


Preface and Tips. doc.R0

1. There are governments ruling over every country. These are different in nature, philosophy, application. These governments are embodied into 'Government people' (those who are in the government) and they 'finish up' concluding and deciding 'what Government people do' is what 'government should do'. And this is wrong.
2. There are 'Government working over the globe for centuries and in the same country, different government's work differently exhibiting differences in their 'governance philosophies' and 'perceptions of governance'. One may be 'superior', other may be 'inferior'. Government people 'come and go' but 'citizen remains and loses'.
3. The 'government people' in normal course shall not define their 'responsibilities and accountability' as it would 'hamper' their actions and intentions especially the actions normally 'justified' under the cover of 'politics'.
4. The citizen are not directly associated in the 'decisions' and 'actions' of the government (or government people) and government people almost decide 'independently'. So the suitability of decision remains 'uncertain' almost always.
5. This document 'comprehensively' state the 'responsibility and accountability' aspects of a government, as this only 'brings them up' for 'public service'.
6. This compilation has many chapters prepared over a period of years. The title of the chapter has been kept close to the 'contents within'. The chapters pertains to 'many different aspects of governance and elements, actors, players of governance' thus not lined up in any particular manner. Though each chapter pertains to independent 'activity and topic' but happens to be 'orbiting' the subject of 'government's governance'
7. It is evident that the decisions of the government (or government people) yields direct impact on the citizen/people of the nation. Every decision thus affects their lives, attitude and behaviour. Though it is not possible to 'measure' the performance of a government, as no parameters, measurement techniques and standards available, the facts which are visible in the form of 'government services' and 'citizen amenities and citizen behaviour' can be directly used to signify the 'success or failure' of a government. This methodology has been adopted to present and signify the performance of governments.
8. There are two type of documents. 'doc' and 'excel'. The 'doc' documents contains the 'explanations, reasoning, arguments' for 'structuring the documents' in that fashion and the 'explanation' about the contents contained in it. These are 'narrative' and 'academic'.
9. The other document 'excel' contains the 'facts, realities, happenings' which are physically 'visible' or 'missing' and a (+) and (-) score is assigned to each fact/happening. For that particular 'head' there may be a number of facts/happening with score assigned. The real life is to be examined regarding the position about each fact/happening and marks to be allotted as noted therein. The 'allotted marks' of items (facts/happenings), total shall represent the 'score obtained'.
10. The total (+) scores of individual items are added and say comes to 'Sp'. The total (-) scores of individual items are added and say comes to 'Sm'. The rating of the 'head' representing 'system, fact, happening...' shall be as below:

- (a) The total of positive score of all items added, is say Sp
 (b) The total of negative/minus score of all items added is say Sm
 (c) The scale shall look like



The 'score obtained' to be checked and placed on above scale and the corresponding category, NN or N or Z/O or P or PP, is the result obtained. As the category has a wide range, it is important to state the 'score obtained' also.

11. The following illustrations is presented to clarify the doubts:

Title/ topic-1				
	Items/facts/happenings/visible observations (Items)	Marks (+) and (-)	Score obtained	If the facts relates to:
Sub-head1	Any law/rules in existence.....	Yes=100; No=(-)150	(-)150	Say if the Law/rule not existing
	Presented written Responsibility statement.....	Yes=100; No=(-)200	100	If written statement available
	Any charges of crime.....	Yes=(-)150; No=100	(-)150	Charges exist
Sub head2	election based upon selection or rejection	S=(-)150; R=100	(-)150	Election based upon selection
	Speech rating high/low	H=100; L=(-)100	100	Speech value is high
etc				
	Total	+ (-) =500 =(-)750	(-)250	

Now $0.5*Sm = 0.5 \times (-)750 = (-)375$ corresponds to boundary of NN/N

$0.05*Sm = 0.05 \times (-)750 = (-)37.5$ corresponds to boundary of N/Z

$(-)250$ the obtained score is in 'category-N'.

So the Topic-1 Score=(-)250 and category-N.

Basic principles:

Some basic derivations are as below:

1. Every 'bad' occurrence is the result of 'Murkhta (Stupidity) and /or 'beimani (corruption) and/or Impotence (incapability), may be one at a time or together. Thus for every 'bad' happening 'MUBI' assessment must be done.
2. There are two faces of everything, every decision, every happening, 'Good and bad'. Therefore every aspect need to be analysed to understand both faces
3. Every 'good' thing loses its worth after a limit and if continues further, it turns into 'bad'
4. There are two reasons for a 'political leader' to climb up to the 'government level', first is the urge of 'social service' and if not, then it is 'selfish interest of self-gains'.
5. The Newton's third law represents that anything continues in the same state unless an external force is applied to change the course. Thus the 'MUBI governance system' would continue in the same state unless external force is applied, and this external force would be 'intelligence'.
6. Emotions and logic/rational are contradictory. There are no 'real emotions' in this world except 'compassion'. All other 'emotions' love, anger, hatred etc are associated with 'selfishness' and 'do not qualify' for a standalone 'honest and sincere expression' of encompassed welfare.
7. Thus the governance 'must be' based upon rational and intelligence and not emotions.

Methodology:

The methodology adopted is first to explain and describe the 'subject matter' and the 'logic, reasoning' for its 'existence' are appended. The 'appropriate form' is conceived serving its 'existence' and presented. These are .doc files.

However, the most important 'constituent is the 'application' which normally is 'missing' almost always, intentionally or unintentionally because of 'Mubidity', which creates most significant 'handicap' to 'verify and measure' the failures and/or accomplishments. So everything becomes 'absurd'.

'Application' of the 'reasoning' are contained in the 'associated' 'excel' document in which number of items associated with 'application' appear, containing the score/marks against each. If an item occurs supporting the 'cause of existence' and 'good reasoning', it is assigned (+) marks and if it is opposite, it is assigned (-) minus marks.

The 'quantum/size of marks' earmarked against an item may vary slightly 'subject to' the considerations given by an individual 'governance system', however, the nature (+) or (-) would not vary normally.

This procedure has been elaborated in the para 8, 9, 10 above to find **the overall topic score** of a particular head/main topic which is summed in para 10. This is 'MUBI' score of that topic/happening. The more (-) minus score represents high 'mubidity' and thus higher 'inappropriateness/unsuitability'. Minus(-)100 shall be more minus than (-)50, thus (-)100 shall be more 'undesirable'.

In case of positive (+) topic score this is reversed, and 100 (+100) shall be more desirable than 50(+50).

Thus the 'Governance suitability score (Index) of any government can be assessed by any person/citizen and can be assessed by the 'governments' to verify the 'purposefulness and suitability' of their existence.

So, with this document any common person can evaluate the 'worthiness and suitability' of a country's 'governance system', therefore, it is named as 'Basic People's Verification code of Governance'.