is a modelling, done based upon analysis of existing facts and evolving reasoning, as to how the 'Government' and 'Constituents of Governance' are likely to behave and function 'influenced by normal human attributes' under different 'probable conditions' and does not imply projection or criticism of 'any particular government system in the world'. Any explanation in this 'coinciding with any working Government system' shall be an unintentional coincidence only.